

What's the truth about modern medicine?

Zahir Ebrahim

Saturday November 19, 2011 | Last Updated Dec 07, 2011

This comprehensive article looks forensically at the world of modern medicine from an alternate perspective. And oh what a difference even a slight shift can make!



Caption Perspective: Oh what a difference even a slight shift can make! (Image courtesy of Desiree L. Rover's Presentation on Vaccinations, August 1, 2009)

I

We already know what the perspective is from the eyes of innocence. It is best captured in the momentous words of international banker and chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, David Rockefeller himself: *"Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud."* (see full speech below)

To examine the perspective from the eyes of a predator however, perhaps the best place to begin is with my exclamation on learning the following:

"Bed-bugs cause smallpox! I had no idea. In Pakistan, as children, like all of our generation in most developing nations, we were inoculated against smallpox and I remember being taught that it was spread by human contact!" --- Zahir

Ebrahim's incredulous response to the sleuthing by [Twelfth Bough](#) on their discovering the remarkable [research of Dr. Charles A. R. Campbell, M. D.](#) and the following revealing talk by medical research journalist Desiree L. Rover.

[Watch Desiree Rover: Vaccinations, Weapons of Mass Destruction - State of the Planet Conference, August 1, 2009](#)

[youtube=<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P8QKSMK2Ytw>]





WHEN HISTORY IS NOT STUDIED
it tends to repeat itself



03:07 / 56:42



480p



YouTube



EDUCATION & CONTROL

- **1847** *American Medical Association (AMA)*
- **1850** *Bill Rockefeller poses as a doctor (Nujol, Flit)*
- **1890** *University of Chicago (John D. Rockefeller)*
- **1903** *Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research*
- **1904** *Rockefeller Education Fund (take-over medical education)*
- **1910** *Flexner Report*
- **1927** *International Education Board (Rockefeller)*



03:27 / 56:42



480p



YouTube





Caption: Some slides from Desiree Rover's presentation

Now let's watch David Rockefeller whose grandfathers were the key architects of modern Western medicine, express his grave concerns for overpopulation.

[Watch David Rockefeller speaks about population control at the UN Ambassadors' Dinner](#)

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8]



"Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020. The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary eco-systems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth. But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral

*dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations. Economic growth is of course an inevitable corollary of a growing population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment. **This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development.** [Agenda 21] It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.” --- David Rockefeller, Annual UN Ambassadors' Dinner Sep 14, 1994*

Why is the chairman emeritus of Chase Manhattan Bank, a private banker, David Rockefeller so concerned about the earth's population? Who elected or appointed him to be so concerned? His enormous wealth? Indeed. And this concern of the private wealthy international bankers was most frankly articulated by Robert Strange McNamara, the former Secretary of Defence under presidents Kennedy and Johnson (1961 to 1968) who presided over the decimation of Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia, in 1970 as the new head of the World Bank upon leaving government service:

“... [Population growth is] the gravest issue that the world faces over the years ahead. ... It is not a world that any of us would want to live in. Is such a world inevitable? It is not sure but there are two possible ways by which a world of 10 billion people can be averted. Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way.” --- Robert McNamara, Speech to International bankers as Head of the World Bank, October 2, 1970, cited in [Population control, US bio-weapons research, McNamara, Rockefeller, and AIDS](#)

Why are these private unelected international bankers and war-mongers trying to reduce the world's population? Is there a political theory and social architecture behind all this? Well, if the Georgia Guidestones are to be believed, the answer is evidently yes. Etched in 18 feet tall granite stone monument in Elbert County, Georgia, USA, are the new Ten Commandments for a new world, written in English, Spanish, Swahili, Hindi, Hebrew, Arabic, Chinese, and Russian:

1. Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature.
2. Guide reproduction wisely — improving fitness and diversity.
3. Unite humanity with a living new language.
4. Rule passion — faith — tradition — and all things with tempered reason.
5. Protect people and nations with fair laws and just courts.
6. Let all nations rule internally resolving external disputes in a world court.
7. Avoid petty laws and useless officials.
8. Balance personal rights with social duties.
9. Prize truth — beauty — love — seeking harmony with the infinite.
10. Be not a cancer on the earth — Leave room for nature — Leave room for nature.

Let's ask some probing questions here. How is Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones linked with:

1. the vaccination regimen of modern medicine given that the same international bankers who architected modern medicine, and who architected the modern private central banks which loan money on interest to Western governments as their national debt, are also the loudest in their expression of concern for overpopulation, for the degradation of the environment, and are the real powers behind the United Nations Agenda 21 which was signed in Rio in 1992 by almost all member states of the United Nations? (see [United Nations Agenda 21, condensed version, 10 minutes dummies' version](#), [The Move to Depopulate the Planet By Stephanie R.](#)

[Pasco, Codex Alimentarius & Nutricide by Dr. Rima Laibow](#), etc.) ;

2. the military grade bioweapons research and development of the most contagious viral pathogens along with their dispersal systems that can wipe out the entire human race? (see '[Anthrax isn't scary at all compared to this': Man-made flu virus with potential to wipe out many millions if it ever escaped is created in research lab](#)', November 28, 2011)

Is the Rockefeller controlled modern medicine, alongside the obviously deadly biowarfare contagions coming from both the military's and big-pharma's bioweapons research labs, out to implement what Robert Strange McNamara had so monumentally stated, and which only reminds one of Hellenic gods of antiquity playing their mortifying games on earth: **"Either the current birth rates must come down more quickly or the current death rates must go up. There is no other way."**?

Between the manmade diseases and its concocted poisonous antidotes, the Commandment number 1 on the Georgia Guidestones could be realized in some sophisticated biowarfare protocol unsuspectingly unleashed on human populations without much compunction if some entities really meant to reduce the world's population to those levels, couldn't it?

Eustace Mullins' 1988 underground classic [Murder By Injection](#) spoke to the same conspiracy by the Rockefeller cabal controlled medical profession – to vaccinate people to death against viruses and to blame it on natural causes. Man made viruses and pandemics can sure come in handy for that purpose. The [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#) and the pretext to [microchip](#) the population came to underscore the same [conclusions](#). It is not just the vaccines alone, but their coupling with deadly biowarfare contagions, separately, or within it as its main dispersal agent, which may come to rival the proverbial *deathstar* weapon. The fact that big-pharma leader Baxter released real live Bird Flu Virus in their flu vaccine is of course only an accident, as Baxter International, claimed (see [Bloomberg: Baxter Sent Bird Flu Virus to European Labs by Error](#), February 24, 2009, and [Vaccines as Biological Weapons? Live Avian Flu Virus Placed in Baxter Vaccine Materials Sent to 18 Countries](#), March 03, 2009). Obvious questions like the following were raised even by mainstream narrators looking at the fishbowl with childlike simplicity.

