



Here is an eye-opening report that pulls in all the dots on the widest imaginable canvass of global terrorism and shows how we are globally headed to a pre-industrial age under global control of handful of parasites which will prey upon us all.

Also see Ralph Schoenman and MI5's Annie Machon's videos to understand the extent of inside tracks on false-flag operations. It is a normal modus operandi. That is what is pertinent for Pakistan in particular today being the high value target.

There isn't much time left for the Prized Negroes of Pakistan to revert their allegiances back to their own kith

The blatantly obvious culmination of the Pakistani Negroes stoically proving "their commitment in war against terror" is the balkanization of Pakistan. The Pakistani Negro is entirely responsible for this outcome, and so are the silently suffering Pakistani peoples for tolerating traitors, mercenaries, and patsies all this time.

There isn't much time left for the Prized Negroes of Pakistan to revert their allegiances back to their own kith

There isn't much time left. [Invasion troops](#) are gathering on the Western borders, and [pretexs](#) are being seeded-harvested rapidly to justify full-scale military intervention in Pakistan. The '[Change President](#)' Mr. Obama is again echoing the same mantras of his [predecessor](#), as reported on [March 27, 2009](#)

WASHINGTON: **U.S. President Barack Obama Friday said Al-Qaeda is 'actively planning' attacks on US from safe havens in Pakistan.**

Unfolding a new US strategy to defeat Taliban and Al-Qaeda, Obama said Pakistan must be 'stronger partner' in destroying Al-Qaeda safe havens.

In this connection, he said Pakistan would be provided financial assistance of 1.5 billion dollars each year for the next five years. However, he said

US would not provide a blank cheque to Pakistan "**as they also needed to prove their commitment in war against terror.**"

The blatantly obvious culmination of the Pakistani Negroes stoically proving "**their commitment in war against terror**" is the balkanization of Pakistan. The Pakistani Negro is entirely responsible for this outcome, and so are the silently suffering Pakistani peoples for tolerating traitors, mercenaries, and patsies all this time.

Rate this: ★★★★★ (0 votes, average: 0 out of 5)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Prized Negroes of Pakistan

The Prized Negroes of Pakistan

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

March 26, 2009

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20090326 URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org> | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man's contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro. The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.” (Martin Luther King).

I cannot but help completely agree with the greatest Pakistani physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy whose unsurpassed political brilliance routinely penetrates all that ails Pakistan. He is once again quoted in the foreign press, in this instance in the New York Times of [March 25, 2009](#), in their Mighty Wurlitzer's neurolinguistic programming piece **“U.S. Weighs Sharif as Possible Partner”**, astutely observing:

“Nawaz Sharif is a reflection of Pakistani society,” said Pervez Hoodbhoy, a physicist and a critic of current government policies. *“He is silent on what matters most: the insurgency. What we need is a leader.”*

The fantastic points of agreements I have with prodigy scientist Pervez Hoodbhoy are entirely captured in that one short passage. It is quite an honor for me to have such a distinguished Pakistani physicist reflect my own humble contentions which no instrument of the patricians will otherwise ever bother to quote or cite, for a lowly plebeian can seldom find a place-setting at their high Tea Parties:

1: “Nawaz Sharif is a reflection of Pakistani society,”

Undoubtedly, the Pakistani society was galvanized by Nawaz Sharif's catalyzing chutzpah to finally overcome their years of apathy to courageously assert: *I have had it up to my neck and am not going to take it anymore*. Felicitations to the Pakistani society were noted in the [Open Letter to Aitzaz Ahsan of March 16, 2009](#) [1].

2: “He is silent on what matters most: the insurgency.”

Indubitably, *“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.”*

Pervez Hoodbhoy's insightful lament on silence about *“what matters most”* I am sure, principally stems only from his idealistic concerns of its propagandistic impact on social discourse as expressed by Aldous Huxley above, and therefore, must also include all pertinent omissions of crucial significance, including those noted in the [OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD March 17, 2009](#) [2]:

- a) never asserting that stopping the drone attacks from Pakistani territories is your mandate;
- b) never asserting that Pakistan military's job is to defend the nation and its peoples from external threats and not to wage war upon its own innocent peoples, men, women, and children, at the behest of, and payment from, those threatening the country's very existence;
- c) never asserting that the 'war on terror' is a manufactured fiction that is sinking Pakistan into oblivion;
- d) never asserting that 911 was a false-flag operation to create the pretext for a “New Pearl Harbor” to enable pre-planned “imperial mobilization” enroute to 'one-world' government;
- e) and most importantly, never unequivocally asserting that this is NOT PAKISTAN'S WAR and that we must DISENGAGE NOW!

3. “What we need is a leader.”

Agreeing with Pervez Hoodbhoy once again in what is palpably a universal truth, the dire urgency for unco-opted national leadership before the existential question of *“To be or not to be”* is unequivocally answered by the hectoring hegemon on their terms, is unapologetically spelled out in [The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan March 21, 2009](#) [3].

The fact that these remarkable three points of agreement are not insignificant for the future of Pakistan, is demonstrated by the same New York Times article which further elaborated on how Machiavelli is to be employed by the native-informants to harness the public's sentiments in order to credibly foster the American Agenda:

'Maleeha Lodhi, a former Pakistani ambassador to the United States, said Washington's suspicions of Mr. Sharif might actually be helpful. *"He is sufficiently distanced from the United States to be a credible partner in the eyes of Pakistanis,"* she said.'

Not to have the emerging leader be outshined by a mere former ambassador, the New York Times also cites Mr. Nawaz Sharif as voluntarily being part of the American equation provided all his buttons are pressed in the right order:

'Mr. Sharif, in a recent interview, emphasized the similarities between the approach he would take toward militancy and that currently being discussed in Washington, including separating the Taliban, whose members can be talked to, from Al Qaeda, whose adherents cannot.'

And to doubly ensure that any newly installed legal maestro wouldn't be an impediment to the American Agenda in Pakistan, the New York Times further notes what those right buttons might be:

'Aitzaz Ahsan, the leader of the lawyers' movement, said it would not be difficult for the United States to work with Mr. Sharif. On March 15, the Sunday of the protest, Mr. Ahsan accompanied Mr. Sharif in a two-and-a-half-ton, bulletproof Land Cruiser, as the men were swamped by crowds.

Their time together, Mr. Ahsan said, revealed an important characteristic about Mr. Sharif that Washington should know. *"He's about personal relationships,"* he said. *"If you befriend him, you can get him to move mountains."*

Indeed, ***"If you befriend him, you can get him to move mountains"*** to foster the white man's agenda.

Meanwhile, the illustrious Pakistani press, itself guilty of Pervez Hoodbhoy's lament on silence on what matters most, unforensically report in their usual stenographer style on the two pathetic sides of the same counterfeit coin [here](#) [4] and [here](#) [5], irredeemably proving once again, what colour the imperial god of Pakistan's rulers really is. Little is left to the imagination, and in no less measure than in the construction of [White House puppets](#) [6] in the United States, to congenitally join at the hip, the unglamorous fate as well as possible redemption, of both nations' peoples.

[Lord Macaulay](#) [7] would be immensely proud of his creation for surely he could not have possibly imagined his enduring colonizing success when he hath proclaimed:

"We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect."

(Thomas Babington Macaulay)

This 'brown Negro' has now permanently metamorphosed ***“from [being] the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man's representative to the Negro.”***

The only way to prove this observation obsolete is to stand up to the white man's burden, you prized Negroes of Pakistan!

Footnotes

[1] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-aitzaz-ahsan-mar162009.html>

[2] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-nawaz-sharif-mar172009.html>

[3] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/day-after-dawn-mar212009.html>

[4] The News, March 26, 2009, US weighs Nawaz as partner in Pakistan, http://thenews.jang.com.pk/top_story_detail.asp?Id=21149

[5] The News, March 25, 2009, Zardari may meet Holbrooke as Haqqani dashes to Dubai
http://thenews.jang.com.pk/top_story_detail.asp?Id=21142

[6] <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html>

[7] Thomas Babington Macaulay, Minute of 2 February 1835 'On Indian Education' <http://shelterbelt.com/ARCHIVE/macaulayminutes.html>

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

032620091157321393

The Prized Negroes of Pakistan March 26, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)



• [Back to story](#)

YAHOO! NEWS



Sources: More US troops for Afghan war

By ANNE GEARAN and PAMELA HESS, Associated Press Writers Anne Gearan And Pamela Hess, Associated Press Writers Fri Mar 27, 1:41 am ET

WASHINGTON – Confronting an inherited and faltering war, President Barack Obama plans to dispatch thousands more military and civilian trainers to Afghanistan by the fall on top of the 17,000 combat troops he has already ordered, senior administration officials said Thursday.

Obama's war strategy, which he plans to announce Friday, includes no timeline for withdrawal of troops. The war began more than seven years ago.

As he plans to put more U.S. lives and money into the war zone, Obama will set benchmarks for progress in Afghanistan and neighboring, troubled Pakistan. The goal is to show Congress and the American people that the strategy is working — and to set a clear framework for making corrections as needed.

Obama also will call for increasing aid to Pakistan as long as its leaders confront militants in the border region. The U.S. will launch an intensive and expanded diplomatic effort to gain international cooperation, including reaching out to Russia, China, India, Saudi Arabia and even Iran.

The overriding goal is to disrupt and eventually destroy the terrorist havens in Pakistan while trying to beef up Afghanistan's military and basic society. As Obama put it last week, "It is not acceptable for us to simply sit back and let safe havens of terrorists plan and plot to kill Americans."

Yet his strategy includes no timeline for the war, according to administration sources, who outlined the strategy ahead of Obama's announcement only on condition of anonymity. One official said the plan assumes the only way to bring Americans home was to build up Afghan forces to a degree that they can counter the threats.

Obama called Afghanistan President Hamid Karzai and Pakistani President Asif Ali Zardari on Thursday to brief them on his plans.

"He made it very clear there are no blank checks," one administration official said.

Obama plans to send 4,000 more U.S. military troops whose mission will be to train and expand the Afghan army to take the lead on counterterrorism and counterinsurgency. The troops will come from 82nd Airborne Division at Fort Bragg in North Carolina.

The president also plans to send in hundreds more U.S. civilians to help the people of Afghanistan rebuild their nation.

Obama will support legislation that aims to pump billions of dollars aid into Pakistan, considered to be the primary haven for terrorists since U.S.-led forces toppled the Taliban government in Afghanistan in 2001. The president will work with Congress on language to attach conditions to aid, sources said.

Several sources told The Associated Press the strategy includes 20 recommendations for countering a persistent insurgency that spans the two countries' border.

The plan will cost billions of additional dollars. Obama's aides say they do have a specific budget figure.

"It is an integrated military-civilian strategy," Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton told reporters in Monterrey, Mexico. "We are convinced that the most critical underpinning of any success we hope to achieve, along with the people and government of Afghanistan, will be looking at where civilian trainers, aid workers, technical assistance of all kinds can be best utilized."

Obama discussed his plans on Thursday with members of Congress. His rollout caps two months of what his aides called unprecedented cooperation with leaders on Capitol Hill, Pakistan and Afghanistan, and allies around the globe.

There are roughly 65,000 international forces in Afghanistan and more than half are Americans.

All of the new military trainers, plus the additional combat forces Obama has already approved, will be in Afghanistan by the fall.

In broad terms, Obama will define U.S. objectives as eliminating the threat from al-Qaida to undermine or topple U.S.-backed elected governments or to launch attacks on the United States, its interests and allies, the sources said.

The new plan identified al-Qaida as the target in a larger network of insurgents who threaten U.S. and allied forces in Afghanistan, often from sanctuaries across the border in Pakistan.

The written outline of Obama's plan describes a "strategy for success," as opposed to an exit strategy, but the goal is the same: stability on both sides of the border that would allow a reduction and eventual withdrawal of U.S. combat forces from Afghanistan.

Sen. Carl Levin, chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee, said the training group is needed because there aren't enough U.S. military advisers there now.

As a candidate, Obama said the Afghan war should have been the U.S. priority all along, and that the Bush administration wrongly diverted U.S. attention and resources to the war in Iraq. As president, Obama has been under pressure to say how he plans to address the sharp increase in violence in Afghanistan while prodding anti-terrorism ally Pakistan to deal with the militant threat on its soil.

A pillar of the strategy for Afghanistan will be greater engagement with local and provincial leaders, as opposed to a focus on the central government, according to officials familiar with the document.

Officials said there will be a directed campaign to focus attention on tribal and provincial leaders across the expansive country. With the bulk of the population living outside urban centers in small, far-flung villages, U.S. officials believe it will be more productive to build support, foster development and break down extremist ties district by district.

The plan will not abandon the central government in Kabul, said officials, but it will also not rely on bolstering efforts to govern from the capital.

The hundreds of additional civilian advisers being sent to Afghanistan will concentrate on improving life for ordinary Afghans and will include experts in agriculture in a country where subsistence farming is the norm. The civilians are also meant to help extend government services and the administration of justice.

The plan notes that the top U.S. general in Afghanistan still wants some 10,000 or 11,000 additional U.S. forces next year, but it does not say whether Obama intends to fulfill that request now, sources said. That decision would come by the end of this year.

Associated Press writers Ben Feller, Anne Flaherty, Lolita C. Baldor, Pauline Jelinek, Robert Burns, Matthew Lee and Richard Lardner contributed to this report.

Copyright © 2009 The Associated Press. All rights reserved. The information contained in the AP News report may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed without the prior written authority of The Associated Press.



• [Back to story](#)

YAHOO! NEWS



Obama widens war against al-Qaida in Afghanistan

By BEN FELLER, Associated Press Writer Ben Feller, Associated Press Writer Fri Mar 27, 7:03 pm ET

WASHINGTON – Widening war in "the most dangerous place in the world," President Barack Obama launched a fresh effort Friday to defeat al-Qaida terrorists in both Pakistan and Afghanistan, defending his strategy with shades of the dire language of George W. Bush.

Stirring echoes of Sept. 11 and making the war his own, Obama warned that al-Qaida is actively planning attacks on the United States from secret havens in Pakistan. He said he was setting new benchmarks and sending in 4,000 more troops, hundreds of civilians and increased aid for a six-year war that has seemed to be easing in Iraq but still has no end in sight.

