

## **NB: On Global Warming**

**See Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'**

**Zahir Ebrahim**

**Project Humanbeingsfirst.org**

**December 12, 2008**

**Question:** *'Do you really believe that mankind doesn't have anything to do with the climate change? I've posted countless articles, videos on this topic. Pollution is NOT good for our planet and/or ourselves. Ice is melting. Droughts are occurring worldwide. There's going to be wars over water in the future. There is a limited amount of oil and we cannot keep using it as our only fuel source.'*

### **Project Humanbeingsfirst Responds**

Actually, if you look at the coefficients of contributions, things become manifest. Pollution isn't exactly the same thing as global warming, or global cooling, although it certainly impacts them both.

Yes, reducing pollution is very important, so is increasing sustainable living, and respecting the power of the earth to create bounties which make our lives both comfortable and pleasurable. There is a self-sustaining and auto re-generation cycle in the eco-system which can withstand some harvesting, some abuse and some pollution, but crossing the threshold can destroy it, or make the replenishment cycle inordinately long. So we must live far below that threshold of

tolerance of the environment. This is but a truism. Only a moron would deny any of it, or work against it. They can be safely ignored, if not outright consigned to the looney bin.

This isn't what is being talked about here however, although, the disinformationists would like one to think so. This is exactly the conclusion you have unfortunately jumped to as well, despite having read so much of my work and knowing that I am really not idiotic, nor unscientific, nor irrational. Of course, if one asked Mr. Paul Craig Roberts who apportioned the following epithet for me "*you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity*", it might lead to a different conclusion. But assuming one does not share in that invective, why would one automatically jump to the conclusion that when a man of science challenges global warming, they are denying the obviousness inherent in the question that you posed?

I say this not to critique, but just to point out how powerful and successful the disinformation and psyops have been. It is the same Foundations who have seeded the sustainable living mantra as population planning. Care to guess who those might be? It is the same impetus that led to NSSM 200 in 1974 which made population control in poor countries a national security imperative for the United States – the country which excels in harvesting the poor nations of all their natural resources and foisting dictatorships upon them! Care to know who seeded it? I happen to know of the team who got the Nobel Prize on this climate issue last year – they are all imperialists, in on the con-game, just like Hillary Clinton and Al Gore. You can easily find the Pakistani on the team who shared in that Nobel prize amidst much hoopla in Pakistan. Visit his website, and try to determine his axioms in the space of world-government, war on terror, 911. They match the axioms of the state as far as I have been able to tell – and I looked at it last year to check-out what kind of people win a Nobel Prize in climate and environment. When the ruling-elite pushes a mantra, knowing what I know today, my first take begins with searching for their motivation. If one does, what on the surface appears to be a good deed, but with evil intentions and Machiavellian motivations, I have no use for such criminal 'good', and neither should you.

The following is the real fact of the matter. I only illustrate the principle. One can chase it down from then on. In order to keep things straight in the head in the obfuscating space of social sciences laden with deception and political motivations, I tend to rely a lot on thought processes borrowed from computer science and electrical engineering. You may have seen my description of the 'bit' for example. Here is a passage from one of my recent essays on monetary stuff:

'It is also very convenient for the learned to mix up the 'highest order bit' with 'lower order bits' of a complex matter – irrespective of deliberately or inadvertently – for the plebes can hardly tell the difference. And that's just wonderful for creating clever red herrings when the latter are emphasized, and the former is ignored! Surely whatever one comes up with is always a solution to something, and that's just as undeniable as any pathetic tautology. But is it a solution to the 'most significant bit'? Has the problem itself been accurately diagnosed, and the systemic multi-lateral illness accurately mapped out to its very DNA? Not when the sacred-cow axioms remain untouchable! And this is indeed how one wins a Nobel Prize and lucrative appointments. [a30] In some cases, even stays alive.