LifeGen.de, the German special interest online-magazine which claims to have 90,000 subscribers, in its editorial of February 26, 2009, pondered:

*"There is no excuse. According to the scientific network PROMED, Baxter International Inc. in Austria "unintentionally contaminated samples with the bird flu virus that were used in laboratories in 3 neighbouring countries, raising concern about the potential spread of the deadly disease". Austria, Germany, Slovenia [Slovenia] and the Czech Republic – these are the countries in which labs were hit with dangerous viruses. **Not by bioterrorist commandos, but by Baxter. In other words: One of the major global pharmaceutical players seems to have lost control over a virus which is considered by many virologists to be one of the components leading some day to a new pandemic.** Was it H5N1, or the even more risky H3N2? And what about the BSL3-Standards Baxter is operating when handling the viruses? What happened? And who failed?"* -- LifeGen.de, [Baxter's H5N1 as global flu pandemic threat](#)

We see this theme repeating itself by their own admission! Had the "accident" succeeded in wiping out a large population of mankind, at worst, it would have been deemed a tragic "accident"! At best, only a natural pandemic. In either presentation of this public relations, the agenda of population reduction being achieved with no one to blame! The big-Pharma has already been given legal immunity against any wrong-doings for their vaccines in the guise of rushing pandemic-fighting solutions rapidly to market. Even this "accident" had no punitive and legal repercussions for Baxter International as such! They are exempt even if their vaccines kill people! It is only an ex post facto "oops"! And that is

The "oops" plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous "oops" is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*.

precisely both the legal and the public relations plan – right before your very eyes!

The “oops” plan is one of the continuous marvels of information age where entire nations can be decimated with more Depleted Uranium laced tonnage of munitions than were dropped on Vietnam, their tabula rasa bombed to smithereens under carefully crafted mantras as pretext for *imperial mobilization*, and only the marvelous “oops” is sufficient for the *hectoring hegemons* to absolve themselves of the *white man's burden*. The Iraq Study Group of 2005 commissioned by president George W. Bush to “investigate” the “intelligence failure” on Iraq, set an incontrovertible trend to be repeated on all matters of primacy before the criminally idiotic public sufficiently dumbed down to permit the fait accompli in the first place. Afterwards – “oops” works, if even necessary: ***“We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,”!***

Even admitting that it was Machiavellianly orchestrated, ex post facto is acceptable because it cannot undo what's already been wrought (see [Pentagon's Message Machine](#), New York Times, April 20, 2008). A senior White House advisor had shamelessly explained the modern methods of primacy and its predatory imperatives to the New York Times correspondent in 2004, flush with the hubris of being untouchable: ***“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”!*** (Ron Suskind, New York Times, [Oct. 17, 2004](#)). The same modus operandi is at work in this yet another *create a problem and offer its solution* scheming of pandemic-vaccinations.

What this Baxter “accident” fortuitously betrayed to the world was the method's existence to infect the world population with deadly viruses through vaccines! And had it not been serendipitously caught by a diligent practitioner outside Baxter before administering it to humans, leading up to the forced vaccinations of civilian populations worldwide (see [Wake Up, America: Forced vaccinations](#)), shows that all the concerns which have been discussed above are not only practicable, but perhaps already in “clinical trials”! The legalized backing from global laws on

vaccinations through the WHO is already in place to force public vaccination under the pretext of fighting the pandemic. Even the definition of what is a “pandemic” has extraordinarily been revised to facilitate its easy declaration: “*WHO has revised its definition of pandemic flu in response to current experience with A/H1N1.*” [reported BMJ](#) in September 2009.

Despite all these disclosures, presenting its baby face of wonderment to the world, the Council on Foreign Relations in New York held a [Pandemic Influenza Conference](#) on October 16, 2009, where it complained about the anemic uptake in public vaccinations. One scholar from the audience lamented the public's resistance to being vaccinated against the swine flu during this stage-6 pandemic declaration in these words: “**[anti-vaccine movement] Crazy people who think the vaccine will kill you**”. The panelist thought up a clever public relations plan to increase the vaccine uptake (only half-jokingly I am sure): “**Having said that, does it work to mandate [vaccination]? I think what would work better would be to say that there was a shortage and people tend to buy more of something that's in demand. (Laughter.) We saw that -- there was one season where, really, people lined up all night to get a flu shot.**” (see [The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine](#))

Fortuitously for mankind, the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic “clinical trial” actually was defeated by circumstances which could not be controlled by the vaccination committees peddling the pandemic despite all their advanced preparations. Even the DER SPIEGEL staff could only conclude in their best innocence after their exhaustive study which appeared in their March 12, 2010 issue: “**No one at the WHO, RKI or PEI should feel proud of themselves. These organizations have gambled away precious confidence. When the next pandemic arrives, who will believe their assessments?**” (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).

Is all this alternate perspective just hyper-imagination? On the surface it seems far fetched doesn't it? What monstrous entity would be so heinous as to want to play god, and even not fear not getting away with it? Who has that kind of global power? Again – without a gestalt shift in perspective, the feline's instincts for predation cannot be understood by the baby staring at the beauty of creation!

But what really is the real truth regarding modern medicine?


Is it pro-life ("Primum non nocere": "First, do no harm"), or is it pro-population reduction?

If it is the latter, then how comes whenever I go to the doctor when I am ill I usually come back healthy – I should be dead by now if they were really trying to kill me! But if they are really trying to heal me, why do they preclude the development of other non big-Pharma related modalities of health care, such as alternate medicine?

Is private big-Pharma's monopoly control over the national and international healthcare parameters worldwide just good old fashioned monopoly capitalism just like the one the private Federal Reserve Bank of the United States enjoys in issuing this nation's money?

Well, if such private monopoly control is okay for the financial oligarchy controlling a nation's money supply, why is it not okay for the same oligarchy controlling the nation's health supply? David Rockefeller indeed stated his altruistic preference at the UN Ambassador's dinner speech: ***"It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process."*** Is there anything insidiously diabolical or nefarious about privatized and unelected nexus of public control exercised through the United Nations which today extends its impact over the entire globe's population?

How can one distinguish between modern medicine's apparent faux pas amidst its seemingly well-intentioned attempts to heal in the short term (see *iatrogenic* death rate in [Death By Medicine](#) and big-Pharma's [Chemotherapy 'could cause brain damage' in breast cancer patients](#)), vs. its prima facie and well-documented long term conspiracies to exterminate for eugenics and population reduction while making a profit for the big-pharma which controls all aspects of it?




Caption: A gestalt shift in perspective is required to understand this question of *iatrogenicity vs. humanicide* (slide Desiree Rover's presentation)

Or, is the unspeakable fact of the matter really this: that modern medicine, as part of modern science and technology, is yet another tortuous link in the [conspiracy by the oligarchy](#) to scientifically control [life itself](#) in the dystopia of [one world government](#) which has been in open-planning for a very long time; that modern medicine is a healing craft only in the short-term under the monopoly control of the financial oligarchy which funds and oversees it via the big-Pharma and its various administering, legislating, regulating, licensing, and fund granting organizations like the NSF, AMA, FDA, FAO, Lobbies, WHO, and the United Nations, enroute to its development as a corrosive scientific supra-national controller of life itself in the longer term.