"I want the American people to understand that we have a clear and focused goal: to disrupt, dismantle and defeat al-Qaida in Pakistan and Afghanistan, and to prevent their return to either country in the future," Obama said. "That's the goal that must be achieved. That is a cause that could not be more just."

The president, who declared last weekend an "exit strategy" was needed for Afghanistan, never used those words in announcing his plans on Friday. His strategy is built on an ambitious goal of boosting the Afghan army from 80,000 to 134,000 troops by 2011 — and greatly increasing training by U.S. troops accompanying them — so the Afghan military can defeat Taliban insurgents and take control of the war.

That, he said, is "how we will ultimately be able to bring our troops home."

There is no timetable for withdrawal, and the White House said it had no estimate yet on how many billions of dollars its plan will cost.

The essence of Obama's strategy is to set clear goals for a war gone awry, to get the American people behind them, to provide more resources and to make a better case for international support. He is heading next week to a NATO meeting in France and Germany, where he expects allies to pledge more help of their own.

Much like Iraq, the war effort in Afghanistan has been longer and costlier than American leaders expected.

U.S.-led forces toppled the militant Taliban government there after the terrorist attacks on America in 2001, but many militants fled and regrouped in neighboring Pakistan. Obama said that Afghanistan will now get the resources it should have received years ago, "denied because of the war in Iraq."

Since becoming president, Obama has ordered 21,000 troops into Afghanistan, counting 17,000 combat forces who will try to quell surging violence. The Pentagon says that will put the U.S. total there at more than 60,000, the most to date. As the Iraq conflict winds down, the Afghanistan war is growing.

Taking firm control of the war that dominated Bush's presidency, Obama broke with his predecessor in significant ways but also used phrases that sounded strikingly familiar.

He described the ruthlessness of the enemy, the need to take on terrorists, the genesis of the fight. Bush often reminded the nation that terrorists were plotting to kill Americans, even as the public fear dissipated with each passing year after the 9/11 attacks.

"I remind everybody, the United States of America did not choose to fight a war in Afghanistan," Obama said. "Nearly 3,000 of our people were killed on Sept. 11, 2001, for doing nothing more than going about their daily lives."

He tied Afghanistan and Pakistan together as one conflict, pledging regular three-way diplomacy with both countries and intensive outreach to the world for help in the region. He pledged to send in 4,000 forces to train the Afghan army and police force. He is sending in hundreds of U.S. civilians — agricultural specialists, educators and engineers — to help a poor, broken country try to build itself up from the provincial level.

The president promised that the U.S. will hold itself and others accountable by using benchmarks, although those measures are just starting to be shaped.

And showing the frustration of many in American government, Obama spoke bluntly about the leadership of the government it is trying to help.

He said Pakistan must no longer expect a "blank check" for its U.S. aid and must be willing to take on extremists within its borders. He suggested that the U.S. would strike terrorist targets in Pakistan if the country did not do so itself, saying he will insist that action be taken "one way or another."

On Afghanistan, he said the U.S. would not "turn a blind eye to the corruption that causes Afghans to lose faith in the own leaders."

Obama's review capped two months of extensive consultations between his team, Capitol Hill and foreign governments. He did not call Bush.

The former president often decried what he-called artificial timelines for pulling troops home from Iraq. In this case, Bruce Riedel, who led the Obama review of the Afghanistan-Pakistan plan, said it deliberately does not have timelines, to ensure flexibility. "We're not going to impose artificial constraints," he said.

Afghanistan President Hamid Karzai embraced the additional help his country will get to train its army and police force.

Pakistani Ambassador Husain Haqqani said it was "an extraordinarily positive sign that the Obama administration is thoroughly re-examining its policy toward our region."

The reaction from leaders of both parties on Capitol Hill was largely positive, although some lawmakers remain deeply skeptical of Pakistan's cooperation.

The Pakistani government has been more concerned by what it views as a greater threat to its existence, longtime rival India, with which it has fought three wars in the past six decades. And the Pakistani central government has relatively little control in some areas, tolerating or even ignoring Taliban and al-Qaida havens inside Pakistan.

The man Obama defeated for the presidency, Sen. John McCain of Arizona, said he welcomed Obama's revamped strategy as long overdue. "The war there is one that we can and must win, but for years now we

have been fighting without a clear strategy and with insufficient resources," McCain said.

But McCain also said the president erred by not committing to sending in additional combat brigades as requested by the military commander on site. He said "we cannot fail in Afghanistan due to a lack of troops" and pushed Obama to be candid to the public that the war will cost more lives and money.

Much of the security build up in Afghanistan this year is meant to provide security for fair, stable elections there in August.

"We've been on a path since 2006, 2007, 2008, where violence has gone up," said Adm. Mike Mullen, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. "We add these troops, violence is going to go up in 2009 because we're engaged more. That said, we've got to have a year this year, I believe, that stems that violence."

Obama, too, warned of tough days ahead. He said al-Qaida, its allies and probably its leader, Osama bin Laden, were plotting in the Pakistani frontier.

"For the American people," Obama said, "this border region has become the most dangerous place in the world."

Associated Press writers Robert Burns, Anne Gearan and Anne Flaherty contributed to this report.

Copyright © 2009 The Associated Press. All rights reserved. The information contained in the AP News report may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed without the prior written authority of The Associated Press.

[More Breaking International News](#)

Thousands march through London in pre-G20 protest

ITF rejects Pakistan's plea for neutral Davis Cup venue

Paris Hilton in tears over club brawl

Shashi Tharoor files nomination

Goody's sons to give funeral a miss

Madonna's adopted son set for grand welcome in Malawi

Britney's fan site asked to shut

Bruce Lee to finally get recognition - and museum - back home

Linalool in shampoos and conditioners causes eczema

Obama, Medvedev to issue joint statements at G20 summit

My PM goes to London, and all he gets is a lousy tea towel!

Hamilton admits trouble in defending F1 world title

Get Malaysia Sun headlines emailed to you daily.

[Grab our RSS Feed](#)



Bush speaks on the possibility of another 9/11

Malaysia Sun
Sunday 13th April, 2008

US President George Bush has said he believes another 9/11 attack on the United States should be considered a strong possibility and warned that such an attack could originate from Pakistan.

In an interview with America's ABC TV, Mr Bush said: "If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan."

Bush said if the terrorists were planning such attacks, they would be found out.

During the interview he also said that Washington had no intention of attacking Iran, but added that it was the responsibility of the US to convince the world of Iran's capacity to enrich its uranium capacities for a potentially threatening nuclear weapons program.

It was, therefore, in the interest of Washington to pressurise the Iranians to prevent them from enriching their uranium haul.

He said the US was continually gaining knowledge about Iran's activities in Iraq.

Bush said the United States would bring Iran to justice if it continued to try to use agents or surrogates to infiltrate Iraq and harm US troops and Iraqi citizens.

Asked to clarify "bring to justice," Bush replied: "It means capture or kill, is what that means."



Email this story to a friend

Comments on this story

By Patriots Act, 04-14-08, 03:49 AM

Sorry forgot one thing...

OIL! How could I forget? China's guzzling up more and more oil each day, as they continue to expand. And the article from Reader's Digest I speak of is from the early 60s. Yes, I'm an old foggy. :) I'd appreciate some comments/feedback on this.

By Anonymous, 04-14-08, 04:24 AM

Got Shill, Get Real?

"Get real", ummmm.... you are clearly in the minority, not just here, but abroad. "Get Real", I suggest you get your head out of your a**, and get a clue. Time's up, the people of the world (not just the "left wing media, sorry to ruin it for you) ain't buying it anymore. "Get Real", I suggest you get a clue, and you and your fellow shills who troll these boards to buy just a little more time should get packing.

By Iconoclast, 04-14-08, 06:22 AM

BushCo Lies and Opposites

Everything Bush says is either a lie or the opposite of what he means. Bush believes in GOD. Gold, Oil and Drugs! Go to this link and find out just what is happening:
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=8797525979024486145> It does not matter who you vote for in this country as the elections make Iraqs blue fingered elections look on the level. Any candidate is in control of the Corporate banking elite and the Federal Reserve Board of Governors. The founders told us not to let the bankers control the money. Jefferson was specific when he said: If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their money, first by inflation and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them, will deprive the people of their property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered." How unfortunate that Ron Paul is the only one out there that actually knows what to do and our corporate run media ignored him out of the race. There will be another "inside job" pulled on America before the election. That is my bet.

By Iconoclast, 04-14-08, 07:22 AM

Hey Get Real....GET REAL!!

Hey get real, you gotta either be a shill or one of the biggest dupes out there! 9-11 was an inside job. Our trillion dollar defense system WAS NOT undermined by a phantom from a cave. proof of this is available all over the place. Cheney is key in the attacks. 1.) Cheneys PNAC (founded in 1998 by Cheney and Rummy) outlines the entire plan to attack four countries..Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and Syria in their "Rebuilding Americas Defenses" pdf on that site. Their plans were to boost Americas Defense spending by mammoth proportions then go into the Mideast and take control of their gas and oil via the Caspian Sea basin and Trans Afghanistan pipeline. On page 51 they state that in order to get the people to go along with their ambitious plan, they would require a "catastrophic or catalysing event like a new Pearl Harbor." On 9-11 they got that event. But prior to 9-11 they knew that such an attack was imminent and even the dat and location. Cheney was granted power over FEMA on May 8, 2001 just a few months before the attack as the head of the newly created Office of National Preparedness. Cheney and Rummy also altered flight intercept protocols in July 2001. Cheney also was in charge of all agencies through his new office and controlled the

several war games being carried out in New York on September 11, 2001, one of which included a scenario of planes hitting buildings. The war games were the cover for the attacks and obfuscated radar on 9-11. While flight controllers were admittedly blind to where the planes were on 9-11 Cheney knew exactly where they were. proof of this is right here: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDfdOwt2v3Y> So Get Real, you should GET REAL!!! Wake up and smell the coffee.....You've been Duped!

By Patriots Act, 04-14-08, 03:15 AM

THEY LISTEN!

It may not be a democratic process but it's funny how they've chosen a new tack OUT OF FEAR - that's a good thing because as Thomas Jefferson said "When the people fear the government, you have tyranny but when the government fears the people, you have Liberty" FEAR is what they understand, as it's patently obvious. They are fear & war mongers but our collective opinions in blogs, e-mails, letters, articles and people coming out and standing & speaking up HAS HAD an impact, I believe. These people ACT by cover of night and like the bloodthirsty vampires they are, cannot stand the light of day! That's the ONLY reason they're not attacking Iran. It's too high a price to pay and they've realized it. No other reason.

By Patriots Act, 04-14-08, 03:43 AM

What would the world be able to do?

The same thing they did to Hitler. And the U.S. is not as mighty as it once was. Look at China. They have a totalitarian state that can direct EVERYTHING they have or as much as it's needed because they don't have to account to its people. Also, Russia can't be too happy about our build up so close to home. Japan doesn't like us all that much - never has. They don't have the natural resources other countries do, so they might side with Iran, China & Russia. But especially China cannot like the U.S.'s expansionism in this area. Remember, they own a great part of our National debt and they make ALL our toys, as well as many other things. France & Japan make a lot of our automotive parts. Same with electronic equipment. This isn't the fault but of our government, allowing for so much industry to leave the country for the sake of profits. This has weakened our economy. We hardly "MAKE" anything anymore. China consumes half of the World's steel and 1/3 of its concrete. Let me tell you something I remembered that I've been thinking about these past few days. When I was not even a teenager, I read an article in the Reader's Digest that plainly stated China would be the World's Superpower one day and ODDLY enough, the article claimed the Roman Catholic Church would be the world's religion. I never understood that AND STILL DON'T but there's NO DENYING China IS on the move and on the go. They have nuclear power and take a look at pictures of China. It's not the backwards country it was even a decade ago. A "middle class" has developed and they're even replacing American & British tourists in the hot spots round the world. And though the Church has suffered many setbacks because of its sexual and other scandals, they are still VERY RICH. So although it makes NO SENSE - a materialistic communist country that disavows God & the Church - they're both powers in their own rights in this century. Perhaps all the conspiracy theories I've heard recently about the Pope & the church ARE true: Some claim the Church to be satanic; conducting Luciferian rituals in the basement of the Vatican & to be an arm of the World Domination conspiracy. The Black Nobility, the International Banksters & other super-rich elements being the others.

By Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, 04-14-08, 01:31 AM

Nuremberg: The elephant in the newlywed's bed!

Messrs. 'Get real wrote', and quite accurately too: " You bunch of losers ... The world is lucky we didn't unleash a nuclear arsenal on several different countries, and what would the rest of the world be able to do about it." That's so true what 'Get real' says. Hitler felt that way too - when the 'Poles' attacked Germany in 'Operation Canned Goods'. In any case, 'might is right'. The strong will always do whatever they will, the weak must always suffer what they must. Someone wise, like 'Get real' must have invented that bit of truism - seems appropriate here. Indeed, Brzezinski even topped that in constructing the soul of wit: "hegemony is as old as mankind". There is of course, only one minor point. It's called Nuremberg. But that is only because, once again, as 'Get real' has astutely observed about power and its many incantations, it was also a case of 'victor's justice'. For what else could it have been when Justice Robert H. Jackson, the Chief Prosecutor for the United States, asserted: "If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us." And then he went on to define criminal conduct: 'An aggressor, Jackson proposed to the [Nuremberg] tribunal, is a state that is the first to commit such actions as invasion of its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, of the territory of another State' Then

Justice Robert Jackson of the United States, the Chief Prosecuting Counsel at Nuremberg, made several interesting points ... if curious read up on it! All these assertions of Justice Robert Jackson based on which the US and its allies hung the Nazi leadership, surely will only apply to the United States someday; but only when some other 'baboon' comes out on top and hands it the 'victor's justice', of exactly the same measure, for surely we do not wish to exceed Justice Jackson's prescription at Nuremberg (though if I had my wish, I'd use the Old Testament to administer it ...). In the meantime - rejoice in the power of the dark side. Messrs. 'Get real' is just as appropriately named, as his or her or its fellow brethren intoxicated with unchallenged power, who call themselves the 'Hectoring Hegemons'. There is of course, only a minor twist to this story too. In fact, just let me point it to you - see "Battle at Kruger" on youtube. An 8-minute video that has been viewed over 24 million times. Zahir Ebrahim Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

By Chuck Fenev, 04-13-08, 10:43 PM

Resurrection day at Yankee stadium with the second to the last Pope!