To explain the commonsense concept of 'bit' drawn from electrical engineering, it's like having a “one” in the 7th decimal place, and also in the 2nd decimal place, to create the total amount One million and Ten dollars, \$1,000,010, and while auditing the books, focussing on the digit position which identifies the Ten dollars and not the one which identifies the Million! The significance of this is not lost to the banksters!' -- *The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government*\*\*

Applying that prioritizing, or weightage if you will, principle to this topic of “Global Warming”, one observes that the coefficient, or the bit position, or weightage occupied by the planetary level changes in the solar system due to sun's activity is actually a higher order bit position, than the contribution to the measurements from human activity.

And as is entirely obvious from Mr. Gideon Rachman's article why this is politically motivated, the reasons become clear why this confusion is deliberately being created. If you accept the Capitalist conspiracy for world government, as I have described it, and if you accept the NSSM-200 agenda for population reduction as I have also described it, tying in the hand of Rockefeller to the UN and their agenda for population reduction (citations for these statements are in my various essays), then you must realize why the ruling elite wants to control 'life activity', **and carbon-credit is their architecture of control!**

It is somewhat akin to acquiring control of a nation's money supply in the guise of managing the economy better. Few in the public understand why such a control is bad anyway, but those who do try to understand it are thrown layers upon layers of obfuscation. Something similar is happening here. Think of acquiring control of 'carbon-credits' almost equivalent to acquiring

control of a nation's money supply! This will control every aspect of sustaining life, just as control of money determines every aspect of sustaining the economy. You name it, between the two of them, it will control it in a world-government. And the first recipient of these controls, the carbon-credit specifically, is the developing world, the Global South, because that is where development must be arrested, and populations thinned out! Just as control of money was first exercised where there was a superfluity of industry and commerce, control of 'carbon-credit' is intended to be exercised where there is a superfluity of populations aspiring to grow their nascent economies!

Now, whether there is planetary-level (solar-system level) global warming, or global cooling, is also an entirely orthogonal issue from human contribution to despoiling its environment. Both the former two factors, if they are dominant, tend to occupy the higher order bit relative to human contribution. Wit the Ice-age, followed by the Holocene age. No factories and polluting industries were present then. Unless we explode 10 hydrogen bombs in geostrategic locations to usher in a manmade nuclear winter (and I exaggerate, a smaller number will surely do it), the contribution from coal and cow's emissions (the latter, believe it or not, is also apportioned carbon-credit as I have humorously read somewhere) remain in the lower order bits. They are surely non-zero, and if planetary-level climactic changes in the solar system become normal, as they do between their cyclic extremes, then these lower order bits will become the new higher order bits for management. That's just common sense.

So there are two real issues. First is the following scientific measurement – which can be fairly objective – what is the temperature activity in the solar system. For instance, is Mars cooling down or heating up in the past decades. Since there is no known life or industry on Mars, that can readily answer the question quite accurately for earth too. But better and longer running data is available for earth as well, which is why scientists are dissenting as noted in the Senate Minority Report that I have cited in my response to Mr. Gideon Rachman! I do not know of a single lay person who has actually read that report as yet, or its 2007 predecessor report from last year. Most arguments are religiously being fanned out of sheer ignorance, rather than simply asking the quantifiable questions: what is the empirical measurement data (instead of the sociological one)? How was it taken, where was it taken, what time span does it measure, and what is the conclusion?

The second real issue is the sociological one that you have alluded to, such as oil consumption, human activity, etc. Please apply those concerns to the Western world first, and specifically to the Americans, not to the entire world, as the affluent Global North is, and has

been, the biggest pig. In the Global South, people can hardly make ends meet, they barely subsist on dollar a day wage. And 2/3rd of all humanity lives there. They are routinely harvested of not only what's under their soil, but also what's above it, trees! Thus notice how Rachman has employed the mantra of Global Warming. Even if one assumes for the sake of making the following point that it is the man-made coefficient which is dominant – Gideon Rachman does not advocate that the Western world create a protocol to reduce their gluttonous consumption, but jumps straight to world government! And as everyone knows, the biggest violators of Kyoto, were indeed the Americans themselves. They refused to ratify it! And that, is indeed the second real issue.