Can one imagine such a dystopian universal controller in a scientific dictatorship which would want to **"standardize the population, to iron-out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system ... to get people actually to love their servitude"**? Here is one depicted in Aldous Huxley's 1931 fable [A Brave New World](#). And that quoted description is also Aldous Huxley's own from his [1962 speech at UC Berkeley](#), titled *The Ultimate Revolution*. Listen to that 40 minute most revealing speech before going for *A Brave New World* – it is almost as if Huxley is reading off of some blueprint of the future:

[<http://sunsite.berkeley.edu/VideoTest/hux1.ram>]



[Watch A Brave New World](#)

[googlevideo=http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-3536993421073315692&hl=en]

Well, one of the major themes of the fable *A Brave New World*, is birth control, separation of sexuality from reproduction, and sexualizing children beginning at a very young age (see [Parents upset over crotchless panties sold in kid's store, cached](#), watch [video](#)). Signs are all around us wherever Westerndom's cultural influences pervade, for setting the stage for a new world in which sex plays no part in reproduction but is encouraged for recreation and bodily needs without the traditional bounds of marriage. It is of course the only method by which to achieve the controlled flat birth-rate consistent with Commandment number 1 of the Georgia Guidestones noted above while permitting unlimited recreational sex.

The psychological (for each individual), and sociological (for the society), conditioning necessary for such asexual reproduction must begin in childhood, just as is depicted in Aldous Huxley's fable. Elements of the fable are now visibly beginning to match with empirical reality where even pre-adolescent elementary school kids are exposed to it in pop culture – that being the prime Trojan Horse for infiltrating and subverting conservative mores and customs. Subversion of tradition is necessary before such a dystopia where family bonds are replaced by collectivist and functional bonds, can be achieved.

Control of sexuality however is still just one link in the greater interconnected chain which includes research in big-Pharma, modern medicine, technology, and all aspects of socialization, for the full spectrum control of mind-body-spirit of human beings in a scientific dictatorship. Yes, even spirit, for which a new religion has

been conceived, Secular Humanism! (see [Brian Gerrish 'State of the Nation'](#)) All of this has been most effectively enabled by the "Technetronic Era". That neologism was coined by Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's role in the Technetronic Era*. Brzezinski, like Aldous Huxley before him, coldly observed: **"Life seems to lack cohesion as environment rapidly alters and human beings become increasingly manipulable and malleable. Everything seems more transitory and temporary: external reality more fluid than solid, the human being more synthetic than authentic. Even our senses perceive an entirely novel "reality"—one of our own making but nevertheless, in terms of our sensations, quite "real." More important, there is already widespread concern about the possibility of biological and chemical tampering with what has until now been considered the immutable essence of man. Human conduct, some argue, can be predetermined and subjected to deliberate control. Man is increasingly acquiring the capacity to determine the sex of his children, to affect through drugs the extent of their intelligence, and to modify and control their personalities. Speaking of a future at most only decades away, an experimenter in intelligence control asserted, "I foresee the time when we shall have the means and therefore, inevitably, the temptation to manipulate the behaviour and intellectual functioning of all the people through environmental and biochemical manipulation of the brain."**" (pg. 12)

Only when such an overarching societal control agenda is understood, does the perception management and social engineering politics of two steps forward and one step backwards in such headlines as the following begin to make any sense: [Supreme Court Blocks Government Plan To Claim Ownership Of DNA](#). Why does the government want to own your child's DNA? And they will, eventually, under one pretext or another.

Research in medicine has today inextricably become linked with bioweapons research, genetics, and DNA/cellular level manipulation of life and its food sources.

In combination with big Agri-business and genetically modified pesticide laden food stock being forced upon the world (see Codex Alimentarius and the Monsanto world), and the vaccination regimen also being forced upon the world, induced sterility over a generation or two will see drastic reduction in world population

beginning with the third world peoples. There is simply no other reason for Microsoft founder Bill Gates to be so concerned with polio, mosquitos and malaria throughout Asia and vaccinating the poor children for free when the indigent peoples don't even have clean drinking water or roof over their head, never mind one square meal a day ("[decade of vaccines](#)"): *"Bill Gates, the Microsoft founder and philanthropist, will donate \$10 billion (£6 billion) over the next decade to develop and deliver new vaccines to children in the developing world in one of the biggest ever single charitable donations. Speaking at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Mr Gates called for a "decade of vaccines" to reduce child mortality dramatically by 2020. **By the end of the decade, 90 per cent of children in the world's poorest nations would be immunised against dangerous diseases such as diarrhoea and pneumonia, he said, saving some eight million lives.**"* See [Funding the Eugenics Movement](#).

The weaponization of viruses, for human and food supply is the most secretive and most prolific military technology of the West today. As previously stated above, can its covert unleashing in conjunction with big Pharma's vaccines, and modern draconian methods of controlling the public to take them, be part of population engineering (watch [CBS-60-Minutes 1976 Swine Flu Scare, Dr. Teresa Forcades: BELL-TOLLING for Swine Flu, transcript](#))?

Well, as already examined in the report [Swine Flu Pandemic of 2009](#), any deadly interactions among these as sophisticated weapons systems which are only lethal when their effects are combined together and designed to selectively cull populations in different modalities – from infertility to sterility in offspring to epidemic death – can always be blamed upon God, nature, or just bad luck and gullibly accepted by the surviving public with proper propaganda support! And therefore, the question has more than mere rhetorical significance.

Let's examine that question of motivation in the words of those mighty gods with real state powers and the ability to inflict it upon vast populations, and not be content with the mere words etched in stone monuments in state parks or in some rich bankers' fertile imagination merely longing to play god.

The [NSSM 200](#) Memorandum of the United States National Security Council already envisioned such draconian measures in 1974 in a concluding section titled '**An**

Alternative View': "Should the U.S. make an all out commitment to major limitation of world population with all the financial and international as well as domestic political costs that would entail. ... Would food be considered an instrument of national power? Will we be forced to make choices as to whom we can reasonably assist, and if so, should population efforts be a criterion for such assistance? Is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?" See the cited link for in-context deconstruction of that Memorandum written by the then Secretary of State Dr. Henry Kissinger which posited rising third world population a threat to America's National Security! President Gerald Ford subsequently signed-off on NSSM 200 in the White House's National Security Decision Memorandum NSDM 314, agreeing to the population control agenda for world's most populous Least Developed Countries outlined by Henry Kissinger.

