



---

## 9/11: Long-awaited International Hearings Set for September, 2011

---

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 12:23 PM

To: Kevin Ryan <kncryan@msn.com>

Kevin, hi, I don't know if this [torontohearings@gmail.com](mailto:torontohearings@gmail.com) is an address which would be monitored or not. So I am forwarding the same response email to you. Please do the needful, which could of course range from just ignoring it, to sharing it with the distinguished group of people being taken for a ride and engaging in debating it before participating in the circus show... Incestuous self-reinforcement is the hallmark of both manufactured consent, and fabricated dissent. Neither wants to hear an argument which shatters their logic, their worldview. And this is deliberate because they are both manufactured products, always led by people who make the ignorant but well-intentioned rank and file into useful idiots serving the same powers. I have learnt enough about this over the past ten years that I am now left with no confidence in the American intellectual. Their titles and degrees mean nothing to me. Unless they engage in rational interlocation when challenged and prove their theses - rather than merely preach to their choir and ignore the agnostics who don't buy their sanctified priesthood - they shall remain a farce to me. In much sadness, Zahir.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Project Humanbeingsfirst.org** <[humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com](mailto:humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com)>

Date: Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 11:33 AM

Subject: 9/11: Long-awaited International Hearings Set for September, 2011

To: Toronto Hearings <[torontohearings@gmail.com](mailto:torontohearings@gmail.com)>

An Addendum:

### Elaboration May 02, 2011

The fact that the super terrorism of 9/11 was some form of "controlled demolition" was obvious the very day of September 11, 2001. The words "controlled demolition" were uttered on mainstream television news channel by a well known newsman, Dan Rather of CBS, within minutes of the two World Trade Center towers' cataclysmic and sudden collapse, never to be repeated on any other day on mainstream news. I am a witness to its utterance – on that very day of September 11, 2001. As already observed in my article '[911 A Fait Accompli – Pay Attention to Political Science! April 13, 2009](#)' which is cited in footnote [26], Dan Rather repeated that statement once again when WTC-7 – which no airliner had been shown on global television to hit – was silently demolished the same afternoon:

**"... amazing, incredible, pick your word. For the third time today, it's reminiscent of those pictures we have all seen too much on television before when a building was deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,"** (watch [video clip](#) ).

Furthermore, the fact that even the smoke and mirrors display on world television in near realtime, of two hijacked airliners ramming into the two WTC towers in the most armed to the teeth superpower without interdiction, had already indicated an inside job on 9/11 that same day. Apart from this anomaly being noted immediately by many many skeptics throughout 2001-2003 while the rest of America 'United We Stood' with George W. Bush in their despicable silence, it was also stated in my 2003 manuscript *Prisoners of the Cave* which no major publisher had accepted to publish. Here is a statement from its Chapter 5, '[The Role of a "Pearl Harbor" in Empire Building](#)':

**"This analysis actually means only one thing at the moment. In the best of circumstance, the Government of the United States of America is the main suspect, and while evidence is being sought, nothing it says can be trusted given its manifest character of history of deceits and its own vested interest in the crime for "imperial mobilization", and towards which end it also conveniently rushed to obliterate all the forensic evidence including the crime scene itself. And least of all, its own explanations of 911 cannot be trusted, and must**

**not be accepted by any rational and fair person still in possession of even a modicum of commonsense.”**

Therefore, when put in proper perspective, whether it is the airliner hijacking puppetshow and the subsequent smoke and mirrors of them ramming into WTC towers without NORAD and USAF interdiction, or, the sudden catastrophic destruction of the three WTC towers, it was all already obvious to many on Day One that this was an inside job.