What an opportunity to start a Crusade - The Pope's mass at Yankee Stadium (which is scheduled for destruction just like the WTC was) is scheduled for the weekend of Passover - the real Resurrection day. The long dead Osama has broadcast a warning to the Pope, so that's covered. Worldwide coverage on a weekend - perfect! They'll blame it on Iran, or Pakistan.

By Eric Blair, 04-13-08, 09:22 PM

Good

to see that most people understand false flag terrorism now. A few years ago that was an abstract concept. If they try it again, it will backfire. Internationally the jig is up. Japanese parliament looking into 9/11, former Italian president coming forward, number three in Tony Blair's administration coming forward. Two different German defense ministers, CIA section chiefs. It's falling apart and they know it.

By REALITY, 04-13-08, 07:16 PM

For Get Real

Let's say the United States has publicly accused YOU of a violent crime. Do you think maybe then you would demand that the United States honor the old motto of "Innocent until proven guilty." I am all for justice, but I have never been shown any actual evidence to back the claims that the US Government has been shoving down our throats since the morning of 9/11/01. You do not sound very well educated. I might suggest reading some history textbooks before you make careless statements such as the ones contained in your comment.

By Sentinel (nyc), 04-13-08, 03:01 PM

Truth for Justice

More Unanswered Questions re: Keyspan Tanks Paul Isaac is an Auxiliary Fireman and first responder. He has spent an untold number of hours doing in-depth research compiling many areas of evidence challenging the official account of 9/11. The image below illustrates a parallel between the demolition of the Keyspan Maspeth Holding Tanks in Queens, NY on July 15th, just a few months before 9/11. Although explosives were outlawed, somehow with the Giuliani administration in power, this demolition was carried out even though the surrounding area was residential. You can still see the Queens Gazette article from 7/18/01 here. Also, The height of the tanks is approximately the same as the height from where Flight 175 struck the south tower and the top of the building. The angle of the collapse of the South Tower (see Image 2) was almost identical to the angle of the demolition-caused collapse of the tanks (see Image 3). Also, the antenna from Tower One came down in the same direction, into Tobin Plaza rather than west towards the World Financial Centers. The demolition of the tanks was planned and carried out by Controlled Demolition, Inc. Isn't it interesting that this company also was contracted to do the cleanup after the Oklahoma City Bombing, then again for cleanup of the World Trade Center towers? Also, see the Online Journal article here by Jerry Mazza. It's important to realize that pilots flying planes into LaGuardia Airport used the Keyspan tanks as markers on their approach route. Below we see the flattened tanks on the left, and the complete demolition at Ground Zero. Also, below we see what very much appears to be 2 different Osama Bin Ladins. Which one are we supposed to believe was responsible for 9/11? If he indeed did orchestrate 9/11, why isn't this listed on the FBI web site's Most Wanted section? Why did an FBI official named Rex Tomb claim "the FBI has no hard evidence connecting him to 9/11"? Did you know Enron supplied gas to Keyspan? Did you know Enron, which was being investigated by the Security and Exchange Commission, had files destroyed in the collapse of WTC7? Did you know

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

Letter to Editor NPR – Drone Attacks NOT a surprise! February 02, 2009

Letter to Editor – Drone Attacks NOT a surprise!

Reference: [Drone Attacks In Pakistan Under Review](#) by Jackie Northam, Morning Edition, February 2, 2009

One of the major contributions NPR can make towards a genuine review of the events that are rapidly unfolding since the very onset of the Presidency of Mr. Obama – rather than just more whitewashes – is to make forensic observations.

Instead of reporting from disingenuous pundits like this passage which make it all sound like it was such a surprise:

' "The fact that these drone attacks occurred in the first week of President Obama's administration was seen as a surprise," says Shuja Nawaz, director of the South Asia Center at the Atlantic Council and author of the book Crossed Swords: Pakistan, Its Army, and the Wars Within.'

please report some passages from the following analysis: "[Disturbing Confirmation of the Post Modern Coup is Emerging, January 30, 2009](#)" which already predicted the events that are presently transpiring. **How much of a surprise can it be when just an ordinary person can clairvoyantly see it?**

Thank you,

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

<http://humanbeingsfirst.org>

California, February 02, 2009, 830 AM PST

Letter to Editor NPR – Drone Attacks NOT a surprise! February 02, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)



- [Hourly News Summary](#)
- [24-hour Program Stream](#) | [Schedule](#)

March 28, 2009

PROGRAMS ▾

- - News/Talk
 - [Morning Edition](#)
 - [All Things Considered](#)
 - [Day to Day](#)
 - [Fresh Air](#)
 - [News & Notes](#)
 - [Talk of the Nation](#)
 - [Tell Me More](#)
 - [Wait Wait...Don't Tell Me](#)
 - [Weekend Edition Saturday](#)
 - [Weekend Edition Sunday](#)
 - Music
 - [All Songs Considered](#)
 - [From the Top](#)
 - [JazzSet](#)
 - [Marian McPartland's Piano Jazz](#)
 - [Mountain Stage](#)
 - [World Cafe](#)
 - [World of Opera](#)
 - [MORE PROGRAMS A-Z](#)

STATIONS

TRANSCRIPTS

ARCHIVES

NPR SHOP

ABOUT NPR

CONTACT US

• [HELP](#)

- [E-mail](#)
- [Share](#)
- [Comments](#)
-

Share this page using one of the following services:

- [Del.icio.us](#)
- [Digg](#)
- [Facebook](#)

- [Mixx](#)
- [Reddit](#)
- [Stumble Upon](#)

-

[What is this?](#)

[Politics](#)

Drone Attacks In Pakistan Under Review

by [Jackie Northam](#)

[Listen Now](#) [5 min 17 sec] [add to playlist](#)

[Morning Edition](#), February 2, 2009 · Since August, the United States has intensified an aerial offensive using unmanned drones in Pakistan's lawless tribal regions. Formally known as Predators, the drones — usually armed with Hellfire missiles — are targeting al-Qaida and the Taliban in western Pakistan, partly to stem cross-border attacks against U.S. and NATO forces in Afghanistan. But there is increasing concern that the U.S. could be dragged into a much wider conflict in Pakistan.

On Jan. 23, a few short days after President Obama took office, three missiles hit the village of Zharki in North Waziristan, a mountainous region in Pakistan's tribal belt. Within hours, another missile strike was reported in South Waziristan.

According to Pakistani officials, at least 18 people were killed in the attacks, among them half a dozen foreign militants and their families. It's widely believed the missiles were fired from U.S. drones circling high above western Pakistan.

"The fact that these drone attacks occurred in the first week of President Obama's administration was seen as a surprise," says Shuja Nawaz, director of the South Asia Center at the Atlantic Council and author of the book *Crossed Swords: Pakistan, Its Army, and the Wars Within*.

Nawaz says Pakistan protested the drone attacks, which "seemed to indicate a new resolve on the part of the U.S. administration and the military to use this weapon. They don't want to give up that option, it seems."

There have been more than 35 suspected U.S. missile strikes against Islamist militant sites inside Pakistan since August. At least 130 civilians have been killed so far — and that's a conservative estimate.

The majority of those killed are believed to be militants, says Stephen Cohen of the Brookings Institution's Foreign Policy Studies program. Cohen says with good intelligence, the drones are accurate.

"What they do is allow any country that possesses them to pinpoint a target without much collateral damage to specific sites," he says.

While the drones conjure up images of a mechanical monster, they are in fact "far more effective and more humane than dropping tons of bombs on an area," Cohen says.

The drones have been used occasionally in other countries, including Yemen and Somalia. But the use of the drones in Pakistan is sustained and shows no sign of letting up. The attacks violate Pakistan's sovereignty.

Retired Army Col. Andrew Bacevich, a professor of history and international affairs at Boston University, says the U.S. needs to admit it's opened another front and another war.

"This is a war that is mostly conducted by remote control, unmanned aerial vehicles launching missiles at targets on the ground," Bacevich says. "But it is a war ... that deserves very critical scrutiny by the new administration."

Bacevich says there's been very little debate or dialogue about the growing U.S. military offensive in Pakistan — whether in Congress, in the public realm or the UN. For the most part, the aerial attacks on Pakistan's soil are still seen as an appendage to the Afghan conflict, rather than an independent issue.

Seth Jones, a South Asia expert at the Rand Corporation, says while the Pakistan government may publicly protest the attacks, it has given its tacit blessing primarily because it also wants to put down the militancy.

"Most of these attacks have been done with cooperation from Pakistani authorities in the national security establishment, — the military, especially the army, as well as the intelligence service," Jones says.

When Congress passed the Use of Military Force resolution in September 2001, it authorized the U.S. to go into any area to attack the nations or people involved in the Sept. 11 attacks.

The Brookings Institution's Cohen says the U.S. isn't attacking Pakistan per se; it's attacking militant bases in a lawless area. Cohen says the Pakistan government is incapable of exercising sovereignty over the tribal

region.

"It's open territory. And under international law, ungoverned territories ... can be subject to attack," he says.

Some analysts say the problem is the longer the U.S. continues its military action in Pakistan — using Predators — the greater the chances of becoming embroiled in a much broader conflict in Pakistan.

Nawaz, with the Atlantic Council, says militants have already started moving from the remote border region into more built-up areas of Pakistan.

"What would happen when the next drone attack occurs on a city or a town or a village inside the Northwest Frontier province? Or inside central or southern Punjab? What then?" Nawaz asks.

Drone attacks in the more densely populated areas of Pakistan could result in a greater civilian death toll, which in turn could produce a backlash and undermine the nuclear-armed nation's fledgling government.

The Rand Corporation's Jones says the drones may be helpful in a short-term, tactical sense. "But in the long run, they need to be supplemented by much broader, longer-term activities to clear hold and build in these areas," he says.

Analysts say there are a couple of high-level reviews about a Pakistan strategy underway. Until they're completed, they say it's likely the drone attacks will continue apace.

- [E-mail](#)
- [Share](#)
- [Comments](#) |
-

Share this page using one of the following services:

- [Del.icio.us](#)
- [Digg](#)
- [Facebook](#)

- [Mixx](#)
- [Reddit](#)
- [Stumble Upon](#)
-

[What is this?](#)

INTERNATIONAL THE NEWS

Obama unveils new US policy for Pakistan, Afghanistan

Updated at: 1845 PST, Friday, March 27, 2009

WASHINGTON: U.S. President Barack Obama Friday said Al-Qaeda is 'actively planning' attacks on US from safe havens in Pakistan.

Unfolding a new US strategy to defeat Taliban and Al-Qaeda, Obama said Pakistan must be 'stronger partner' in destroying Al-Qaeda safe havens. In this connection, he said Pakistan would be provided financial assistance of 1.5 billion dollars each year for the next five years.

However, he said US would not provide a blank cheque to Pakistan "as they also needed to prove their commitment in war against terror."

President Obama warned the situation in Afghanistan is increasingly perilous. He said he would plunge 4,000 more US troops into the unfinished war, triple US aid to Pakistan to 7.5 billion dollars over five years, attempt to peel away more moderate Taliban factions and lead a global civilian surge to Afghanistan.

"Attacks against our troops, our NATO allies, and the Afghan government have risen steadily. Most painfully, 2008 was the deadliest year of the war for American forces."

"I want the American people to understand that we have a clear and focused goal: to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat Al-Qaeda in Pakistan and Afghanistan and to prevent their return to either country in the future," Obama said.

"That is the goal that must be achieved. That is a cause that could not be more just. And to the terrorists who oppose us, my message is the same: we will defeat you. "

He said US will not turn blind eye to Afghan government corruption.

The US President proposed setting up a new contact group on Afghanistan including Iran to tackle the Taliban and Al-Qaeda insurgency.

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

President Obama's Plan for Afghanistan and Pakistan Editorial March 27, 2009

President Obama's Plan for Afghanistan and Pakistan

Editorial by Zahir Ebrahim, [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

Friday, March 27, 2009

Imagine for a moment, if one were looking at the world Through the Looking Glass, and saw Alice discussing with the Queen how best to curb the insurgency of the Dormouse?

In a short space it is simply not possible to convey to "[Prisoners of the Cave](#)" that Machiavellian controllers are manipulating their reality.

The unvarnished reality of the controllers themselves is that 'War on Terror' is fiction, a fabrication, for "imperial mobilization", which in turn is a fiction to seed the "revolutionary times" along the 'arc of crisis' throughout the "[global zone of percolating violence](#)" in order to create conditions which may only be ameliorated under [one-world government](#).

To understand all this – one has to begin ab initio and start reading the political philosophy and documents of the hectoring hegemons themselves.

To begin with, see: "The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan"

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/day-after-dawn-mar212009.html>

The only rational and moral solution for Pakistan is to **DISENGAGE** from the American Agenda.

###

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan March 21, 2009

The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan

Zahir Ebrahim, [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

Dateline Saturday March 21, 2009, California, United States of America || Revised March 24.

This morning I was surprised to find in [DAWN](#), Pakistan's largest English language daily, the following opinion by Mr. Arshad Zaman titled 'Why aren't we acting now?', which began:

“THIS article poses two questions: on the day after US/Nato forces invade and occupy some of Balochistan and Waziristan, what will we say we should have done, and why aren't we doing it now? Is this far-fetched? ... One hopes that a small group of patriotic officers in Pakistan are also asking themselves what can be done, and why aren't we doing it now.”

It is high time that DAWN started publishing subject matter that isn't all 'katputli tamasha' (puppetshow) narratives, and on matters which aren't already fait accompli so that something can still be done about them. I had only yesterday emailed some regular DAWN columnist the following note:

Dear [DAWN columnists],

Take a look at the Dawn of this morning – I captured this snapshot as evidence – not one item here suggests that 'war on terror' is fiction. Not one columnist questions the axioms of empire. Are they all co-opted, mental midgets, or simply savants? How can they be shocked back into reality from their non-stop Mad Tea Party 'United We Stand' unbirthday party celebrations?