What scientific and political modalities were secretly thought through for NSSM 200 and NSDM 314, and in their follow-ons that are still classified such that even their existence is not known, which are in baby-steps and in stages covertly being deployed against the Least Developed Countries unbeknownst to their public? The Monsanto GMO seeds – in conjunction with the WTO treaties which leverage off of the third world debt under the WB-IMF tag team – now being forced upon the Least Developed Countries either as foreign aid or as acceptance condition for continued foreign aid, and the real danger of these genetically modified seeds yielding catastrophic crop failures resulting in widespread famine which will put these poorest nations at the direct existential mercy of the West, has misanthropic implications already visible even in the declassified NSSM 200. Coupled with biowarfare agents administered by vaccinations and/or other dispersion methods, population reduction modalities and global eugenics are no longer science fiction. It has now become merely policy implementation of who, when and where, not how!

Furthermore, Bertrand Russell's 1952 book *The Impact of Science and Society*, in [Chapter 7](#) argued the misanthropic rationale for applying draconian methods of population control in the poorest nations of the world for the benefit of the affluent Western nations – if only the world's public had the opportunity to read what is so eruditely planned in high places for them:

“What is the inevitable result if the increase of population is not

checked? There must be a very general lowering of the standard of life in what are now prosperous countries. ... What, then, can we do?

Apart from certain deep-seated prejudices, the answer would be obvious. The nations which are present increase rapidly should be encouraged to adopt the methods by which, in the West, the increase of population has been checked. Educational propaganda, with government help, could achieve this result in a generation. There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion, the other is nationalism. I think it is the duty of all who are capable of facing facts to realize, and to proclaim, that opposition to the spread of birth control, if successful, must inflict upon mankind the most appalling depth of misery and degradation, and that within another fifty years or so.

I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's. However, I am wandering from the question of stability, to which I must return.

There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority. All these methods have been practised: the first for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by the Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's Republic; the third in the world as some Western internationalists hope to make

it and in Soviet Russia. (it is not to be supposed that Indians and Chinese like starving, but they have to endure it because armaments of the West are too strong for them.)

Of these three, only birth control avoids extreme cruelty and unhappiness for the majority of human beings. Meanwhile, so long as there is not a single world government there will be competition for power among different nations. And as increase of population brings the threat of famine, national power will become more and more obviously the only way of avoiding starvation. There will there be blocs in which the hungry nations band together against those that are well fed. That is the explanation of the victory of communism in China.

These considerations prove that a scientific world society cannot be stable unless there is world government." (pgs. 115-116)

These self-serving specious justifications of the Western ubermensch, prima facie blueprint the national security policy documents like NSSM 200 which are exercised through the governmental-corporate-academe-NGO nexus of the vast military-industrial complexes of the West. Similar policy documents must surely exist in the European Union and its affluent nations as well, which also outlive their successive political administrations just as they do in the United States of America. The strange continuity of the entire Global North's predatory practices inflicted upon the natural resources rich and yet still debt laden and poverty stricken Global South, despite the frequent changes in democratically elected governments in the white houses of Western democracies, is inexplicable otherwise.

Furthermore, Baxter's vaccine "accident", and Monsanto crop failures in previously fertile regions in many parts of the third world, prima facie and incontrovertibly demonstrate the genocidal methods already available today for inducing *humanicide*, the population reduction agenda. While other techniques of inducing long term mortality, via infertility and sterility over a generation or two, are only forensically arguable at this time by witnessing the bizarre mega spendings on children vaccinations in the most populous and least developed countries in Asia and Africa as if the West really cared for their welfare. Voiding the third world debt

alone would be sufficient to ameliorate their condition if the affluent International bankers holding that debt-enslavement chain really cared for the 'untermensch' children's sufferings (see John Perkins' [A Game as old as Empire](#), [intro-cached](#)).

[Watch The World According to Monsanto](#)

[googlevideo=http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6262083407501596844]

[Watch Food: The Ultimate Secret Exposed](#)

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MSpkLk0vYmk]

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-B9MeO3SRxU]

II

Returning to our main focus on Western medicine and the role of big-Pharma, it is commonsense to observe that if there was no immediate and near term benefit of modern medicine, there'd be no one subscribing to it. That ubiquitous subscription ought not to blind one to its visible eventual direction however. And that's the agenda of modern medicine – to continue to blind one to that long-term agenda by its short term benefits. It is the same modus operandi as the one fueling the “Technetronic Era” for its immediate benefits, but which is also hurtling the world into the global surveillance society of big Brother and full scientific dictatorship at breakneck speeds. That same agenda in medicine could not perhaps be achieved without monopoly control of all health care modalities and research, and of course without attracting and luring young scientists to work in life sciences and medicine.

The near term benefits we see today in healthcare, the advanced surgical techniques, the trauma centers and the ER, and what we typically think of as wonders of modern medicine, does span an entire life-span, or two. It helps millions of people everyday. These benefits to our good-life in the near term are undeniable, as even David Rockefeller articulated at the UN Dinner. Just like the wonders of modern science and technologies also benefit the modern kitchen to modern travel in our “Technetronic Era”. These benefits of a scientific modernity are part and parcel of the same incentivization modalities to get people to tirelessly

work on their narrow passionate interests in the short term, often in the name of scientific advancement benefiting humanity, or national security, quite oblivious to their detrimental long-term outcomes. These self-serving motivations and their cumulative impact on society in creating a global scientific dictatorship have already been fleshed out in [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

But modern medicine does love symptomatic treatments even when it is not trying to kill us in the short term. I cannot recall big-Pharma announcing a cure for any major modern disease – can you? – from cancer to heart disease to diabetes to AIDS. The best patients are the ones on illness management drugs for a lifetime. There is much profit in administering a continuous supply of pharmacological cocktails rather than any holistic cure. And a new disease is as simple to define as simply calling it a disorder!

As reported by George F. Will in The Washington Post, Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders, [February 28, 2010](#): ***"Today's DSM defines "oppositional defiant disorder" as a pattern of "negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures." Symptoms include "often loses temper," "often deliberately annoys people" or "is often touchy." DSM omits this symptom: "is a teenager."***

The draconian implication of this new "disorder" definition in DSM was not lost upon the cynical journalist: ***"If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a "disorder" akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, "disabilities" include any "mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities"; "mental impairments" include "emotional or mental illness." So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk."***

George Will continued: *"The revised DSM reportedly may include "binge eating disorder" and "hypersexual disorder" ("a great deal of time" devoted to "sexual fantasies and urges" and "planning for and engaging in sexual behavior"). Concerning children, there might be "temper dysregulation disorder with dysphoria." **This last categorization illustrates the serious stakes in the categorization of behaviors. Extremely irritable or aggressive children are***

frequently diagnosed as bipolar and treated with powerful antipsychotic drugs.”

