



Project Humanbeingsfirst <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

FW: Breaking Up Banks Getting Hot -- And you?

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 23, 2010 at 5:17 PM

To: []

Hi,

One thing is true – there is no substitute for critical thinking. If that leads to a real “paralysis”, then either the formulation of the problem or the approaches to solving it were faulty, but not the act of thinking. And if critical thinking indicates something is clearly suspicious, of dubious character, a Machiavellian red herring, then, not wishing to run on that treadmill surely cannot be considered “paralysis”!

Furthermore, not knowing a solution for how to counter the great evil before us ought not to goad the well-intentioned to mindlessly run fast on treadmills in fear of otherwise “*nit-pick[ing] ourselves into paralysis*”. The realpolitik solution to “paralysis” is potency that can lead to efficacy, not more placebos whose only efficacy is psychological.

I am forwarding this article to some others and may include it in my running compilation of the [Missing Link of Monetary Reform](#) (hence I have edited your good name out). That repository is just that – an unarguable demonstration that realpolitik is missing from the isolated pursuits of 'end the fed', 'break the bank', 'state owned banks', etc., of almost all the well-intentioned Monetary Reformers. The recognition of the massive global forces rapidly leading to [Global Governance](#) has been masked out by the idealists pushing reforms. That force whose effect is felt all around us but which cannot be seen, like the force of gravitation, prevents escape from Alice in Wonderland – unless “escape velocity” can be instrumented for that endeavor. And that can't be engineered if the force of gravitation remains unknown, never mind quantitatively taken into account (the escape velocity on Jupiter is different than on Earth)!

When the foxes are arguing alongside the chickens that the world needs to have better coops to protect the chickens, to not see a problem with that – I only wonder if that might be considered a problem in itself? Seems to me that the foxes are counting on that very psychological cataract! They even call it a “[beneficial cognitive diversity](#)” – remember Cass Sunstein, today President Obama's Information Czar in the White House?

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

From: []

To: "Project Humanbeingsfirst.org" <humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: FW: Breaking Up Banks Getting Hot -- And you?

Yes, it's funny -- almost absurd. I take it to be a combination of 1) an unsophisticated copywriter, 2) a true quote taken from the named of a few short words, possibly taken out of context, 3) stretching the meaning of what was said to imply support for the bill, and 4) giddy enthusiasm for a worthwhile cause. Still, I think it worthwhile. We can nit-pick ourselves into paralysis.

[]

From: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org [mailto:humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com]

To: []

Subject: Re: FW: Breaking Up Banks Getting Hot -- And you?

Do you think this is funny:

“Breaking up the banks is supported by Alan Greenspan, Thomas Hoenig of the St. Louis Fed, Robert Reich, Joe Stiglitz, Paul Krugman, Michael Moore, Paul Volcker, Simon Johnson, Arnold Kling, GeorgeSoros, and ... Citigroup”?

I don't have time to write too much, but I will tell you one thing: red herrings. Look at the system design of which these same characters are a part. What's the agenda if Greenspan, who precipitated the crisis for which they could then propose their global solutions, is pitching what the public would like to hear? Either they are running with the foxes and hunting with the hounds, [or know] that it's merely placating the people on red herrings for when has signing petitions ever led to any significant changes in laws which go against the elite, or breaking up works in their favor now just as going to Gold standard will work in their favor now.

Sorry - just as I was skeptical of Simon Johnson on reading all his omissions [[Baseline Scenario](#)], I am skeptical of anything the Feds' own boys do.

The fact that all of you are jumping on that bandwagon makes me think that either desperation is at a very high level and these besterds are tapping into it to let some [public's] steam out, or, which is more likely, and indicated by dissent's spectacular failures since the Vietnam War (its last major success), dissent is infiltrated.

Zahir

From: []

Sent: Fri, Apr 23, 2010 at 11:24 AM

To: Project Humanbeingsfirst.org [mailto:humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com]

Subject: FW: Breaking Up Banks Getting Hot -- And you?

Very worthwhile, I think.

Thanks,

[]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: []

Sent: Friday, April 23, 2010 1:55 PM

To: []

Subject: Breaking Up Banks Getting Hot -- And you?



Dear [],

No matter what the Dodd bill says, if one of the big 4 banks fails, they're going to have to get bailed out -- their assets equal 50% of GDP! Incremental steps are nice, but that's not what we will fight for. They're going to have to be broken up!

[If you haven't signed up, show your support now.](#)

[As the vote comes up this week, the tide is turning on Breaking up the Banks.](#)

Loud support for Breaking up the Banks is growing! **The power of too-big-to-fail banks to skirt regulations and get a bailout is too big.**

Yesterday, **Baseline Scenario** blogged the petition. **Democrats.com** is now running it too. **Lawrence Lessig**, key advocate against political corruption, signed onto our petition, among some of your favorite thinkers: **McJoan** of Daily Kos, **Nomi Prins**, Economist **Dean Baker**, **Chris Hayes** of the Nation, **Zephyr Teachout**, Law Professor, Heather Booth of **AFR**, Adam Quinn of **Credo**, David Arkush of **Public Citizen**, **Jan Frel** of Alternet, Cryn Johannsen of **AllEducationMatters**, **David Cobb**, 2004 Green Party Presidential Candidate, Rob Johnson of **Roosevelt Institute**, and Dana Balicki of **Code Pink**, **Doug Rushkoff** of Life Inc.

For those of us against the bailouts and too-big-to-fail, this is our bill. For those of us for fair and safe competition, this is our bill. For those of us railing against political corruption, this is our bill.

[Sign the petition now. It's our job to tell all your friends.](#)

Breaking up the banks is supported by **Alan Greenspan**, **Thomas Hoenig** of the **St. Louis Fed**, **Robert Reich**, **Joe Stiglitz**, **Paul Krugman**, **Michael Moore**, **Paul Volcker**, **Simon Johnson**, **Arnold Kling**, **George Soros**, and ... **Citigroup** (seriously).

I promise this is not going to be just a petition, there's more coming. [If you want to donate](#), we can do even more and we'll send you a batch of our yet unrevealed, new stickers, especially if you use Paypal.

Finally, join us as we get ready to rumble at [SF-Wells Fargo](#) as a part of the **Showdown in America** on April 27 at 3:30.

Thank you,

Tiffiniy Cheng

Latest Links to info on the bill: [Riski](#), an open-source wikipedia on the Financial Crisis; "[Dems Have Advantage in Financial Reform: They Need to Use It](#)", McJoan on Daily Kos; "[If Republicans Say No to Bailouts, Then They Can't Say No to Breaking Up the Banks](#)", ANWF; More on [Facebook](#) and [our blog](#).

This petition is brought to you by Prosperity Agenda, ANWF, PCCC.

a project of

[A New Way Forward](#)
[and](#)

[ProsperityAgenda.us](#)

[Click here for unsubscribe options](#)