I sent the [afore-stated observation] to someone and since two of you are prominently displayed on Dawn's front page today, and you Mr. ... in the inner columns page, as your well-wisher and one who has learnt from all of you, I would like to ask you if [you] are really aware that the 'war on terror' is a fiction? Or that the patricians would wish nothing better than we the plebeians simply amuse ourselves to death on katputli tamashas? Those who participate in enacting/contributing to it through commission or omission – what's your opinion about them? See [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#) for how the more sophisticated among the vulgar propagandists work. Why should you (inadvertently) be part of that con-game? You are too upright to wage a propagandist war on your own peoples. Please see the [latter] reference to appreciate the import of your

omissions – for in a war crimes court, such as at Nuremberg, Justice Robert Jackson, the Chief prosecutor for the United States, hath famously stated: “*The plans of Adolf Hitler for aggression were just as secret as Mein Kampf, of which over six million copies were published in Germany*”. My website contains sufficient analysis and material for anyone who might wish to not be condemned on their vacuous “*I didn't know*” charge some day. And if you know, and also have column space – something I don't have, and Dawn/News/Nation/NYT/LAT et. al., never publish anything I send them – it should be interesting to see your defense for your omissions.

Well, it is greatly heartening to read Mr. Zaman's oped this morning. To avert the 'day after' question, that mere fact that it has been raised in this fashion is surely the beginning of an auspicious new dawn in Pakistan. Mr. Arshad Zaman is even more prescient in his analysis than he has humbly let on. Before I fully endorse his conclusions, please permit me to flesh out the bigger picture a bit further. It has all been stated before – but not in Pakistan's newsmedia.

On February 19, 2008, in the aftermath of Benazir Bhutto's assassination, I had observed in my article “[Profound Clairvoyance or Blatant Obviousness?](#)”:

Thus perhaps it is better to continue wishing upon the well and not examine Kosovo's independence yesterday at the hands of NATO that was immediately accepted, never mind aided and abetted, by the United States and the EU after NATO and UN forces had been invited in on a '[peace mission](#)' with a UN resolution in 1999. There is certainly no reason to fear Baluchistan – sharing similar grievances and step-sisterly treatment – meeting a similar 'independence' along similar pretexts that will initially invite NATO in to come 'save us' from the 'Taliban' and the 'loose nukes' about to fall into their inglorious hands! The next step – repartition, as examined in the [wakeup call](#). At that time, the repartitioning of Pakistan will make as much sense, and will garner as much world sympathy and support, as Serbia's partition today.

This is the great slight-of-hand devilish power of the New World Order – make things so bad for the peoples through state-sponsored terrorism and the '*war on terror*' which legitimizes any inhumanity and any level of destruction including nuclear attacks on non-nuclear nations, that the terrorized peoples will rush to the State (or the world's Policeman) seeking mainly only their security, willingly giving up anything and everything in return including their inalienable Rights as Human Beings First! Far fetched? That is how the Americans and their brethren in the EU and the UK have lost their “*essential liberties*”. For the Global South, while the modus operandi remain the same, the baby-steps are mere 'pawn' moves on the 'Grand Chessboard'. Study the geostrategic game of the 'hectoring hegemony' with the imperialist's mind and its doctrines, and the baby-steps become obvious. Keep solely focussing on each baby-step as it unfolds by sheer 'happenstance', and the accurate predictions of the next baby-steps appear clairvoyant!

The newly elected team ([and their next legates](#)) will surely ensure that Pakistan's pathetic '*descent into oblivion*' transpires, as by their own admission, they accept that the fabricated '*fight against militants is “our war”, not just America's war*'.

None other than America's favorite son's prophetic words appear to be of most relevance in describing this phenomenon of the 'Negro' that has been transpiring in this nation since its 'wretched' inception:

*“The white establishment is skilled in flattering and cultivating emerging leaders. It presses its own image on them and finally, from imitation of manners, dress, and style of living, a deeper strain of corruption develops. This kind of Negro leader acquires the white man’s contempt for the ordinary Negro. He is often more at home with the middle-class white than he is among his own people. His language changes, his location changes, his income changes, **and ultimately he changes from the representative of the Negro to the white man into the white man’s representative to the Negro.** The tragedy is that too often he does not recognize what has happened to him.”*
(Martin Luther King).

On April 17, 2008, I re-emphasized in my article ['Bin Laden': Key enabler of “imperial mobilization”](#), the same point:

The abominable shared fates that unite Iran (“Bush and Iran, again”, [WSJ April 15, 2008](#)), and Pakistan, from President Bush calling Pakistan “*Terror Central*” in [2007](#), to this week, [April 13, 2008](#), 'clairvoyantly' asserting that “*If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan*”. And as already noted in its [March 29, 2008](#) heads-up warning to America by [Project Humanbeingsfirst](#) (“Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!”), when such a “*planned*” attack transpires, it “*will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison*” (Bush, [Feb. 13, 2008](#)). That heads-up warning also suggested creating a new peoples institutional barrier against the wanton pursuit of “*imperial mobilization*” that is otherwise almost trivially enabled by any “*new Pearl Harbor*” (“[How to derail 'imperial mobilization'](#)”). Simultaneously, a rational preemptive self-defense strategy to further deter such Machiavellianly orchestrated “*defensive' US military action*” (Brzezinski, [Feb. 1, 2007](#)) was also spelled out for the Pakistani ruling elite by [Project Humanbeingsfirst](#) in its [December 21, 2007](#) wakeup call (“Wake up to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard!'”).

It is evident that the Pakistani leadership is entirely co-opted into [systematically suiciding that nation](#) by its prancing about at the “*unbirthday party*” with the “*Mad Hatter*” for the past eight years. Today it appears entirely natural that the United States should just walk right in to 'save' the Pakistanis from the 'radical islamists'. This was quite unimaginable just a few years ago, but every grotesque bomb blast, every few dozen peoples killed in it, every act of extreme violence, political violence, demonstrations, and uncertainty created by 'loose nukes' mantras, culminating in the grotesque assassination of [Benazir Bhutto](#), now makes it the most natural next step for the “*truly global superpower*”. A deliberate orchestration of “*revolutionary times*” in Pakistan to make “*what is inconceivable in normal times possible in revolutionary times*”.

'Why' is rather obvious once again. But for those who do not bother to study the “*primacy*” craftsmanship of the 'hectoring hegemony', it is about the repartitioning of Pakistan to primarily create an independent and geostrategically significant 'Baluchistan' nation – much like Kosovo's orchestrated independence from Serbia – while finally de-nuking Pakistan! This is only the phase-1 of the repartition plan through violently induced “*birth pangs of a new Middle East*”, whereby, “*whatever we do we have to be certain that we're pushing forward to the new Middle East not going back to the old one.*” (Rice, [July 21, 2006](#))

See for instance, the [Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East](#), or the similar [Lieutenant-Colonel Ralph Peters' map for](#)

the New Middle East, in order to understand what “*pushing forward*” looks like in the minds of the 'hectoring hegemons'. The key point to note is not the precise new boundary lines, or its merits, **but that there should be any new boundary lines forcibly drawn by anyone other than willingly by the peoples who live in these regions!**

On September 20, 2008, the day of the shockingly heinous terrorist act upon the Pakistani peoples at the Marriott Hotel in Islamabad, I wrote in an urgent appeal to the Pakistani news media “[WHAT'S TO BE DONE](#)”:

For Heavens' sake peoples of Pakistan and the World: stop blaming India's RAW, Jihadis' Taliban and Al-Qaeeda, etc., as the prime-movers of this new atrocity on the Pakistani peoples. Misdiagnosing a systemic disease is a sure protocol for never finding its cure!

The destabilization of Pakistan has needed a confluence of patsies and mercenaries. A patsy or dupe is one who strongly believes in what he/she is doing, but is deftly controlled by puppetmasters. A mercenary is one who sells his/her allegiance to the highest bidder at any moment in time. The suicide bombers and cultivated “jihadis” of the likes that Pakistan has never seen in its entire history before, are patsies. The Pakistani ruling-elite are the mercenaries. Between the two, Black-ops and aid dollars have been well spent in Pakistan!

... That is all the reality there is to this new spate of terror in my city in Pakistan – a further excuse for more premeditated, pre-planned, devilishly orchestrated American Intervention in Pakistan until they take over the entire Tribal Belt in order to be in closer proximity to China, and break-off Baluchistan as a new independent neo-liberal 'Gulf State'. The dismemberment and de-nuking of Pakistan is the larger agenda; and Mr. Zardari – like his distinguished predecessor – is the new 'decider' of that agenda.

And “tickling” the terrorists into existence, as CIA Director Michael Hayden observed of CIA's modus operandi: “*We use military operations to excite the enemy, prompting him to respond. In that response we learn so much,*”. When the victims and their survivors are thus sufficiently mentally “*tickled*” with the loss of their loved ones under the world's mightiest superpower's barbaric “*shock and awe*”, and expertly already doctrinally primed with the long-running “*god is on your side*” jihad to seed the response, the patsies are cleverly armed and guided through Pentagon's Black-ops local handlers' hierarchy to their targets. Sometimes even running false-flag operations this way by setting up diversionary patsies, while the often precision oriented and more devastating terrorist act is directly controlled.

This is the modus operandi to ab initio create the terrorist acts. Once created, the organs of the state, the military, the police, the intelligentsia, the media, the pundits, all naturally focus on the patsies and the victims! Because that is all they see before their un-forensic co-opted eyes. And the Americans send in their military advisors to take-on the menace of these militants before these “jihadis” can take over Pakistan's nuclear weapons and threaten the entire world!

This is how the Americans got into Vietnam too! It begins with pretexts to send in military advisors, with willing complicity of the mercenary rulers already deftly foisted upon the nation for that very purpose – to extend the invitation! Our picturesque

Tribal Belt has already become Vietnam for its victims.

Finally, immediately in the aftermath of the shockingly heinous terrorist act upon the Indian peoples in Mumbai in November 2008, I wrote an urgent appeal to the shocked world in the Letter to Editor dated December 05, 2008, titled "[The Real Terrorists](#)":

The atrocity in Mumbai last week, and the bizarre data that is emerging, will make more sense if one stops viewing this heinous terrorist act from the traditional Indian-Pakistan lens. It is urgent that people in both nations begin to appreciate what's at stake so that each may initiate proper self-defense against accurately identified hidden dangers from the overt 'katputli tamashas'.

To appreciate it, please study the methods of Rand Corporation, CFR, Zbigniew Brzezinski, et. al., and acquire an understanding of the notion of world-government. **Political science runs the world, and the children of Machiavelli are deeply rooted in waging war by way of deception – a game of conquest “as old as mankind”.**

From the East India Company to Free Trade to the War on Terror – all enablers of pretexts for **“imperial mobilization”** and **“full spectrum dominance”**.

In the West, typically, the smartest students study humanities and social sciences, and the think-tanks as well as the Pentagon are populated with scores of PhDs in political science and history. What do you think they do there? What do you think they do at the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, and the Carnegie Endowment for Peace? How about at CFR and RIIA?

They are united in one common global agenda – world government. And they get there by shrewdly employing the overarching political science concept articulated by David Ben Gurion **“what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”!**

And Mr. Arshad Zaman's conclusions therefore are right on the money:

“To conclude, then, there are good reasons to believe that a US-Israel-India axis is in pursuit of a coordinated plan to balkanise militarily consequential Muslim states (next Pakistan, then Iran — the order reversed by Musharraf’s weak military policies); ‘secure’ Pakistan’s nuclear weapons; support Baloch irredentism not only to open a corridor both for logistic support of its troops in Afghanistan and for export of Central Asian crude oil, but also to weaken Iran and Pakistan in the long-term; coerce the Pakistan Army into a civil war (advocating suppression of the Taliban by force in Pakistan, while admitting the failure of exactly this policy in Afghanistan); and further consolidate its hold over civilian leadership by creating the kind of financial dependency that would allow it to control ‘democratic’ elections, and to annul their results if they were unfavourable (as Israel did with Hamas).”
(DAWN, March 21, 2009)

I am grateful to DAWN, that even though they regularly never publish any material I send them except for the maiden letter of December

03, 2007, titled "[The grand chessboard](#)" which had also carried similar warnings, they have finally, and very courageously I might add, published my perspective through the pen of another rational analyst. I thank them both for their moral service to Pakistan at great risk of offending the rulers of the world.

###

[Print](#)

The Day After – American Agenda for Pakistan March 21, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

“One can expect demonic conquerors to burn and maim others' children while they proclaim 'never again' for their own, one cannot accept the apathy of the world idly watching..” -- [screamed the canary in the coal mine to no avail](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD March 17, 2009

OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD

March 17, 2009

Zahir Ebrahim, ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org, United States of America.

Mr. Nawaz Sharif: Congratulations on your long-march victory for restoring the Chief Justice of Pakistan. Several things became manifest in this long drawn out arduous battle, the most salient being two major successes. First, the spontaneous galvanization of the largely apathetic, subsistence-earning Pakistani populace who have had, until yesterday, no inclination for such matters of who sits on the throne, who plunders what from the national kitty under which guise and under what 'katputli tamasha', and who dances to which strings of the puppetmasters, because of other more compelling and mundane matters of simply struggling to put food on the table. This galvanization of the masses which has unleashed so much tectonic energy is to your and your fellow comrades' immense credit. As I humbly noted in my felicitous open letter of [March 16, 2009](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org) to the distinguished Barrister Aitzaz Ahsan:

The Pakistani nation today is dancing to the tune of katputli tamasha enacted for them by yourself, Mr. Nawaz Sharif, and the legal fraternity. And yet, there is something so positive to emerge out of this long march that I am, for the first time since 911, excited and also full of realistic hope that the people of Pakistan have finally come out to vociferously proclaim from their roof-tops and in the streets: ***I have had it up to my neck and am not going to take it anymore. Bravo Pakistanis!!!***

My most humble respect and salutations to you and the leadership of this long march which showed such remarkable national courage against the entire state apparatus that it spontaneously galvanized an entire nation of hitherto apathetic bystanders.

That example today must be giving goose-bumps to the hectoring hegemony, for it is indeed an example to be emulated in all the Western nations which are themselves rapidly descending into police-states of their own. Your good self and Mr. Nawaz Sharif, quite unbeknownst to yourselves, have given enormous courage to all plebeians everywhere and unequivocally shown the power of many against the mightiest entrenched power of tyranny.