And that has now become a trend for recruiting new patients – to continually move it downwards in age. Children are now the focussed target of big-Pharma, with *Attention Deficit Disorder* diagnosis rampant, and other pharmacological infestations into the child's body occurring from birth as part of modern healthcare. It isn't voluntary, as systems of exclusions of rights and opportunities are legally attached to not being responsive to modern medicine and its diktats. That is what is meant by “legal entitlement”!

The [following](#) shocking revelation by Dr. Rima Laibow while responding to a question at the Anti-Zensur Konferenz (AZK - Anti-censorship conference) in Germany is notable in this respect: *“In the United States there was a law enacted, which was signed into law by the twice unelected president of the United States, George Bush. That law is called the New Freedom Initiative. The New Freedom Initiative signed into law in November 2004, says the following: Says every child in the United States, including unborn children, must be screened for mental illness. [Former executive sales rep for Eli Lilly & Co., Dr. John Rengen Virapen, interjecting: This was a study done by George Bush's Institute for Mental Health in Texas, which was built by Eli Lilly & Co.] But there is more. **Step One: screen every child including the unborn by screening their mothers for mental illness. Step Two: treat on a mandatory basis, every child who is found to have, quote, mental illness, which means, every child. And Step Three: screen and treat on a mandatory basis, every single person who has any contact with children because we have to protect the little dears. That means mothers, fathers, shop keepers, school teachers, grandparents, neighbors, everyone. So first we control, first we control, and we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs, and then eventually, we depopulate.”** (minute 7:30)*

The fact that the above statement ***“we make ill because every drug requires more drugs, which require more drugs, and more drugs,”*** is empirically true in many cases not just for drugs but also for therapies such as radiation, can straightforwardly be gleaned in this continually updated [iatrogenic survey](#) of Western medicine culled from news reports. And therefore, the first-cause question

raised earlier about modern medicine has to be addressed at every stage by the shrewd reader concerned with health matters. For emphasis, it is restated: Is this malevolence of modern medicine deliberate, or, merely *iatrogenic*? That medical terminology means having inadvertent or unintended side-effects. Furthermore, if it is the latter, then is it due to big-Pharma's *raison d'être* of big profits, and their collusion with the FDA to rush drugs and therapies to market which are poorly tested over the long term for complex side-effects? Or do all these hierarchical goals just work seamlessly in tandem? None who are so innocent of knowledge and naively staring at the fishbowl can ever comprehend all its felinic dimensions.

While I have to admit that I have not yet been able to locate and study the original source document for that unlayering of Newspeak by Dr. Rima Laibow behind Executive Order 13217, titled: **"New Freedom Initiative and its goal of community integration for people with disabilities"**, the business of statecraft couched in neurolinguistic phenomenology to imply one thing for public perception and mean quite another is not unfamiliar to those who study it. The Orwellian use of the already very familiar words like "Freedom" to mask diabolical intent, as in "Operation Iraqi Freedom", would only come as a surprise to those most acutely suffering from the *dumbed-down disability* (DDD). Similarly, the wholesome word "community" and the concept of "community integration", minus the Newspeak is explained very perceptively by California State employee and activist Rosa Koire in her talk on [How your community is implementing AGENDA 21](#) (minute 8:30).

If one has the stamina to sit through Rosa Koire's 90 minute entertaining talk, one will come to understand that the Agenda 21 is all pervasive, multifaceted, and its collectivist *prime directive*, the depopulation of the planet and its global management through central governance, is being implemented locally in stages! However, by looking at each local enactment and baby-step individually, it is impossible to see the big picture in much the same way as painstakingly examining each atom and its sub-structure in the water molecule still makes it impossible to understand why the water naturally only flows downstream.

Nobel laureate physicist Max Planck expressed this holistic fact of system analysis most aptly: **"Modern physics has taught us that the nature of any system cannot be discovered by dividing it into its component parts and studying**

each part by itself, since such a method often implies the loss of important properties of the system. We must keep our attention fixed on the whole and on the interconnection between the parts.” (Cited in Critique of Western Philosophy and Social Theory By David Sprintzen, pg. 76)

Consequently, in order to acutely comprehend the larger interconnection between parts, one has to not only understand many parts, but also the historical trends and dynamics in the interconnection between those parts – as these are neither static, nor temporal, but evidently bring a long hysteresis effect to their current state and future direction. A former nursing student Jennifer Lake has compiled an interesting medicine Timeline to this effect, spanning the period between 1830 to present, where the long-term trends of modern medicine and the medical establishment's conduct leading us here, is self-evident (see [Jennifer Lake's Blog](#)).

But it is of course next to impossible to understand any of this in the limited time dedicated professionals have available to them after toiling all day long in their 'American Dreams'.

Which brings us back to the busy doctors and their knowledge of their own profession, never mind of complicated agendas and trends which both transcend their profession as well as is part of their profession. The modern doctors don't appear to know too much about the medicines and vaccines they prescribe to their own patients, behaving more and more like technicians for big-Pharma. This empirical observation is most unfortunate because many practitioners I am sure, and two of my own children are laboring in that endeavor of the healing sciences, come to this profession with a great deal of idealism. But what happens by the time they have graduated medical school and labored 80-100 hours per week in their residencies at barely livable wage and a quarter of million dollars in debt? They become slaves to the dogmas of big-Pharma.

Indeed, the modern physician, from pediatrician to internal medicine to oncologist to psychiatrist, all appear most beholden to whatever is the prevailing cultural dogma of big-Pharma, to the FDA, to the AMA, to the WHO, and to the sales rep of big-Pharma from whom they evidently get all their knowledge of the drugs they automatically prescribe like robots based on a symptom diagnostic table also provided to them by big-Pharma. The choice of radiology and surgery for this

reason appeals to some because these entail the least interaction with big-Pharma. A few also just walk away. This is what Jennifer Lake wrote on her blog: ***"Welcome to my blog. I was a nursing student in 2005-2006 and decided not to enter the field and give people drugs. My school experience opened my eyes to the depth of medical fraud within the military-industrial-medical-complex and the willful cover-up of the real causes of disease."***

Western Medicine, in the name of protecting the patients from quackery, is the most draconianly regulated profession in the world with big Brother management across the board. This big Brother works for big-Pharma. And big-Pharma controls the medical profession as well as its professionals. All non big-Pharma healing methods are minimally considered unorthodox when not outright condemned, even when empiricism speaks otherwise and even when respectable medical coverage is given to it.

A notable example of this "unorthodox" treatment which received considerable medical press, but with otherwise little interest shown by the medical establishment other than as a quirky curiosity, is Norman Cousins. The New England Journal of Medicine published a revealing case study of self-healing by Norman Cousins (Cousins, N. Anatomy of an Illness (as Perceived by the Patient) NEJM 295:1458-1463 December 23, 1976). Cousins expanded that NEJM article into a 1979 book, [Anatomy of an Illness](#) (As Perceived by the Patient), detailing his observations of the medical profession and his "unorthodox" experiments in self-healing. He was stricken by a painfully debilitating illness in 1964 upon returning from a trip to the Soviet Union, which was diagnosed as *ankylosing spondylitis*. Eventually, after all the medical remedies in the hospital had proved futile, and as he put it in his book: ***"I had a fast-growing conviction that a hospital is no place for a person who is seriously ill."*** (pg. 31), Cousins left the hospital, checked into a hotel, and under his own enlightened doctor's supervision, a Dr. William Hitzig, created for himself a daily schedule of hearty laughter therapy as an exercise in mind-body self-healing.