I am a scientist. I look at data and reach conclusions. I further look at data forensically, and even look at forces that remain hidden, as well as those which are apparent. My writings are testimony of that. I have no reason to obfuscate or deny any of these factors. Whereas those who are pushing them, have a politically motivated agenda, as has already been shown. Just as the scientists at NIST fudged the reports on how the towers fell, and Popular Mechanics dished out disinformation on how it could have happened, it is already in ample evidence that science is permeated with politics, like every other human endeavor! So before looking at the scientists' results and reading their papers, look at their motivation. Whom do they shill for?

I would be happy to address further questions from anyone. This topic does require doing substantial due diligence before forming opinions. Remember that the subject matter is no less laden with deception, than any other topic which relates to world government, from 'war on terror' to 'money as debt' to the Federal Reserve System. You can't simply pick up a text-book (or 10 books) on any of these topics and assume what you are reading is entirely correct, as one normally does at a university in a typical science curriculum. There, the measurement of learning is often how accurately one has understood what the books are teaching, and one gets an 'A' for perfect recollection and/or solving problems based on the axioms in the books which are rarely if ever challenged. The axioms are taken on faith and assumed correct. One takes  $F=MA$  for granted.

Here, you have to assume that the text-books/articles/literature/Nobel-Prizes could also be lying, telling half-truths through omissions and distortions, or spinning politically motivated mantras as axioms upon which all further discussions are being based. Just like 911 and the 'war on terror'. That is quite a difference in approach to studying! It requires one being a Sherlock Holmes trying to solve a complex puzzle laden with deliberate red herrings more than being a naïve grad-student!

Hope this fleshes out all the dimensions of the question. For the simple reason that Global Warming mantra is to be Machiavellianly employed to control humanity, and we have even seen a glimpse of that in the Financial Times editorial, I oppose it. If it turns out that the human emissions are the most significant bit, let the affluent nations bring themselves down to the level of poor nations before demanding from them to do anything. After all, the ruling-elite are pitching that we are one ship of humanity and global control is necessary. Let not the upper-deck live in plunderous wealth while the lower decks are thrown to the sea! That is only fair for something as intimately shared as the environment!

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

\*\* <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/11/monetary-conspiracy-world-government.html>

**Source URL:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/nb-on-global-warming.html>

**Source PDF:** <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/02/nb-on-global-warming-referencesupdated-feb162010.pdf>

- ### -


**Pertinent Updates and Related References:** (Last Updated Tue, February 16, 2010)

**Climategate U-turn as scientist at centre of row admits: There has been no global warming since 1995**, dailymail.co.uk, Jonathan Petre, 14th February 2010,

NB: On Global Warming 6/12 Response to FT Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1250872/Climategate-U-turn-Astonishment-scientist-centre-global-warming-email-row-admits-data-organised.html> ; and **The professor's amazing climate change retreat**, dailymail.co.uk, 13th February 2010, <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-1250813/MAIL-ON-SUNDAY-COMMENT-The-professors-amazing-climate-change-retreat.html>

- ◆ 'Data for vital 'hockey stick graph' has gone missing
- ◆ There has been no global warming since 1995
- ◆ Warming periods have happened before – but NOT due to man-made changes'



Bloom exposes more global warming scammers at the European Parliament, Strasbourg, 20 January 2010 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vYj5baVfBOY>



**Global Warming Fraud Collapses Amidst Deception And Scandal**, prisonplanet.com, Wednesday, January 27, 2010, <http://www.prisonplanet.com/global-warming-fraud-collapses-amidst-deception-and-scandal.html/comment-page-2#comment-711495> ; and **The billion-dollar hoax**, HeraldSun.com.au, January 27, 2010, <http://www.heraldsun.com.au/opinion/the-billion-dollar-hoax/story-e6frfhqf-1225823736564>

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org Says in its Letter to Editor to both:

January 27th, 2010 at 1:02 pm

Hello.

Don't be fooled. The agenda for which global warming was constructed has obviously nothing to do with weather, climate, or environment. But with full-spectrum control of human life through the architecture of carbon-credit.