The second success is unfortunately not as felicitous. This open letter focusses on analyzing this second success, and suggests some concrete steps to take to turn the tables on the very puppetmasters in whose benefit you and all the rest of the Pakistanis have inadvertently just participated.

Please observe the following statements appearing in this morning's [DAWN](#):

'Barrister Aitzaz Ahsan, one of the main leaders of the lawyers' movement, expressed the hope on Monday that Chief Justice Iftikhar Mohammad Chaudhry would neither hear the case challenging the National Reconciliation Ordinance nor the review petition to be filed by the federal government against disqualification of the Sharif brothers, to demonstrate his impartiality.

Responding to questions at a press conference, he said since beneficiaries of the National Reconciliation Ordinance were against Justice Iftikhar "we hope he himself would not hear the case against NRO in larger interest of justice".

Mr. Ahsan said Justice Iftikhar had not heard the case against Pervez Musharraf's presidential election.' (DAWN, March 17, 2009)

Right off the bat one can ascertain the tenor of the second success. Mr. Zardari and those who installed him there for presiding over the dismemberment of Pakistan, have nothing to fear from the courageous judge who has been reinstated through galvanized street-power under your and Aitzaz Ahsan's leadership. Mr. Zardari is free to continue with the same core-policies as his military-dictator predecessor who abdicated in favor of the civilian administrator under the facade of democratic elections. General Pervez Musharraf fought the pernicious 'war on terror' on behalf of the American oligarchs against his own peoples, killed, burned, and maimed his own civilians, destroyed a mosque in the most barbaric fashion in Islamabad under the mantra of "enlightened moderation", and fully aided and abetted the American Agenda from the day he was installed in power in yet another puppetshow of which you were the first victim. President Asif Ali Zardari has continued with principally the same puppetshow. And the most famous lawyer in Pakistan is reported today to proclaim that the most famous chief justice's restoration will not affect that outcome. **What is this bullshit?**

Aitzaz Ahsan is, in effect, asserting that the newly reinstated and much lauded Chief Justice of Pakistan is now incapable of being impartial, is incapable of adjudicating in the best interest of the people of his nation based solely on the merit of a case, is incapable of separating personal bias from judicial integrity and judicial acuity, and therefore, one must politely ask: **why has the glorified chief justice been restored?**

So I suppose that we must first visit, ab initio, the palpable concept that participation in America's 'war on terror' is indeed the highest order treason facing the beleaguered nation and is also an outright manufactured fiction, because, ostensibly, 100% of the nation's politicians, bureaucracy, and its military brass, with the anemic exception of Imran Khan, seem to think that it is a holy unquestionable truth handed down from the 'whiteman' and therefore, not even worthy of addressing, never mind making the key central issue, during this entire judicial struggle.

That, in fact, based on the orders of the 'whiteman', it is not patently treasonous, immoral, and outright criminal to kill one's own peoples and get paid for doing it by the 'whiteman' on whose behalf such mercenarial outrage is undertaken by the state itself.

That, in fact, it is not the geopolitical design to de-nuke Pakistan and divide up its territories into smaller regions by first bringing the nation

to its knees through the 'strategy of tension', and demonstrating to the world that Pakistan has become un-governable thus requiring NATO and international intervention for the safety of the world.

That, under the facile pretext of manufactured un-governability, or the fabricated threat of the country about to being taken over by the 'Taliban' and Pakistan's nukes falling into their blood-thirsty 'Islamofascist' hands, or a massive terrorist event occurring anywhere on earth to be subsequently blamed upon Pakistan as repeatedly threatened by former American President George W. Bush, an invasion of Pakistan is not planned by NATO.

Thus, the fact that President Zardari and his criminal advisory cabal so outrageously took credit for the Mumbai Terrorist Act to aid and abet in the construction of that very treasonous pretext that Pakistan has irreparably become **“the very petri dish of international terrorism”** – words of your own late partner in the Charter of Democracy, uttered before the Council on Foreign Relations on [August 15, 2007](#) – is not more worthy of an urgent protest 'dharna' than installing a powerless chief justice back on the over-glorified throne of ineffectual justice.

Such un-courageous co-option is unheard of in the annals of any self-respecting nation's politics, except when dancing to the closely held strings of the puppetmasters. Thus, in your entire political sloganeering for the reinstatement of the Chief Justice of Pakistan, making the following crucial and deliberate omissions has only successfully helped the devilish agenda of the puppetmasters:

- a) never asserting that stopping the drone attacks from Pakistani territories is your mandate;
- b) never asserting that Pakistan military's job is to defend the nation and its peoples from external threats and not to wage war upon its own innocent peoples, men, women, and children, at the behest of, and payment from, those threatening the country's very existence;
- c) never asserting that the 'war on terror' is a manufactured fiction that is sinking Pakistan into oblivion;
- d) never asserting that 911 was a false-flag operation to create the pretext for a *“New Pearl Harbor”* to enable pre-planned *“imperial mobilization”* enroute to 'one-world' government;
- e) and most importantly, never unequivocally asserting that this is NOT PAKISTAN'S WAR and that we must DISENGAGE NOW!

Evidence for the veracity of these carefully crafted omissions being the real grotesque truth of the matter, may be gleaned in the open letter of [March 16, 2009](#) to Mr. Aitzaz Ahsan, and in the references to other analyses contained therein. [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](#) provides accurate forensic analyses which are being denied you by the myopic newsmedia in Pakistan, and perhaps by your own midget-minded advisors. Unless of course, you are already well aware of these palpable matters and deliberately choose to remain silent for your own vested interests. In this letter, I rationally assume that this is not the case – for the charge for it is treason against the people of Pakistan.

Thus I naively dare to assume that you may not be altogether aware of how modernity is run with 'uber' intellect and devilish political-philosophy – not with propagandist sloganeering except to dull the masses into 'United We Stand'. The relationship between states is only based on the Machiavellian “**Art of War**” of “**waging war by way of deception**” as “**hegemony is as old as mankind.**” In order to effectively counter Machiavelli, in order to unravel the layers upon layers of sophisticated lies which constitute the Hegelian Dialectics of Deception, not only does it require a fair degree of familiarity with western political-philosophy, but also some rational un-co-opted commonsense to sensibly extract oneself from the matrix-world of Alice in Wonderland. This devilish modus operandi of social and political control in modernity was best explained by America's most famously unsung poet-philosopher, Ezra Pound. He called it the “**technique of infamy**”, whereby, you orchestrate not one lie, but two or more opposing lies, even layered ones, and you ensnare the public's attention in their heatedly debating which of them might be true! All course of action, even by genuine patriots, under this modus operandi lead to fait accompli which favor the Hectoring Hegemons.

Digging to the bottom of such Machiavellian craftsmanship is not in the ken of many a Pakistani, grown up as we have, in oppressive dictatorships and carry upon our backs the rich heritage of divinely ordained monarchic rule of Islamic history which have had no need for complex Machiavellian mind-control games. Our rulers, past and present, typically rule by autocratic fiat and not the consent of the governed, tell simple lies when necessary, and loot with relatively simple unimaginative graft.

It is only the free-wheeling democracies in the West that have necessitated the invention of such sophisticated Hegelian dialectics of deception in order to control their own masses, while giving them the illusion of freedom. Even their looting is orders of magnitude more complex as may be gleaned in the present financial crises in which the handful of oligarchs are emerging richer and becoming owners of most of the real wealth of the world. We are today being subjected to the same levels of deception as the West, and our national leadership, mercenaries and co-opted mental midgets that almost 99% of them are, the remaining patriotic one percent is also apparently succumbing to these deception games of global domination on the 'grand chessboard'. For none among the Pakistani ruling elite hath publicly proclaimed as of this date, including yourself, that “**911 was a false-flag operation**” and “**war on terror is a fabrication**”.

And this is also how you have nicely handed the puppetmasters the second success from your “revolution”, by orchestrating this 'katputli tamasha' on the ineffectual matter of judges' restoration, and omitting any reference to the core-issues which the imperial masters do not want raised.

But as noted above, serendipity has also finally favored the Pakistanis, lending new import to the veracious words of the Holy Qur'an, that men may plan, but the Almighty is the greatest of planners!

The public is energized beyond belief and ready to side against the criminal status quo. They are only waiting for courageous leaders to lead them. Please notice that the populace aren't necessarily siding with you, but are primarily standing up to the tyranny of the oppressive rulers in power due to their own frustrations. It would be wise not to confuse their motivation.

Therefore, having finally taken the first courageous baby-step to come out of their shell of apathy, I believe the motivated Pakistani public can be very effectively directed towards the cause for which the more meaningful long-march and 'dharna' has yet to begin. The ab initio treasonous DNA which is the root cause of all contemporary evil in Pakistan: disengaging Pakistan from this fiction of 'war on terror'.

There are many existential threats facing Pakistan, both external ones which are obvious, and also internal ones from our own fifth columnists and ruling elite across the entire feudal spectrum. From landlords to military lords, all are beholden to their sponsors at the Council on Foreign Relations in the United States, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Britain. You are surely no stranger to these imperial policy planning institutions, the two most respectable overt organizations of the puppetmasters. Unlike the maligned intelligence apparatus of empire who merely implement broad or narrow covert directives handed them from up above, these front operations of the real oligarchs of the world interface directly with their puppet client-states to enact the policies which lead to the puppetshows which keep any nation's people occupied in the leaves and branches of the make-belief tree while their loot and plunder continues on in the larger real forest. These imperial institutions trivially make patsies of the gullible, and mercenaries of the traitorous. They are the ones who plan and orchestrate the oppressive rulers who have, for so long, cemented the deprivation of the common man in Pakistan, while making fortunes for their ownelves under magnanimous legal sanctions and un-enforceable accountability by imperial collusion. The enemy is deep, broad, sophisticated, Machiavellian, and ubiquitous.

Yet, at the same time, I have come to believe, for the first time really, that it is quite possible to alter Pakistan's pathetic destiny from the tortuous one planned for her by these hectoring hegemons.

You have shown us Mr. Nawaz Sharif, that we can mobilize such enormous masses of people, that no man-made barriers can stop them. We can surely overcome all these existential threats by harnessing that tectonic energy which you have serendipitously unleashed.

By wisely employing the public's new found courage to stand up to tyranny, we can really show the puppetmasters of the world what they are afraid of at night, and for which, all their years of police-state planning world-wide can be brought to naught just like the Pharaoh's army. We can really show the hectoring hegemons that indeed, when the checkered flag drops, and when the rubber finally meets the road, ***“democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”***

To achieve this, minimally requires that any aspiring national leadership be un-compromising and un-afraid on the crucial core-issues which have seeded the misery of the Pakistani nation as a puppetstate, and not themselves be covert puppets and mercenaries implementing an imperial agenda in the vein of the devilish 'technique of infamy' alluded to earlier.

And once again, I am greatly heartened to read in this morning's [News](#), in a report aptly titled **“Nawaz vows to end 'outdated system' with mass support”**, your glamorously hopeful statement: ***“A new Pakistan is born after March 16”!***

If this is really true and not merely an ephemeral mirage, let's examine how you might efficaciously alter the coarse of events on the road ahead and navigate ourselves out of perpetual serfdom which awaits the fate of our peoples.

I am firmly of the view that the following overarching steps, if undertaken immediately and executed astutely, with 360 degree vigilance of both friend and foe alike, can very effectively navigate the nation away from its present precarious perch on the edge of precipice. Indeed, I believe that Mr. Aitzaz Ahsan's inspiring [poem](#) which he penned for the beautiful anthem-song for the first long-march, can quite efficaciously be realized to bring back to vibrancy those so despondently staring into the heart of oblivion.

This is the first baby-step:

Step1: Publicly declare as your manifesto all points noted as your [omissions](#) above. Seek the awakened public's mandate for that manifesto, and institutionalize that mandate in your political party so that this manifesto for breaking the chains of voluntary servitude is no longer dependent on an individual's survival.

Step2: Amazingly, the rest will naturally follow, just as a flower blooms in spring, and a sweet fruit ripens on the tree for any beautifully planted and holistically nurtured healthy seed. For additional recommended overarching next baby-steps, please see Project Humanbeingsfirst's report "[Wakeup to the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard'!](#)" on its website <http://humanbeingsfirst.org>.

Thank you.

####

URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-nawaz-sharif-mar172009.html>

PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2009/03/open-letter-nawaz-sharif-mar172009.pdf>

Please direct your questions and comments to: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

OPEN LETTER TO NAWAZ SHARIF – THE ROAD AHEAD March 17, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

“One can expect demonic conquerors to burn and maim others' children while they proclaim 'never again' for their own, one cannot accept the apathy of the world idly watching...” -- [screamed the canary in the coal mine to no avail](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

OPEN LETTER TO AITZAZ AHSAN March 16, 2009

OPEN LETTER TO AITZAZ AHSAN

March 16, 2009

Zahir Ebrahim, ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org, United States of America.

Congratulations on your long march victory for restoring the Chief Justice. However, not one among you, including Mr. Nawaz Sharif, and the former now soon to be reinstated Chief Justice, in your long march sloganeering, ever mentioned stopping the drone attacks from Pakistani territories, not one among you mentioned that 'war on terror' is a manufactured fiction that is sinking Pakistan into oblivion, and not one among you asserted that this is NOT OUR WAR.

Okay, Imran Khan only anemically uttered some semblance of sanity – but he too shied away from full spectrum disclosures that Pakistan must disengage from this fabricated war, that we must stop supplying our real enemies, and that based on prima facie evidence, Pakistani Army is entirely in bed with the US and Nato forces and shares Pakistan's dismemberment agenda with them. WHY are you silent?

In fact, you wrote in your oped of March 09, 2009 in the Pakistan's daily The News, that the US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton might well be right on **“who planned 9/11, the bombings in Madrid and London, ... and the recent carnage in Mumbai”**, except on the matter of **“the assassination of Benazir Bhutto”**? You offered a detailed forensic analysis of why Hillary Clinton might be wrong in that one case. What stopped you from making the same forensic analysis on those other matters of *“imperial mobilization”*? As a rational barrister given to acute forensic thinking, you surely cannot believe that an ['Ali Baba'](#) has the supra-national power to overwhelm an armed to the teeth mighty sole-superpower and bring it to its knees?