Therefore, what then is the primary contribution to 9/11 truth by Steven Jones et. al. beyond what was already obvious on September 11, 2001? In my view, it is primarily three fold:

J1) it is in the furtherance of HOW elaboration of Dan Rather's general and spontaneous observation on the very Day of September 11, 2001, of the three WTC towers “*deliberately destroyed by well-placed dynamite to knock it down,*” with that one word “*thermite*” (and its derivatives). Not sure what was achieved by introducing HOW theories except to create “*beneficial cognitive diversity*” as everyone brought their own favorite theories to the mix, and the focus trivially shifted to theorizing the HOW instead of creating a focussed dissent movement which was grounded in the political realities of “imperial mobilization”.

J2) it is in helping to dispel the bs of the NIST and Popular Mechanics reports with the excellent first published paper by someone other than themselves: “Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the World Trade Center Destruction”. It formally introduced “thermite” with much “peer reviewed” fanfare. [This](#) I believe was the first so called “peer reviewed” paper which Steven Jones had published on his own website, Journal of 9/11 Studies, September 2006/Volume 3, which talked about flowing molten steel and “thermite”, and for which reference to fig 5 vs. fig 6 on its page 18 is made by Judy Wood in her own writeup [dirt4](#) that is cited in footnote [17], drawing attention to the anomalous image in Jones paper. I only became aware of this disturbing fact in February 2011. Before then, my take on Steven Jones et. al.'s work was as follows: [This](#) is my letter to Noam Chomsky sending him the [PDF](#) of that excellent Fourteen Points paper, April 20, 2008. [This](#) is my letter of congratulations to its authors, April 21 2008, following the publication of their Fourteen Points paper by Bentham. [This](#) is my Letter to Editor to Bentham Open, April 24, 2008. [This](#) is my first series of Letter to Editor to Steven Jones' Journal of 9/11 Studies and to Richard Gage, April 03 to April 21, 2008, trying to refocus their attention from hard science to political science, which they neither published nor acknowledged. It is also cited as footnote [2]. [This](#) is my cautionary letter to Kevin Ryan on the NIST Report, August 22, 2008. [This](#) is my second Letter to Editor to Steven Jones' Journal of 9/11 Studies, October 12, 2009, a year and a half later, once again trying to refocus their attention to political realities of “imperial mobilization” now rapidly being cemented into one-world government, which once again they neither published nor acknowledged. I gave up at this point. But I still didn't think of them as SHM, only as well-intentioned but perhaps incredibly politically naive technocrats obsessing with a technical curiosity rather than with preventing crimes against humanity. My opinion radically changed when I discovered Steven Jones' role in Cold Fusion as cited in footnote [18], and upon discovering all the unmentioned evidence which Judy Wood brought to the forefront which added yet another layer to their motivation to obfuscate. Then, the bizarre obsession of 9/11 Truth leaders with the HOW all started to make sense as in their deliberately focussing on the low order bits of the matter, the minutiae, and seeding “*beneficial cognitive diversity*” which only detracted from the people developing a coherent focus on derailing “imperial mobilization”, while the higher order bits accelerated to final fait accompli in world government.

J3) it is in spreading awareness among the silent majority and potentially getting new recruits to the cause of dissent. Among them, my MIT alum internet friend mentioned in footnote [17] who credits his clarity of what happened on 9/11 to the work of Steven Jones several years later. I now believe this may have helped coral many type-2s into Steven Jones' “collection agency”. It is easy to identify type-2: all the zombies who “United We Stand” with empire while more tonnage in munitions was dropped in Afghanistan and Iraq than was dropped in the entire Vietnam war. This “wake up” years later when all the signs were there from day one for anyone with even a modicum of commonsense to grasp, is a characteristic trait of type-2, people who were formally type-1 and suddenly “woke-up”. Type-3 are the ones who were never asleep, who knew from Day One what was going on and didn't need any “waking up”. What is this typification? See the Preamble in [Manufacturing Dissent](#) for Hitler's exposition of it straight from Mein Kampf but contextualized for our zeitgeist. Also see [America's Profound Shame](#) in the Preface to my book *Prisoners of the Cave* for the blood that never quite washes off for silent bystanders!