Norman Cousins' book contains many commonsensical insights into the rational and wholly scientific thought processes behind its author's specific experiments in self-healing carried out under the close supervision of his licensed physician. Such general analytical thought processes which affectively tickle the natural human

biochemistry is not known to be FDA approved (sic!). There is no profit in natural or nature-derived remedies for big-Pharma which projects anything non-pharmacological as a zealous move towards abandoning the very canons of science. Therefore, modalities afforded freely by nature, by low cost natural remedies, and by natural human biochemistry do not constitute any significant part of the medical school training regimen which is entirely high-margin pharmaceutical driven as the Alpha and Omega of modern medical intervention.

Detractors and public relations experts shilling for the established medical profession make their own "independent" pronouncements in the "public interest" but almost always in favor of their priesthood whenever an "unorthodox" case gains unusual prominence. As [this chap](#) from the National Council Against Health Fraud ("NCAHF is a private nonprofit, voluntary health agency that focuses upon health misinformation, fraud, and quackery as public health problems.") did against the empiricism brought forth by Norman Cousins, and the consequent interest it generated among the public. Since the medical establishment rarely funds any scientific studies into these non big-Pharma healing modalities, it is easy to dismiss its effectiveness as quirky, non-scientific, or faith-based. This self-serving loop is retained in modern medicine by design by big-Pharma and its big Brother enforcers.

[Here](#) is a former sales executive and Managing Director for Eli Lilly and Company, Sweden AB, Dr. John Rengen Virapen, speaking at the same Anti-Zensur Konferenz in Germany as Dr. Rima Laibow mentioned above, where he explained the big-Pharma's system of co-option. In the conference presentation, Virapen admits to bribing the regulatory board in Sweden to approve Prozac! Dr. Virapen also wrote his confessional memoir, *Side Effects: Death - Confessions of a Pharma-Insider*, blowing the whistle on Eli Lilly's and big-Pharma's shady practices. In this [blogtalkradio](#) 2007 interview, Dr. Virapen explained more details on how he did the bribing for Eli Lilly and Company to get Prozac approved.

Dr. Virapen's confessional insights into the overall systems of corruption, including his own personal corruption for the lure of the good life and high society, clearly demonstrates how corrosively big-Pharma infects both regulatory bodies via political lobbies and kickbacks, as well as the medical practitioners via their indoctrinating training, that few are able to retain whatever iota of Hippocratic

idealism that had brought them to their profession.

Especially, when doctors are employed by HMOs and hospitals which have standardized diagnostic and prescription regimens, it leaves little room for discretion for any talented physicians who might wish to explore refrains or alternatives not sanctioned by the hospital or the insurance companies.

When the FDA wisdom, and the WHO wisdom, both of which represent the big-Pharma interests, is to prescribe flu shots or some vaccination protocol for instance, and not just their paycheck but their ability to practice their profession depends on following those diktats, then which physician has ever done any first hand research on the drug or the vaccination protocol on their own? Which physician has the time, or the inclination to go against the wisdom du jour? During the 2009 Swine Flu pandemic declaration by the WHO to unprecedented Stage-6 for instance, how many in the medical profession administering the swine flu vaccines bothered to study first hand either the pandemic or the vaccination protocol dictated by the authorities? Even the Director-General of WHO was following orders of her superiors who told her exactly what to proclaim next.

I wrote to Margaret Chan, the figurehead boss of WHO, on May 09, 2009: *"I write you respectfully to express immense concern, and without mincing words. I would like to draw your kind attention to the letter to editor which is reproduced below. It expresses grave reservations that the **World Health Organization is acting as a global sales-rep for vaccine manufacturers.** I specifically draw your kind attention to the "Note on abetting the Pandemic" which is cited below. **It is quite likely that you have become an unwitting pawn in the ruling oligarchs' game of population reduction.** If you finally come to realize that [fact] through your [own] due diligence, I urge you to make that information public from your high pulpit. At worst, you will lose your job. **But your unconscionable inaction, or mere resignation in silence, will lead to a billion-plus exterminated, with WHO subsequently claiming all the credit for saving the rest.** There is likely a Nobel Peace Prize lurking in there somewhere for all this 'saving'. And the cycle will repeat."* See details in my [Letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, WHO: Global Sales-Rep for Big-Pharma!](#)

It is just assumed by the vast majority of medical practitioners that the FDA and

the WHO have done their own due diligence, and that they operate in good faith under the same Hippocratic oath. In this perspective of the baby staring at the complicated creature in the fishbowl with wondrous innocence, there is no comprehension of the psychology and prime directive of the tom cat eyeing the same as a tasty morsel. The system ensures that the very existence of the tom cat is denied. But the evidence of its existence is neither hidden, nor classified top secret. It is just inconvenient to see it.

The incursion of big-Pharma into medical school training regimens to groom that very faithful and trusting physician is now so notorious that few pay any attention to it. The Western medical profession takes big-Pharma's very visible role in their profession as just the "normal" part of modern medicine. It is often rationalized away as "they are spending a billion dollars in research every year". So this [2009 news story](#) of **"Two hundred Harvard Medical School students are confronting the school's administration, demanding an end to pharmaceutical industry influence in the classroom."** was refreshing indeed.

The New York Times ran the following report on [March 2, 2009](#): *"In a first-year pharmacology class at Harvard Medical School, Matt Zerden grew wary as the professor promoted the benefits of cholesterol drugs and seemed to belittle a student who asked about side effects. Mr. Zerden later discovered something by searching online that he began sharing with his classmates. **The professor was not only a full-time member of the Harvard Medical faculty, but a paid consultant to 10 drug companies, including five makers of cholesterol treatments.** ... The Harvard students have already secured a requirement that all professors and lecturers disclose their industry ties in class — a blanket policy that has been adopted by no other leading medical school. **(One Harvard professor's disclosure in class listed 47 company affiliations.)"***

But that overt role of big-Pharma and its disclosure of conflict of interest with such fanfare in the New York Times is already layered upon the entire implicit pharmacological dogma set up by the Rockefellers at the turn of the twentieth century as cited in the presentation by Desiree Rover. So what is being protested by the Harvard medical students is just the tip of the ice-berg. Disclosing this overt conflict of interest by doctors teaching medical students to prescribe drugs which they have financial interest in seeing sold, while I am sure beneficial for some

purpose, does little to alter the interlocking and incestuously self-reinforcing medical profession itself which is controlled by big-Pharma. If not this drug, then that drug – what's the difference since that's the only permitted choice?