Can you refute anything in the still unpublished in the mainstream [letter to the editor](#) which is reproduced below? If not, why not straightforwardly state outright that which is true, rational, and moral? You do enjoy a prominent place in Pakistani politics, law, and the media. Why are you silent on these matters when you can create such a raucous on other profound matters of justice? Is this such a rocket science to unravel? Surely not for an astute legal mind.

If you are still unsure about any of these matters, I would be more than happy to enlighten you. And if you don't believe me, I would be happy if you refuted me publicly and allowed me the chance to respond to you in the same forum and venue so that the people in Pakistan can at least come to know that there is another rational perspective. Addressing the systemic cancer of *“imperial mobilization”* and its manufactured boogiemens at its very fundamental source – by looking at the entire forest instead of being perpetually lost in the leaves, branches, tree, and clump of trees – we can most assuredly navigate Pakistan away from its planned dismemberment.

The Pakistani nation today is dancing to the tune of katputli tamasha enacted for them by yourself, Mr. Nawaz Sharif, and the legal fraternity. And yet, there is something so positive to emerge out of this long march that I am, for the first time since 911, excited and also full of realistic hope that the people of Pakistan have finally come out to vociferously proclaim from their roof-tops and in the streets: ***I have had it up to my neck and am not going to take it anymore. Bravo Pakistanis!!!***

My most humble respect and salutations to you and the leadership of this long march which showed such remarkable national courage against the entire state apparatus that it spontaneously galvanized an entire nation of hitherto apathetic bystanders.

That example today must be giving goose-bumps to the hectoring hegemon, for it is indeed an example to be emulated in all the Western nations which are themselves rapidly descending into police-states of their own. Your good self and Mr. Nawaz Sharif, quite unbeknownst to yourselves, have given enormous courage to all plebeians everywhere and unequivocally shown the power of many against the mightiest entrenched power of tyranny.

Well, let's harness that tectonic energy and the new found publicchutzpah to stand up to tyranny by stripping it naked all the way and really show the rulers of the world what they are afraid of at night, and for which, all their years of police-state planning world-wide can be brought to naught just like the Pharaoh's army. That indeed, when the checkered flag drops, and when the rubber finally meets the road, ***“democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.”***

###

Letter to Editor: The Destruction of Pakistan by the CIA

Dateline March 15, 2009.

Project Humanbeingsfirst is of the same accurate assessment as Dictatorshipwatch.com's "[Even Soviet Union Could not survive this kind of a CIA-backed war](#)".

It is uncanny to find so much in common with [DW](#) – but then again, there is only one truth surrounded by a 100 million layered lies. Anyone who peers through to the bottom of the Pandora's box however, is guaranteed to uncover the truth (and the solution) – or so the legend goes.

What is pertinent to observe is the rapid escalation, and co-incidence, of the timing of the rapid [global financial collapse](#) and [Pakistan's rapid deterioration](#). This only implies one thing to this scribe – the confirmation that during Obama's Administration is the endgame. See "[Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup](#)".

There may be some ways to avert it. None of these however, directly include the people of Pakistan unfortunately, except in wishful thinking. For, when the arsonists own the fire stations, and plebeian revolutions remain the dream in only utopian eyes, and the beleaguered peoples unable to even make daily ends meet can only proclaim "*Allah chala raha hai*", the outcome is fait accompli. Pakistanis are just as apathetically watching it all unfold on their television screens, as the Americans. And the pathetic reality is that even Pakistan's most distinguished rebel, Mr. Aitzaz Ahsan, could not call the spade a spade.

Look how the distinguished Pakistani barrister and learned scholar even began his recent analysis with the lame opening gambit in "Waiting for the UN", the first of the two opeds to appear on [March 09, 2009](#) in the News – and one is supposed to get so impressed so as to start singing Hallelujah:

' Addressing a press conference after the Nato Foreign Ministers' Conference in Brussels on March 5, the US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton said: "We must recognize that one tiny, remote corner of the world — the borders of Pakistan — is the nerve centre for extremists who planned 9/11, the bombings in Madrid and London, the assassination of Benazir Bhutto and the recent carnage in Mumbai". (The NEWS, March 06). She may be right about all the others,...

"She may be right about all the others"? Indeed! Even while he goes on to accurately analyze the one exception in his mind where the US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton might be wrong, his beloved leader's assassination, and rightfully concludes with the commonsensical and rational wisdom in the second of the two opeds on [March 14, 2009](#):

"Let us not wait for the UN and allow even the remaining evidence also to degrade and disappear. Let not the UN become an alibi."

What stopped Mr. Aitzaz Ahsan from making the same forensic analysis for "**who planned 9/11, the bombings in Madrid and London, ... and the recent carnage in Mumbai**" as he did for "**the assassination of Benazir Bhutto**"?

So, when the editor of DW writes in his afore-cited editorial:

"In such a situation, only the people of Pakistan can save Pakistan. The first step of this war for Pakistan's survival begins with identifying and bringing to justice the enemies within."

here are some reality checks – and also the first axiomatic baby-step towards the solution:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/why-not-be-anostrich-feb132009.html>

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html>

Is there a Pakistani '**ma ka lal**', or '**baab ki baitie**', who is already born, and not yet to be born, who can truthfully proclaim what is noted therein? One who might truthfully proclaim on mainstream television and in public speeches the **Galilean-truth** noted in the editorial by DW?

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org

###

[**Preface** The editorial by DW is reproduced below. Each of these items listed in it, I know of independently through my own research. For Instance, the "[jihad](#)" publications "*to make the Soviets bleed for as much and as long as possible*", were run by Zalmay Khalilzad and his mentor Zbigniew Brzezinski under Rand Corporation which taught Afghani children the most barbaric methods to fight the Soviets – and hundreds of thousands signed up, children to men to fight the infidels because Brzezinski convinced them that "*god is on your side*". The same are likely signing up today to fight the Pakistani army – their gratuitously made enemy – under control of the black-ops dollars and manufactured jihadis, in turn under hierarchical control of hired mercenaries who work for the CIA and Pentagon's shadow army. Just look at how Jundallah is fighting Iran (see [Letter to Editor: Iran, the Associated Press, and Covert-War of 'Imperial Mobilization'](#)) – **there is a Jundallah-plusplus fighting Pakistan!** Clearly, names like Baitulla Meshud, the radio mullah, etc., are part of that gang – made patsies or mercenaries by the lure of dollars, revenge, and "jihad" against the new infidel oppressors. Apart from lending credence to the mantra of "Terror Central" (see [Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central'](#)), this was precisely the point behind decimating lal-masjid in Islamabad in July 2007 with such barbaric ferocity. It wouldn't take much to enlist an oppressed, already disenfranchised to the limit of tolerance by daily bombardment of their families, populace to fight the barbaric infidel Pakistan army which shies not even in destroying a mosque, with women and children inside, with the same deadly phosphorous bombs as the Israeli army uses on the Palestinians! Just as Jundallah is running hit and run missions of systematic destabilization in Iran from Pakistani territory, Jundallah-plusplus is running both hit and run missions against Pakistani army, against Pakistani civilians with wanton acts of exploding terror, and against the US military and Nato armies, blaming it all on al-qaeeda and Taliban. This was the purpose of [NATO's Secret Armies](#) during the Cold War, to seed 'Operation Gladio' and synthesize Terrorism in Western Europe in order sustain the fear of communism among the skeptical Western Europeans so that the Pentagon's and NATO's agenda against the USSR could be perpetuated as per the [Truman Doctrine](#). And the same modus operandi has been resurrected to create and maintain the fear of [al-qaeeda](#) in the Pakistani as well as the Western psyche – through the 'strategy of tension' – by inflicting shocking and barbaric civilian casualties, as well as the military ones being suffered by the regular army units unconnected to the black-ops secret-army in Afghanistan. The false-flag events transpiring on the day of 911 which were instantly blamed on the CIA asset [Bin Laden](#), was its humble beginnings. Its culmination is in the grandiose ['one-world' government](#). Towards that singular goal of the globalists, the [fraternity of world's intelligence apparatus](#) has come together in solidarity of purpose. Specifically, they are the ones planning and executing spectacular false-flag operations world-wide and blaming them upon patsy boogiemen of 'Islamofascism' and 'al-qaeeda', just as NATO Intelligence blamed its false-flag terrorist acts in Europe on the communists and the Red Brigade. George Bernard Shaw had wisely counseled: "*We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the*

responsibility for our future.” And we would be wise to pay heed to that counsel, for hard receipts for these monumental crimes will only be found, if at all, after fait accompli is complete and the narrators of history of the One-World Order laugh their way to the bank.]

Even Soviet Union Could not survive this kind of a CIA-backed war

For the world mastering demi-gods, Pakistan army is just a piece of cake compared to what the Soviet power. Of course, the Soviet Union was in a foreign land but then it was fighting one known enemy. To the contrary, the Pakistan’s mercenary army is against a multitude of forces on several fronts, including the humiliation and alienation it has to face from its own people.

See the following report. Only those who are totally ignorant about Afghanistan and Pakistan would believe that the mercenary army is against the Taliban and the bogey-monster al-Qaeeda. Those who know the Taliban when they were the rulers of Afghanistan and remember their collective military power of no more than a few old Russian tanks can clearly see that Pakistan’s army is not fighting the Taliban but an organized guerrilla force, trained and supported by the CIA just as it did against the Soviet forces in Afghanistan. Afghans would gladly join the force against Pakistan many of whom believe from the bottom of their heart that Pakistan is their enemy and wants to establish a proxy power in Afghanistan. India’s RAW has joined hands with the CIA just as ISI did against the Soviet forces.

This strategy of slowly bleeding the high value target is further supported by the drone strikes to create and increase resistance to the army from within Pakistan so that in the complex situation no one could easily figure out if the enemy is the local people driven to the extreme by Pakistan’s war on its people, or it is the infiltrators from outside.

The CIA-RAW and Mossad planned and supported terrorist attacks inside Pakistan is another way of softening Pakistan as a high value target from within. All these fronts of the war of terrorists on Pakistan are further supported through Mush and Zardari kind of puppet regimes and Rahman Malik type of sold-out souls. In such a situation, only the people of Pakistan can save Pakistan. The first step of this war for Pakistan’s survival begins with identifying and bringing to justice the enemies within.

This is a Jihad in reverse. The Jihad from Pakistan outwards against the US enemies has now been directed against Pakistan. Propagandists of the “long war” are calling this CIA-Mossad-RAW new “mujahideen” as a “**shadow army**.” They believe that a shadow army that could undo the Soviet Union can definitely undo Pakistan as well. All they have to do is to make Pakistan army more unpopular at home so that the US-backed Jihad against it could be well justified.

The more drone attacks, the more shelling on Pakistani villages with the US-supplied cobra gunships, the more Musharraf type generals accepting responsibility of even the butchery committed by the US forces, the more backing of the US war on its people, the more people are displaced internally from their homes and the more the US-backed Jihad on this army gets legitimacy. The Soviet army was at least dying for itself. Pakistan army is fighting and dying for the US in a war that is purely direct against it.

So, surely, the US backed al-qaeeda, taliban and mujahideen undid the Soviet Union because Jihad against it was made holier by the books published at the University of Nebraska and the war justified through the “mainstream” media. Now a new brand of al-Qaeeda and Taliban and Mujahideen are being brainwashed into believing that Pakistani regime and Pakistani army are worse than Najeebullah in power as a Soviet puppet. This is proved as a universal truth by making the Pakistani generals and top political leaders dance to the tunes from Washington. Only fools would believe they are no puppets. So how can you convince the new CIA-backed al-Qaeeda and Taliban and

mujahideen that the regime in Islamabad has no blood on its hands and does not serve Washington.

Taliban didn't know that they were created by the CIA. They thought they were dealing with a trusted ally – the ISI. Same is the case today. Those who are made to fight Pakistan army do not know their real backers and they do not intend to be fighting the CIA-RAW-Khad-Mossad cause.

####

URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/open-letter-aitzaz-ahsan-mar162009.html>

PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2009/03/open-letter-aitzaz-ahsan-march162009b.pdf>

Please direct your questions and comments to: humanbeingsfirst@gmail.com

OPEN LETTER TO AITZAZ AHSAN March 16, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

“One can expect demonic conquerors to burn and maim others' children while they proclaim 'never again' for their own, one cannot accept the apathy of the world idly watching..” -- screamed the canary in the coal mine to no avail

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!

'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!

Zahir Ebrahim, [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

Saturday, March 21, 2009.

The following report (excerpted) was published by Prisonplanet last week:

Police Trained Nationwide That Informed Americans Are Domestic Terrorists

Written Friday, March 13, 2009 by Paul Joseph Watson, Kurt Nimmo & Alex Jones

'Law enforcement across the country are being educated that informed Americans who know their rights are dangerous and that cops are their enemy.

The MIAC report specifically describes supporters of presidential candidates Ron Paul, Chuck Baldwin, and Bob Barr as “militia” influenced terrorists and instructs the Missouri police to be on the lookout for supporters displaying bumper stickers and other paraphernalia associated with the Constitutional, Campaign for Liberty, and Libertarian parties.

The MIAC report does not concentrate on Muslim terrorists, but rather on the so-called “militia movement” and conflates it with supporters of Ron Paul, Chuck Baldwin, Bob Barr, the so-called patriot movement and other political activist organizations opposed to the North American Union and the New World Order.

Police are educated in the document that people are anti-abortion, own gold, display an assortment of U.S. flags, or even those that talk about the film Zeitgeist, view the police as their “enemy” and conflates them with domestic terrorists like Oklahoma City bomber Timothy McVeigh, Olympic bomber Eric Rudolph and other domestic militia groups who have been charged with plotting terrorist attacks.

However, the conflation of banal sectors of society such as people who own gold, fly flags, display bumper stickers or who support mainstream political candidates such as Bob Barr, and the guilt-by-association smear that they are likely to be dangerous and potential terrorists, is a staggering alarm bell which indicates police are being trained that ordinary Americans, not radicalized Mexican race hate groups or Al-Qaeda suicide bomber cells, are the number one domestic threat in the war on terror.

Indeed, the MIAC report is just the latest in a series of similar threat assessment documents that list average American citizens as dangerous extremists and potential terrorists.