Similarly, if it was already obvious to type-3 folks on Day One that it was an inside job, what then is the

primary contribution to 9/11 truth by Judy Wood? In my view, it is also three fold:

W1) Judy Wood's first unique contribution was proving mathematically that Dan Rather was actually correct in his general layman's description that he had so spontaneously uttered multiple times on September 11, 2001 to capture the evidence of his own shocked eyes, in her fantastic April 2005 [BBE example](#) which is also referenced in footnote [17]. This example is of such a singular import due to its simplicity and elegance that anyone reading it cannot but help be convinced by it even if their commonsense had taken a vacation on 9/11, and is still on vacation!

W2) Judy Wood's second unique contribution is to bring forth all the evidence and insist that any further details of HOW (beyond Dan Rather's description – although she did not state it this way but that's how I prefer to construe it) must explain ALL the available evidence pertinent to the HOW of 9/11!

W3) Judy Wood's third unique contribution is to singularly challenge Steven Jones et. al.'s narratives of their details of HOW, creating further dissension among the born again patriots of truth and the American way. Just look at the difference though – Steven Jones, an establishmentarian scientist (see footnote [18]), comfortably retired from his job by his own admission, and set up as the lauded dissent chief with an almost fanatic following who want to award him the Nobel prize, while Judy Wood, almost universally reviled and terminated from her university teaching job, marginalized as a conspiracy theorist, and inexplicably deliberately poisoning her own well by calling upon a self-proclaimed “UFO encounteree” (see footnote [7]) in support of her speculative theorizing. She is principally defeated by her own argument. If she concludes 'New Hiroshima' based on her forensic examination of all the publicly available evidence of 9/11, then, that conclusion is also automatically self-limiting. It precludes knowing anything more about this 'New Hiroshima' because this new weapon system would be the most highly prized military and state secret of the realm, far above the Manhattan Project!

As a general rule of social engineering, absurdities encountered in Alice in Wonderland can only happen in real life when the Mighty Wurlitzer's messaging machine has a hand in it some place (please study footnote [5] to acutely comprehend this).

More here: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/04/18/zahir-ebrahims-comment-on-judywoods-new-hiroshima/>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Project Humanbeingsfirst.org** <[humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com](mailto:humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com)>

Date: Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 11:19 AM

Subject: Re: 9/11: Long-awaited International Hearings Set for September, 2011

To: Toronto Hearings <[torontohearings@gmail.com](mailto:torontohearings@gmail.com)>

Hello.

Thank you for this notification.

If I did not share the following with you, I'd be remiss in my own duties as an activist.

Please take a look at the following - share it with your distinguished panel who I believe are surely good people of conscience, and such people always make great useful idiots:

[18] Professor Steven Jones of BYU officially appointed in 1989 by the President of the United States, George H.W. Bush Sr., as an establishmentarian scientist to lead the DOE investigation into the potentially revolutionizing discovery of Cold Fusion, evidently for the agenda to discredit Martin Fleischman and Stanley Ponds' research: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rhdbU2sA8gE> . This image at time 1:12 of Steven Jones standing behind George H. W. Bush Sr. (second from left) is the smoking gun as far as I am concerned, to permanently establish Dr. Steven Jones as the Establishment's preferred science man:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/04/image-stevenjones-with-georgehwush-establishmentarian-scientist-appointed-to-investigate-cold-fusion-1989.jpg> . Here is Professor Martin Fleischman's Reflections, 2000: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/04/cacheof-reflections-on-the-sociology-of-science-and-social-responsibility-in-science-in-relationship-to-cold-fusion-by-martin-fleischmann-fleischmanreflection.pdf>



Caption Professor Steven Jones of BYU (standing second from left) officially appointed in 1989 by the President of the United States, George H.W. Bush Sr., as an establishmentarian scientist to lead the DOE investigation into the potentially revolutionizing discovery of Cold Fusion. Can a George Bush Sr.'s trusted establishmentarian scientist suddenly become a genuine dissenting scientist against the same establishment in George Bush Jr.'s administration as anything other than controlled opposition?