Want to reform that? Instead of the mere disclosure of conflict of interest, make conflict of interest itself illegal across the medical profession – for that would surely be a tad more useful than merely talking about it. Make it illegal for doctors to be a financial part of big-pharma, and make it illegal for big-pharma to offer any inducements of any sort to the medical practitioners which is even broadly speaking conflict of interest.

But such sensible laws can of course never be passed because big-Pharma thriving on Wall Street on the very existence and continuous supply of “sick people”, is itself the first prima facie conflict of interest!

And even that pecuniary motivation is itself just the tip of the iceberg. The long-term *prime directive* of big-Pharma, like that of big Agri-business, both crafted by the same financial oligarchy, is control of life itself and not just mere profit. **It is an egregious mistake to think that it is all about profit – “money money money” – it is not.** Profits are merely the payback to its technicians and administrators who enable implementing the *prime directive* year after year in baby-steps. I am certain that even the top scientists and executives of big-Pharma remain as unaware of the *prime directive* as the top scientists and executives of the high-tech industry remain oblivious of the presuppositions and axioms under which they are continuously ushering in the Technetronic Era to its natural culmination (see [the Seduction of Science and Technology](#)).

Therefore, the same New York Times article also reporting: **“Harvard Medical School’s peers received much higher grades, ranging from the A for the University of Pennsylvania, to B’s received by Stanford, Columbia and New York University, to the C for Yale.”**, is hardly much of a differentiator for the overall practice of Western medicine. This specious grading system is itself meaningless as it is layered upon the same Rockefeller axioms which run big medicine and train medical professionals year after year in all the Western medical schools under the FDA and AMA mandates authored by big-Pharma, which is itself controlled by the financial oligarchy. That unfettered exercise of power to craft and

legalize un-challengeable axioms at the very source from which all practice naturally follows, as was stated by the Rothschild scion for the power to coin a nation's money supply: **"give me control of a nation's money supply and I care not who makes its laws"**, makes the grading system as useful as the economic policies when controlled by the same monopolistic private cabal. That cabal now presides over the world's destiny, towards the full spectrum global management of the planet and its resources – which includes life!

Their control is being enabled daily in baby-steps. The following was reported in my earlier examination of micro-chipping the population during the swine flu pandemic as the pretext (see [Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making](#)). And that malevolent control of human beings was only temporarily thwarted, despite being led by the Council on Foreign Relations, CDC, and WHO, when the so called pandemic turned into vaporware (see [The Swine Flu Postscript: 'Reconstruction of a Mass Hysteria – The Swine Flu Panic of 2009'](#)).

In his New Technologies and innovations show-and-tell in Toronto in April 2008, Dr. Patrick Redmond described the current state of tracking devices, the RFID chips: **"Hitachi a few years ago produced a chip (called the mu chip) that was the size of a pencil point; if you take a pencil and put it on a piece of paper you get a little dot. That's how small they're getting. In 2007 Hitachi came out with a chip that was even smaller, they call it RFID powder. They are just like the talcum powder you would put on a baby. Somark Innovations in Jan 10, 2007 announced an invisible RFID ink. This can be applied to cattle, prime cuts of meat, military personnel and it can be read through hair."**

Dr. Patrick Redmond observed of its deployment trend that: **"... by 2011 you should be able to go on Google and find out where someone is at any time from chips on clothing, in cars, cell phones, and inside many people themselves."**

Dr. Patrick Redmond, 31 years with IBM before he retired, further noted the recent staggering growth of the RFID industry: **"From 1955 to 2005, cumulative sales of radio tags totaled 2.4 billion; in 2007 alone, 2.24 billion tags were sold worldwide and analysts project that by 2017 cumulative sales will top 1**

trillion-generating more than \$25 billion in annual revenues for the industry."

The [article-transcript](#) of Dr. Redmond's talk is most revealing if unfamiliar with the extent of technological deployment of RFIDs. He not only surveyed how RFIDs are being used today for commodity tracking, but also how these might be used in the near future for psychotropic behavior control when perception management via propaganda (see [The Mighty Wurlitzer by Zahir Ebrahim](#)) fails , ominously concluding: ***"If chips can disseminate medicine then they can disseminate other things too; anything put inside a microchip can be activated by a signal. And finally, with this technology, subliminal mind control becomes possible."***

The *Technetronic Era* has willingly brought the world full circle from Aldous Huxley's 1931 mood-altering drug "SOMA" in his fable *A Brave New World*, to his 1962 predictions at his already cited talk at the University of California Berkeley of the advancements in social engineering through direct behavior control whereby people will actually be made to love their own servitude creating the ***"ultimate in malevolent revolution"***, to its real enablement within 50 years, in our own lifetime.

Is this mere happenstance, an inevitable side-effect of technology, or societal control wielded by Machiavelli and worked towards by the many architects of hegemony in every generation? According to Zbigniew Brzezinski, the author of *The Grand Chessboard*, the co-founder of the Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller and its first executive director, and America's National Security Advisor under president Jimmy Carter (1976-80): *"Another threat, less overt but no less basic, confronts liberal democracy. More directly linked to the impact of technology, it involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled and directed society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. **Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control.**"* (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, *Between Two Ages*, 1970, pg. 97)

Any modern day physician anywhere in the world having taken the Hippocratic and AMA sponsored oaths in their own local language will naturally bark reading all this, protesting with utmost sincerity and with much innocence of knowledge I am sure, that these are just the natural errors or happenstances of the system and that there isn't some overarching diabolical agenda for inducing lucrative sicknesses, long term sterility, and population reduction behind it. But, over the course of another hundred years or less, perhaps within our own children's lifetime – these happenstances will see a world government patterned in some combination drawn from all these fables.... Is such a felinic world desirable? Should the common man drawn by bread and circuses stop to worry about his own children's fate? Should the passionate scientists and technicians working on it care a bit more than the lure of Nobel prizes and induction into National Inventors Hall of Fame?

It is not obvious to me how to practicably interdict this controlled descent into dystopia on any significant scale! That's because its harbingers deem global scientific dictatorship an ascent rather than a descent and are moving ahead with full force. The system is calculatingly made so co-opting and corrosive that apart from inducing apathy in the majority, even when a handful do their due diligence and shift their perspective from that of the innocent trusting baby to that of the predatory feline to understand the primacy instincts of the oligarchy, they have so much invested in their high-tech and medical careers by the time they wake up that it is just easier to succumb to the "banality of evil" and soldier on as before. The best contemporary example is Dr. Eric Fossum, the inventor of the CMOS imaging chip which along with other computing technologies, has ushered in big-Brother in full force. See [The Seduction of Science and Technology](#).