We discovered that similar propaganda was being disseminated from the very top in September 2006 when it was revealed that the Bush administration had been targeting “conspiracy theorists” as terrorist recruiters.

President Bush himself gave speeches about a White House “strategy paper” that formed “an unclassified version of the strategy we’ve been pursuing since September the 11th, 2001,” that takes into account, “**the changing nature of this enemy.**” ’

Indeed, “**the changing nature of this enemy**”! As already [footnoted in 2008](#), the following is what I had presciently written in my maiden book [Prisoners of the Cave](#), chapter 5, in April 2003, as bombing of Iraq was in full “*shock and awe*” and the FBI had thoughtfully “[dared to knock on my door](#)” instead, chasing down all the brown-skin “Muslim terrorists” in town.

Extending the Empire

Written April 2003 by Zahir Ebrahim

Begin Excerpt

So now that we have launched the imperial adventure, how do we stay the course? How do we prevent the good conscionable people from rising up when they learn the truth through what will invariably leak in from foreign press and other alternate media?

Well, start curtailing civil liberties, one at a time, for if we do it all at once, people might rise up and object too loudly - much like that frog who can escape from sudden boiling water but not from gradually heated water raised to a boiling point over time. So how to curtail civil liberties in stages and present a persistent danger to enable it?

Invent a fifth column and declare a perpetual war against them. Invent the TIPS hotline and set neighbor against neighbor, creating a climate of fear and nervousness, requiring more laws to find the culprits. The media totes the line, and people go along. Machiavelli take notes!

So now we have our internal enemy to scare the people with. How about that external enemy? Don't we need both perception of threats to successfully mobilize the public for an imperial adventure and contain dissent? For “**Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization**” is the gospel according to ZB.

Brilliant! Create an axis of evil deemed to possess such weapons of mass destruction that people would forget about their own country's immense store of the most deadly and vile weapons of mass destruction, invent 'fictitious facts' and 'fictitious linkages' and 'fictitious

reports' and present them to the UN Security Council and to the American public, and try to rally a 'coalition of the coerced' to prosecute the 'fictitious war' to prevent any 'fictitious future threats'.

Now after 22 days of sustained bombing the already impoverished Iraq, a country that has lived through hellish 12 incredibly long years of devastating sanctions and bombed out industrial and civil infrastructure from the first Gulf war, no weapons of mass destruction are found. So just in case before someone is thoughtful enough to ask where are those touted and much feared Iraqi weapons of mass destruction, and to find the next pretext to attack the next new country in the new axis of evil (now that Iraq has been flagged with the stars and stripes), the war Secretary Rumsfeld comes out and says “**all of these weapons have been smuggled into Syria!**” And the media dutifully informs us as if it has been eye witnessed by Rumsfeld himself!

How about if one alleges that all of these WMDs have been smuggled into Rumsfeld's closet? Would the media report that? In fact there is far more evidence for looking into Rumsfeld's closet because he was seen shaking the hands of Sadaam Hussain as far back as 1984, whereas the Syrian leadership has since 1978 been politically at loggerheads with Baghdad, ever since Sadaam came to power. They only did limited trading between 1997 - 2002 under the supervised UN's oil for food program (source DemocracyNow), and the current Syrian president only took office in July 2000 after his predecessor died. There is no empirical evidence of collusion between the two countries, nor has any been presented as the rhetoric against Syria is ratcheted up. But evidence of course is immaterial, because the real goal is to keep making up pretexts.

The pretext to go to war on Iraq itself was as phony as a child telling a lie about the cookie jar when the crumbs are all over his clothes - except in this case it is a grown up General trying to annihilate an entire people by his deceit. Much has been written about the absence of any linkage between 9-11 and Iraq, the fake reports and fake and plagiarized evidence that the Secretary of State waved in the UN Security council that was collected from the Ph.D. thesis of a student from data 12 years old, or the aluminum tubes and what not. All put to a lie by the UN experts testimony Hans Blix et al., Scott Ritter, Dennis Halliday, Hans Von Sponeck, all of whom repeatedly stated that not only has Iraq been disarmed, but it does not even possess adequate means for self defense, the 12 long years of sanctions having taken its massive inhuman civilian toll. In the first 8 years of the sanctions alone, 1.2 million died, including 567,000 - 750,000 children according to the UN. When Madeleine Albright, Clinton's Secretary of State was asked about the horrible price the children of Iraq were paying because of the sanctions with more than 5000 dying each month, she had remarked “**we think the price is worth it**”. Muslim babies are worth it? And that from a Jew who cry over their own and vow never again?

Why are criminals like that not challenged in the media and are allowed to rule the 'free world'? Why does the obsequious world watch silently? Has the entire planet been castrated? With the rise of “modernity” and the inter-linking of economies and destinies of peoples, it is far easier to annihilate and destroy than it has ever been in the history of the planet. And we call this progress of the Western civilization! Progress that is aimed at the conquest of the Orient!

See the UN reports compiled by Ramsey Clark in “the children are dying - The impact of sanctions on Iraq”, and look at those pictures of young babies and children, victims of the barbaric economic sanctions and DU munitions. Or hear Barbara Lubin, Executive Director of MECA (Middle East Children's Alliance) describe her eyewitnesses account of misery and suffering visited upon the Iraqi children due to

lack of simple medicines over the past 12 years! The US bombed Iraq's entire civilian infrastructure, against the international conduct and rules of war where civilian facilities are protected from destruction. In a 1999 Wall Street Journal article,

“After eight years of enforcing a no fly zone in northern [and southern] Iraq, few military targets remain. 'We're down to the last outhouse,’”⁵

Now look what Protocol 1, Part 1V, Section 1, Chapter 111, Article 54 of the Geneva Conventions 1977, to which the United States is a signatory, and hence obligated to implement according to the laws of its own Constitution, states:

“Starvation of civilians as a method of warfare is prohibited. It is prohibited to attack, destroy, remove, or render useless objects indispensable to the agricultural areas for the production of foodstuffs, crops, livestock, drinking water installations and supplies, and irrigation works, for the specific purpose of destroying them for their sustenance value to the civilian population or to the adverse Party, whatever the motive, whether in order to starve out civilians, to cause them to move away, or for any other motive.”

So it is not enough that America is patently guilty of heinous war crimes against the civilian population of Iraq in her disingenuous attempts to contain Sadaam Hussain that she herself installed and actively supported for decades, now she maintains that a totally devastated bombed out nation is such a threat to her that it must be invaded? The sole superpower in the world that has an annual defense budget of \$400 billion, ten times the size of its nearest potential challenger, is so scared that she even feels threatened by a totally devastated people? Come on, I have a bridge I would like to sell you! One of administration's own George Tenet, the Director of CIA, testified before Congress about Iraq's threat level to the US: almost zero, unless Iraq is attacked first. Iraq's own neighbors repeatedly stated they are not threatened by Iraq.

But so little rational and factual discussions made it into the mainstream TV coverage, forget any follow-ups or analysis, and never mind raising the fundamental question why should Iraq be disarmed when Israel poses the larger threat in the Middle East, as testified by all its neighbors, and is known to possess ~200 - 300 nuclear weapons? The discussions on television news programs always, always revolved around “how” to attack Iraq, the pros and cons of various gee-whiz methods, and never around “why” to attack Iraq. The morality of hitting a severely and deliberately weakened opponent who did nothing to you, who cannot defend itself because you deliberately disarmed it of even its self defense capacity, and hitting with a “Shock and Awe” campaign of cruise missiles and long distance bombers on cities populated with innocent men women and children, is never raised. Have cowardice and immorality only become words in a dictionary never to be uttered? Not a word about this is to be heard from any of the champions of freedom who support this war. And war it ain't, but a slaughter of sitting ducks, except that it is people and their properties and their histories. “Slaughter on Iraq” is a better moniker.

It is also mind boggling that the administration can be so arrogant in their power, that they don't even want to take the time to lie properly – the US public is in their pocket, the media is in their pocket, and the world does not matter since they wield the biggest sticks. Several US policy analyst even openly argue that the UN structure is antiquated because it was made at a time when the world power was shared by the victors of WWII, and does not take the new realities of a single global superpower into account.

Hence note the exemption that US has claimed for itself from the International court of justice, any crime it commits on the world scene it is exempted from prosecution for it. Any charges, if someone may be so bold to make, have to be referred back to the US courts. How convenient that the perpetrators should try themselves!

It is all there, in black and white and color, many snippets of it sometimes even present in the mainstream media. But with no one to follow up, analyze, dissect, and assimilate the widely spread out information, provide historical context, question official assertions presented as evidence, and journalist all vying with each other to get in bed with the military for that first shot at made for TV story, and the 15 second attention span of the TV audience systematically dumbed down over the past years, the real story is quietly allowed to die away. Whereas the media was fixated by former President Clinton's personal fantasy world with weeks of sustained sensationalistic coverage about stains on dresses, no one wants to dig into the real "fiction" being perpetuated on the entire American people, and indeed on the entire world. Michael Moore was brazen enough to point out this "world of fiction" so eloquently even in the brief 45 second Oscar acceptance speech he gave for his "Bowling for Columbine" documentary, in front of a TV audience of a billion viewers. The entire world probably cheered, but most in the audience booed him.

If the poor performance of the mainstream news media is not evidence of their direct (and not just inadvertent) collusion in orchestrating and culminating this "**Slaughter on Iraq**", then I don't know what constitutes evidence. Not only are they complicit in war crimes against the people of Iraq, but because of the fact that they are charged with a specific charter under the Constitution to monitor the centers of power in order to safe guard the proper functioning of democracy and for which they are offered the protection of free speech, they are also guilty of subverting democracy itself. Hence guilty of treasonable offense against their own nation, the people of America. This theme of their culpability permeates the book because of the singular importance of their role in a country like America, where the public is not only constitutionally empowered to make or break administrations, but has in practice demonstrated their ability to eviscerate malignant tumors from their midst when properly informed.

So now, with the media's active connivance at not telling the true story, the State has succeeded in creating both an internal and an external threat to scare the public into rallying around the flag: "United We Stand". Just as ZB quite candidly stated, is required to get the people in a democracy to tow the line! But you be the judge!

Wow, even ZB might not have imagined such a brilliant execution. Or did he? As cited earlier, he is known to have taken credit for the fall of the Soviet Union by "**giving to the USSR its Vietnam War**" in Afghanistan at the expense of devastating an entire nation without much compunction. Also see his NSC Memorandum No 46, where he argues on how and why to prevent any alliance of the blacks in America and the African nations from developing, to gain further insight into the thinking that goes behind making empires. Perhaps he might be next in line for the Nobel Peace Prize, the former President that he worked for already got his award where no one thought of asking the former President about the blood of Muslims upon his hands for signing off on "giving to the USSR its Vietnam War" in Afghanistan.

The fictitious Fifth Column: Islam under attack

Yes this also explains why all of a sudden, we see an entire sacred religion along with its billion plus followers being systematically demonized, misunderstandings perpetuated, and a climate of fear created around them. While there has always been some bias in

covering Islam by the Western media, especially in the US media as documented by Edward Said in “Covering Islam”, there was never this vitriolic virulence that we see today. Through confluence of interests, the extreme right-wing Evangelical Christian coalition being a major backer of the Republican Party and their present influence in the White House being considerable, had one of its leaders easily come out accusing the noble prophet of Islam as a terrorist and other unmentionable name callings. No pundit in the mainstream media even raised their customary “anti-Semitic” slogans to protest this outrage that I am aware of - perhaps they are not aware that the Prophet of Islam is also a Semite! Or is it only certain kinds of anti-Semitism they are against?

And great, inflame the passions of the masses in the Muslim countries by abusing their sacred Prophet. When they take out protest processions and burn a few effigies and flags, then we can conveniently show those images on all mainstream media and label them as those "barbaric and terrorist" Muslims - and extract our PR mileage that these Muslims are not developed like us Westerners, it is okay to kill them. Nothing new here, standard US army issue for dehumanizing your enemy so that it becomes easier to tolerate and indeed perpetuate their subjugation, humiliation, and exploitation in the oldest colonial style known to man - then we incredulously ask “why do they hate us”?

There is now a sustained and deliberate attack against Islam and Muslims by the Christian far right in the US. People like Franklin Graham – who works for Reverend Dr. Marion “Pat” Robertson of the 700 club often seen on CBN television spewing out hateful messages of his own against the Muslims – openly call Islam a “**very wicked and evil religion.**” While these bigoted Christian fanatics might believe so, and perhaps privately shared these tortuous views in the past amongst themselves, the public utterances of these derogatory islamophobic rhetoric betray the primacy of their arrogance. Namely, that now, since they are in power in the White House controlling the reins of the world's only superpower, let's also redraw the religious map of the Muslims while they were redrawing their political and cultural maps, as their new found 'la mission civilisatrice' in a revived 'Crusade'. The inadvertent slip of labeling this fictitious "war on terrorism" as a “Crusade” by George Bush, and one of the battle field monsters in the US military arsenal being called the "Crusader", are telling signs of the psychology and alliances of the “civilized” barbarians unloading their 'white mans burden' from 20,000 feet upon the defenseless Iraq and Afghani Muslims. Franklin Graham was subsequently invited to the Pentagon to lead a Good Friday service despite strong protests from many quarters and was instead strongly endorsed by the Secretary of State Colin Powell! I am not sure why the world is tolerating this spectacle, obsequencing itself toward the new 'Mecca'.

And now these same guys are going to Iraq to provide “humanitarian” aid to the Iraqis! In an interview on Pacifica, one of the missionaries from this group despite repeated questioning whether he would repudiate Franklin Graham's malicious attacks on Islam, continued to dodge the question by saying how could he repudiate someone else's statements! He further stated that their mission in Iraq was to show the people of Iraq that “**God loves them**” by giving the people free packets of food. This bringing “Jesus” to the 'infidels' effort is being headed by the Christian fundamentalist son of the famous American Evangelical icon Rev. Billy Graham who has presided over religious functions in the White House for so many American Presidents. One does not even have to exclaim: beware the Christian missionaries bearing gifts! They are in for some rude awakening. So little do they know or understand of Islam, Muslims, or the Middle East, let alone about Iraqis and their ravaged land, the cradle of human civilization. Shame!