[19] David Ray Griffin, the author of many famous books on 9/11 and the "Dean of 9/11 Studies" according to many of his prominent cheerleaders who claim inspiration from him, is a self-admitted globalist seeking world government in the most eloquent Newspeak of Orwellian Establishmentarians:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m-TZypcH9eg>

While your motivations are noble, I have no doubt of it, your key point is specious:

"(3) To submit a record and a summary of the Hearings, together with signed Statutory Declarations by witnesses, to relevant governments, groups and international agencies with the request that a full and impartial investigation be launched into the events of September 11, 2001"

Can you suggest who would do "a full and impartial investigation be launched into the events of September 11, 2001"?

Then I will reply further as necessary.

Let me just add that because you indulge in this obvious farce, I strongly suspect, well intentioned though all your members may be, all participants in this circus show are also among those who might think that when Administrations change, policies change, or, that the United States has two political parties, an

independent Congress, an independent judiciary, and a system of checks and balances which you live in. So I leave a link to this article:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/vanilla-or-chocolate-icing-on-devils-scape.html>

Thanks.

Zahir Ebrahim  
Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

On Wed, Jul 13, 2011 at 9:39 PM, Toronto Hearings <[torontohearings@gmail.com](mailto:torontohearings@gmail.com)> wrote:

**From:** The International Hearings on the Events of September 11, 2001

**To:** All those concerned about the events of September 11, 2001

Four days of international hearings (September 8-11, 2011) will be held at Ryerson University in Toronto on the tenth anniversary of this pivotal event.

The events of September 11 have provided a pretext for a War on Terror that has replaced the Cold War as a global conflict framework within which military invasions and occupations have taken place.

The focus on military solutions to complex human problems has sidetracked humanity at the very moment when peaceful interaction is needed to address genuine challenges facing humanity.

Objectives of the Hearings:

(1) To present evidence that the U.S. government's official investigation into the events of September 11, 2001 is seriously flawed and has failed to describe and account for the 9/11 events.

(2) To single out the most weighty evidence of the inadequacy of the U.S. government's investigation; to organize, classify, preserve, and publicize that evidence;

(3) To submit a record and a summary of the Hearings, together with signed Statutory Declarations by witnesses, to relevant governments, groups and international agencies with the request that a full and impartial investigation be launched into the events of September 11, 2001

(4) To engage the attention of the public and media through witness testimony as well as through public talks and media events during the four day event.

Expert witnesses and scholars from the independent 9/11 research community will include:

David Chandler, mathematician; Jon Cole, engineer; Richard Gage, architect; former U.S. Senator Mike Gravel; 9/11 scholar Dr. David Ray Griffin; chemist Dr. Niels Harrit; physicist Dr. Steven Jones; Prof. Emeritus Dr. Graeme MacQueen, Peace Studies, McMaster University; PhD candidate Laurie Manwell; chemist Kevin Ryan; 9/11 scholar Dr. Peter Dale Scott, and political scientist Dr. Lance DeHaven-Smith.

The Hearings are not in themselves a new investigation, but rather a gathering and presentation of the evidence collected over the last 10 years showing that the government account is incorrect and that a new investigation is needed to fully explore the lines of evidence presented.

The Toronto Hearings are being sponsored by the International Center for 9/11 Studies, and will be moderated by Dr. Michael Keefer (Canada) and Dr. Matthew Witt (U.S.). The final report will be edited by attorney James Gourley.

The Steering Committee invites your support and participation in helping to publicize and finance this strategic mission.

More information on the process and the players is available at the website, <http://torontohearings.org> , and tax-exempt donations will be gratefully received at <http://torontohearings.org/donations>

The Committee will welcome any offers of resources or assistance in support of the Toronto Hearings, using the contact form at <http://torontohearings.org/about>

Yours sincerely,

James Gourley  
Dr. Graeme MacQueen  
Laurie Manwell  
Kevin Ryan  
Adnan Zuberi

Steering Committee,  
Toronto Hearings

---