Moralizing Knowledge does not often equate to moral acts. In fact, it rarely does. The oft quoted Biblical wisdom: **"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free"** seems to have little empirical relevance in our seducing modernity where the pleasures and healing of the soul have been traded for the pleasures and healing of the body. (See [America's War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure – Letter to Editor](#))

This modernity, which the Council on Foreign Relations accurately presaged in [April 1974](#) would **"look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality"**, and it now does, has the full impetus of

global governance behind it the extrication from which appears well-nigh impossible! This was well planned and superbly orchestrated, just as the CFR author had prognosticated: *"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. **It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.** Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed."* (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, April 1974, pgs. 558-559)

[Watch Global Governance - EU President Admits One-World Government is Here](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo)

[youtube=http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo]



"We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is

***another step towards the Global Management of our Planet.
Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts,
and by deeds.” --- Herman Van Rompuy, EU Council President,
press conference November 19, 2009***

The news report coming out of Eurozone in August 2011 reconfirmed the EU president's proclamation of inching towards full global governance of the planet by baby-stepping through regional collectives into the greater whole under the pretext of dealing with crises: ***"The leaders of France and Germany are proposing a collective 'government' for the Eurozone led by the EU President. It is the solution being put forward to deal with the EU debt crisis. ... After the talks in Paris Mr. Sarkozy said he and the German Chancellor were also proposing that all 17 Eurozone countries commit to balanced finances and write that goal into their constitutional law by the summer 2012."*** (Proposals outlined for collective Eurozone government, [Aug 16th, 2011](#))

III

Conclusion and Remedies

Under the collective Western governments of the Euro-Anglo-American nexus, the Western medical system, the big Pharma, the big-Agri business, the big biotech business, the big chemical business, the big technology business, their tightly integrated and inter-dependent research and development in bio-agents and biowarfare, and their increasing and gradual control, tampering, and synthesis of all living things, have all been made resilient to, and well-protected from, any adverse changes in their respective march towards their own compartmentalized *prime directive* in the scientific dictatorship of the emerging global governance.

Under this gruesome realitycheck, one that is neither Pollyanish nor defeatist, but an accurate measure of reality and all the forces driving it, what can be done?

Well, one can of course just pretend that the problem does not exist and continue to hold the perspective of the innocent baby staring at the fishbowl. But if one has succeeded in shifting one's perspective to that of the tom cat, then in self-preservation as the proverbial fish in the fishbowl, what are the options?

First, where we need to be – the destination. When the medical profession is itself complicit in administering inimical medicine to the public, they are part of the petty crime syndicate and merely wearing white coats and gloves instead of guns. And the medical doctors have to come to that realization before anything can be changed! We do need medical professionals today and tomorrow who will challenge and transcend this big-Pharma dominated healthcare modalities. We need holistic healthcare for humanity which is both scientific and skilled as the awesome surgeons are today, and also un-inimical to the mind-body-spirit. Developing that wholesome medicine, and the schools to train this new breed of practitioners under an hostile environment being driven towards scientific dictatorship, with new laws enacted almost daily to limit individual freedom of action and independence outside the parameters adjudicated by AMA, NIH, CDC, FDA, et. al., as “wholesome”, is the daunting challenge. These American bodies have a global reach today through the WHO. The world witnessed this incontrovertible fact yet again during the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic. All signatories to WHO Conventions are beholden to its diktats by international law.

Next, how to get there – the journey.

Consumers: Well, don't look to me for heroic solutions. You, as consumers, are equally responsible for what happens to you. The solution is within each one of us – don't look for it coming from some messiah, superhero, or born-again Rockefeller.

Scientists and medical professionals: Well, take a careful read of [The Fable of the Bees and the Seduction of Science and Technology](#). Refrain perceptively before the fact, rather than lament ex post facto hypocritically. Here is another [case](#) of belated lament, November 29, 2011: *Amy Goodman interviews Kamran Loghman, inventor of modern pepper spray and developer of police procedures for its use. Loghman regrets his work today, and says it's "fashionable" to use chemical agents on "people who have an opinion".*

Young men and women choosing the medical profession: Think very carefully how you wish to practice the healing arts predicate “**First, do no harm**”, realizing fully well: 1) how the medical profession actually functions under big-Pharma's *drugopoly* rather than laboring under some idealistic and false delusions

of curing cancer; and 2) perceptively seeing the choke-hold on modern medicine by the financial oligarchy hell-bent on controlling life and the life-sciences for a scientific dystopia they have imagined; before investing in your medicine career.

Nations: It is a national challenge for every nation to become independent of the WHO in its practice of medicine, and to develop its own traditions and legal bodies in medicine which are not taking their marching orders from the American and the big-pharma controlled global medical establishment. Not an easy thing to accomplish in a world that is already being ruled by fiat, by the draconian imperatives of Global Governance which is gradually eroding away national sovereignty! Only truly independent nations un-beholden to the international bankers and to their instruments of debt-enslavement, can even think of standing up to these global forces which surround all individual matters. This means, as one can perceptively observe, in order to effectively reform medicine, a nation first has to neutralize all the forces and power levers, both overt and hidden, that have enabled its draconian embedding with big-pharma.

Just imagine – what will it take to overturn AMA for instance? An act of United States Congress? What does it take to prevail upon the Congress, and upon the President to not veto them? The all powerful American public couldn't alter their pre-fated destiny for even the grotesque 2008 TARP bailout bill in favor of the international bankers! And therein lies the firm connection of all abhorrent constructs in the world today to the most un-natural primordial force in the world before which all powers bow. Over a century ago, Woodrow Wilson, America's 28th president (1913 – 1921) made the following observation about the nature of this power which was witnessed unvarnished by all and sundry a hundred years later in the passage of that TARP bailout bill of 2008:

“Since I have entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are of afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.” — The New Freedom, Woodrow Wilson, 1913,

[Chapter 1](#), pgs. 17-18

And that same un-natural primordial force, *a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive*, also protects its key construct for controlling life and life sciences: Western medicine! It is all interlinked and interlocked, and it cannot be dismantled in isolation from the forces which protect it. That power has a core weakness. It has today harnessed its weakness in its favor. And that is the power to legislate which it owns outright today. That can be overturned overnight by brave nations freeing themselves of their yoke of servitude – or never at all for a millennium and beyond.

The following pertinent passage from Sun Tzu can be the only fitting conclusion:

“If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.” — Sun Tzu, [The Art of War](#)

- ### -

Short URL: <http://tinyurl.com/Modern-Medicine>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/11/whats-the-truth-about-modern-medicine.html>

Mirror URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/11/15/whats-the-truth-about-modern-medicine/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/12/whats-the-truth-about-modern-medicine-by-zahir-ebrahim.pdf>

Source DOC: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/12/whats-the-truth-about-modern-medicine-by-zahir-ebrahim.doc>

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (<http://tinyurl.com/zahir-patents>), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by numerous publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Last updated 12/07/2011 23:00:12 12170