And that agenda can be pushed with many more mantras, including still, climate-change (in any direction).

Try not patting one's self on the back like the anti-war movement did with the

size of turnouts irrespective of whether it actually scuttled war or not. Here, unless and until all the diabolical architectures of global governance, inter alia, carbon credit, are scuttled, “*the mad faith that has cost us so many futile billions already*” will not only continue to cost several times that, but also cement incremental faits accomplis through various manufactured ‘hegelian mind fcks’ longer matters linger.

See: [Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck’!](#)

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

**IPCC officials admit mistake over melting Himalayan glaciers**, guardian.co.uk, Wednesday 20 January 2010, <http://guardian.co.uk/environment/2010/jan/20/ipcc-himalayan-glaciers-mistake>

**The Record Company and How the Hegelian Dialectic Works**, Marlena Doucette, AFP, Wednesday, 20 January 2010 <http://www.atlanticfreepress.com/news/1/12635-the-record-company-and-how-the-hegelian-dialectic-works.html>

**Rothschild Rues Difficulty Of Activating “Global Governance Agenda” At Copenhagen**, Steve Watson, Infowars.com, Dec 16, 2009 <http://www.truthnews.us/?p=3456>

**The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science** <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE>

'Global Warming' establishment scientist Prof. Stephen H. Schneider of Stanford University has Journalist Phelim McAleer thrown out for inconvenient questioning: **Armed Response to 'Climategate' question** <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aUtzMBfDrpl>

**ClimateGate Who's Who** [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cu\\_ok37HDuE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cu_ok37HDuE)

**ClimateGate Who's Who References** <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v05N8KGWpVo>

**Climategate Code Proves Inadequate, bogus data**  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v8X2P3072Tg>

**UNESCO Statement, 1946, Cited in 'The Move to Depopulate the Planet' By Stephanie R.**

**Pasco** <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/files/2010/01/cacheof-the-move-to-depopulate-the-planet-by-stephanie-r-pasco.pdf>

“As long as a child breathes the poisoned air of nationalism, education in world-mindedness can produce only precarious results. As we have pointed out, it is frequently the family that infects the child with extreme nationalism. The schools therefore use the means described earlier to combat family attitudes that favor jingoism (nationalism)...we shall presently recognize in nationalism the major obstacle to development of world mindedness. We are at the beginning of a long process of breaking down the walls of national sovereignty. UNESCO must be the pioneer.” -- William Benton, Assistant U.S. Secretary of State at UNESCO 1946 (UNESCO is the United Nations Education, Science and Cultural Organization)

**Council on Foreign Relations Statement in its Foreign Affairs, April 1974, in 'The Hard Road to World Order' by Richard N. Gardner**

<http://www.thepowerhour.com/articles/HardRoadtoWorldOrder.pdf>

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (pages 558-559)

**MIT Professor, Dr. Richard S. Lindzen, in on the Conspiracy Theory (?) – Jesse Ventura investigates Global Warming**, Minute 09:45, <http://www.examiner.com/x-13886-Environmental-Policy-Examiner~y2010m2d2-Jesse-Venturas-Conspiracy-Theory-TV-show-investigates-global-warming> ; and <http://www-eaps.mit.edu/faculty/lindzen.htm>



**Other Project Humanbeingsfirst Reports Related to Science, Justice, and Nobel Prizes  
in the Service of Empire**

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/responseto-ft-gideon-rachman-worldgov.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/pop-control-mcnamara-rockefeller-aids.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/letter-polisci-behind-global-warming.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/letter-sppi-climategate.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/openletter-stevemcintyre-climategate.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/lett-truly-hegelian-mind-fck-is-endless.html>

**Related:** <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/files/2009/12/letter-to-david-michaels-letter-to-editor-concerning-david-michaels-book-and-the-endless-hegelian-mind-fck-dec102009.pdf>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/how-to-win-nobel-peace-prize.html>

**Related:** <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/02/draafia-justice-inthe-service-of-empire.html>

---

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

---

021620101200143359