Then there are people like Daniel Pipes who have for years argued that Muslims in America should be put under surveillance and that at

least 10% - 15% of these Muslims of America could be potential killers! And the White House just nominated him to serve on the Board of Directors for US Peace Institute! All these guys have the tacit blessings of the government to drum up fear and hatred against the Muslims. Even the Republican Party leaders now openly betray their true sentiments. Shawn Steele, the former Chairman of California's State Republican Party, offered perhaps the most extreme example of this. While giving a pro-war rallying speech at the University of Southern California, he stated the following which needs no further comment:

“The Islamic community has a cancer growing inside of it - which hates Jews, hates freedom, and hates Western society. The disease of Islam must be rectified - it's kill or be killed.”*6

All of these atrocious statements coming from very powerful people, are again eerily reminiscent of Nazi Germany's verbal vituperative onslaught on the Jews, followed by its actual implementation through systematic and ever increasing harassment by the Brown Shirts. Albeit I hope this will not happen here in the USA, it is possible one might find bands of vigilantes, feeling compelled to follow the guidance of their erstwhile leaders, physically harassing the Muslims, attacking their homes, their mosques, and their businesses. It would be laughable to imagine this would occur in the twenty first century in America, were it not so tragic that it actually is occurring, and there is no uproar in the mass media about such an assault on Islam and the Muslims. Quite reflective of course of the government's behavior overseas. Ideological Allies! This tacit affirmation by the mainstream media of this hate mongering through their deafening silence, and should it lead to actual physical violence upon the Muslims in the USA, will be further grounds for their indictment for war crimes against their own people. Thanks to Pacifica, which is the only independent grass-roots funded public media that is courageously speaking out against fascism in America. If there was a Nobel prize for standing up to fascism, Pacifica and its programmers would be the first in line.

Why are Muslims under attack?

Let's return to the favorite question of this essay, the 'why', and ask, why is this happening to the Muslims in the US at this time, and why now? The malevolence for Islam in the hearts of the bigoted fanatics is nothing new. Then why all of a sudden is it finding this virulent expression? Doesn't the US already have its hands full with battles of conquest overseas? Commonsense would dictate that they should not take on domestic problems at the same time. Furthermore, ZB's framework has nothing to do with religion, it is all about resource, economic, and political hegemony. So why target the entire 6 - 8 million Muslims living peacefully in this country and make them objects of FBI investigations, map out their mosques, interview their members, monitor their communications and their finances, take down their credit card numbers, examine their wallets upon exit and ingress into the country, and who knows what else they are doing? Perhaps the government is thinking, that surely the Muslims have the motive, aren't we bombing their people, they will react and do something criminal and terroristic, and we have to protect the good people of the United States?

First of all, there is an adequate statistical sampling of terrorists and criminals in every ethnic group in America, including the majority, their most infamous one being Tim McVeigh. What about Alqaeeda, maybe some of them are really hiding in the mosque? If they were, the government would know exactly who they are and what their phone number and SS numbers is, because remember they trained them. The testimony provided by an ex. US official of the US embassy in Riyadh Saudi Arabia is quite telling. I heard him on Pacifica, describing how he was a visa officer and he would get these strange type of people applying for US visas in Saudi Arabia, from all different parts of the Arab world. Upon being asked the usual questions why they wanted to visit America, they would say strange things like: to attend a

technical conference, and upon further inquiry have no clue about that subject area. So he would reject their visa application. Having rejected one too many visas, he was called up before his superiors and told to give out the visas regardless! So he issued the same people US visas the next time they visited, after already having rejected them the first time as dubious customers! Later he figured out these were the CIA operatives recruited from around the world and trained in the US for various "freedom fighting" activities. Their identities, mug shots, finger prints, dental profiles, DNA profiles, urine samples etc. are probably all on record with the government. It was reported that the CIA/FBI even had an informant working within the inner circles of the hijackers of 9/11 for what that's worth. Suffice it to say, the government is not really looking for terrorists in the mosques any more than they are looking for them in synagogues, or churches, or temples.

Stifling activist dissent is the real reason

Okay, what is the answer? Muslims of America make a very convenient fifth column to be vigilant against, to be investigated and dug out of their mosques, requiring new laws for surveillance and new powers for security agencies. To successfully deploy this, any and all confluence of interests are being employed, including the hatred and arrogance of the ultra right-wing Christian fanatics who seek Armageddon to get closer to Jesus.

How convenient! Muzzling the dissent of the very vocal minority of brave activists, and the likes of Daniel Ellsberg that might rise up to challenge the oppression and destruction of innocent people around the world in the process of executing this American global conquest, would require some draconian measures. Why? In order to curb their civil rights and create an atmosphere of fear and intimidation so that they would not be vocal in their protests, lest they are able to successfully mobilize and dethrone the emperor! Well the persecution of the "fictitious fifth columnist" is conveniently giving the perpetrators of world conquest the much needed despotic laws to control and contain any sort of public outrage.

These oppressive laws via the USA Patriot Act 1 passed by Congress in the wake of 9/11 without any debate, and now its even more oppressive successor Patriot Act 2 waiting in the wings for an opportune time, and the concomitant erosion of civil liberties, is really intended for the rest of the US population, and not so much for any "fictitious danger" from the "fictitious fifth columnists".

It is a preemptive strike at domestic dissent, consistent with the doctrine of preemptive strike at imperial targets abroad. Both are part of the same foreign policy agenda, as per ZB's "**Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.**"*7

Test this hypothesis yourself. How much danger are the Muslims to the state in the prosecution of their global hegemonic plans, and how much danger are the activists? In fact, any terrorism done by any Muslim in the US would play right into the hands of the state, now wouldn't it? But a Daniel Ellsberg or two leaking out privileged information from the "inside" like the infamous "Pentagon Papers", that can provide concrete evidence of lying and deceit of the kind impeachments are made of, difficult to wriggle out from, can put a quick stop to the grandiose expansion plans of the state, now couldn't it? Or a mass mobilizations against the war as witnessed during Vietnam might awaken the real sleeping giant, the good hearted but dormant public, mightn't it? Richard Nixon, October 15, 1969, inside the White House, talking to Henry Kissinger, while a million people protesting outside the White House, briefly contemplates dropping an atomic bomb on Vietnam, but allows sanity to prevail because of his fear of what the million marchers might do to him.*8

End Excerpt

It gives me no pleasure to pen this footnote to history. And just as the *“changing nature of this enemy”* was eventually going to transform into the patriotic American activist, was palpably obvious as hell to me in 2003, it is also blatantly obvious today that the ['one-world' government](#) under construction will lead to the extermination of several billion people on the planet.

For heaven's sake get with the latent reality you peoples – for hell on earth is guaranteed for its vast 'untermensch' majority. And that may well include you if you are not of the right stock and breeding, regardless of where you might be living on the planet and how much money you might have. Accurately gauge the present [apathetic state](#) of our collective [voluntary servitude](#), the [level of deception](#) that is deflecting attention from the [real criminals](#), and [overcome it](#).

[Print](#)

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

032120091248355000

'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

“One can expect demonic conquerors to burn and maim others' children while they proclaim 'never again' for their own, one cannot accept the apathy of the world idly watching..” -- [screamed the canary in the coal mine to no avail](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!

Zahir Ebrahim

April 26, 2008.

Revised May 2, 2008.

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20080426 URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org> | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

Douglas J. Feith, Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, in his Hearing before the Senate Armed Services Committee on February 14, 2002 in the aftermath of 911, explaining the rationale for the Department of Defence 2002 Nuclear Posture Review, summarized the overarching reasons for **“new thinking”** by the United States as the unchallenged sole superpower in the following words:

'A half a century ago, in the midst of the Cold War, Prime Minister Winston Churchill noted in the House of Commons the “sublime irony” that in the nuclear age, “safety will be the sturdy child of terror and survival the twin brother of annihilation.” The Cold War is long over and new approaches to defense are overdue. As President Bush has stated, “We are no longer divided into armed camps, locked in a careful balance of terror...Our times call for new thinking.”'

In essence, and as has been empirically evident over the past seven years, what Douglas Feith was arguing before the United States Congress in the euphemistically disguised verbiage of **“new thinking”**, was that the **“careful balance of terror”** must now be replaced by unfettered and uninhibited **unilateral terror!**

As his intellectual mentor Zbigniew Brzezinski had previously argued during the Clinton era in 1997, the goals for American primacy and its geostrategic imperatives had to be to **“perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer”** such that **“no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus also challenging America”**.

For it was indeed the first time in the history of civilizations and its conquistadors that America had become **“the first, only, and last truly global superpower”**. It was deemed a terrible waste of hegemonic bank balance to not capitalize on it while there was still time to do so, before other nations of the East and Eurasia eventually caught up to America's lead in about a generation and forced another D tente.

The Project for the New American Century (PNAC), that had seeded the majority of Bush Administration officials beginning in 2000 – from Vice President to Secretary of Defence to a majority of Under Secretaries – too had argued in its report on *Rebuilding America's Defences* that seeking **“full spectrum dominance”** to maintain **“America's preeminence”** and **“American Peace”** in the world by forcing other nations to accept **“America's unique role in preserving and extending an international order friendly to our security, our prosperity, and our principles”**, was a natural American imperative as per the Brzezinski truism **“Hegemony is as old as mankind.”**

And if achieving **“full spectrum”** primacy meant inflicting **“shock and awe”** of unilateral terror on contrived mobilizing pretexts, so be it – as which nation could now stand in the path of the mighty **'hectoring hegemons'?**

But there was indeed an impediment to the realization of this **“new thinking”**, and to the enormous increase in the defence budget needed to support it, as explained by Zbigniew Brzezinski. It was the darn **“populist democracy”** of the sole superpower!

Fighting in far-away lands is quite **“uncongenial to democratic instincts”** noted Brzezinski, as the **“economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort”** makes **“democracy ... inimical to imperial mobilization.”**

Therefore, a commensurate lifetime of **“War on Terrorism”** was architected against a well formulated never-ending enemy – the **“islamofascists”** – in a Machiavellianly seeded doctrine of the **“Clash of Civilizations”**. Bernard Lewis had artfully constructed that bit of magic in Foreign Affairs magazine in 1990 as the **“irrational ... roots of Muslim rage ... [which] is no less than a clash of civilizations”**. In the aftermath of 9/11/2001, it became the self-fulfilling policy of the overtly exuded foreign policy calculus of the United States, and was continually replenished by more priceless doctrinal state-craft from the mighty pen of Bernard Lewis, like **'Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror'!** And of course, the doctrinal flames are still fanned periodically by the various circus clowns of empire, like the printing of offensive cartoons by a confrere of Daniel Pipes. It egregiously insulted the Prophet of Islam to further stimulate a reaction among the Muslims that could only be classified as **“[not even] a Clash of Civilizations [but a] Clash between the Civilized World and Barbarians”**.

In order to get the ball rolling ab initio, to both seed the **“military transformation”** necessary to capitalize on America being **“the first, only, and last truly global superpower”**, and to embark on the **“American peace”** mission that called for **“new thinking”** of forcing other nations to accept **“America's unique role”**, the **“populist democracy”** needed a shocker: a **“catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor”**.

Well, 911 was that **“new Pearl Harbor”!**

Whether 19 **“islamofascist”** jihadis on their flying carpets controlled by a mind-melding yoda master sitting on his bare rump armed with laptops and cell phones in a cave in the Hindu-Kush did it, or whether it was an expertly orchestrated, precision planned and executed controlled demolition, is a moot point. For it was an 'operation canned goods' all the way in terms of providing the enabling pretext for **“imperial mobilization”** on the proverbial screen of Plato's **Mythical Cave!** Whoever did it was, and still is, a resourceful confrere and great benefactor of the conquistadors!

But that shocking event in itself could have easily been still-born, as it could have just as easily been dealt with as a great crime and not a

cause célèbre for massive invasion of other nations. The crime scene could have been secured and a forensic analysis of the evidence performed to understand how did three tall towers miraculously collapse within the span of a few hours in a single day into exactly their own footprints at almost free-fall speed.

Zbigniew Brzezinski had astutely observed of this “*populist democracy*”, that:

“as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.”

Yes indeed, along with 911, the secret sauce to the recipe, so to speak, was, and still continues to be, “***a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification***”!

In other words, without fully conditioned '***Prisoners of the Cave***', no amount of pyrotechnics would have worked because a free public and its democratic institutions, the law makers in Congress and the influential newsmedia, could have easily demanded a forensic analysis of the crime scene rather than trivially acquiesce to a rush to passing oppressive domestic laws like the Patriot Acts without any discussion and hasty recourse to “*Infinite Justice*” with even less evidence.

The United States public had to be so dexterously primed with the multi-faceted mantras crafted of the “*sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being*”, that today, seven years later, its successes can be measured all the way to several Nobel Prizes in Profound Political Machinations (**PPM** – if such an accolade for hegemonic conquest was to be split off from the Nobel Peace Prize). The latest evidence for such a prize accumulating to the Bush Administration in spades is in the public survey by Gallup Poll released on March 31, 2008, which states: “*Iran topped the list, with 25 percent naming it when asked which country is the greatest U.S. enemy,*”. And most Americans, the vast majority, and certainly most of the 25 percent in the above survey, have likely never met an Iranian person, or anyone from any of the exotic regions from where the ubiquitous boogiemens are being fashioned!

This criminal priming of the “*populist democracy*” now naturally enables “***the first, only, and last truly global superpower***” to be fully “***autocratic abroad***” in its unlimited “***capacity for military intimidation***”. Note how Brzezinski concludes the primacy aspirations of the truly global superpower:

“Geostrategic success in that cause would represent a fitting legacy of America's role as the first, only, and last truly global superpower.”

And it is precisely in that overarching “*Geostrategic ... cause*”, that Iran and Pakistan are today imminently staged on the nuclear chopping block to seed the “*Geostrategic success*”, while some American city is made expendable to create the next “***catalyzing event***” for continuing “*imperial mobilization*” that “***will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison***”.

All conveniently enabled by the continued Orwellian use of the fiction of 'Bin Laden' and its various surrogates including 'Al Qaeda'. If these stick-wielding cave-dwelling antediluvians possess such awesome powers as to bring down the sole superpower and its Western allies to their knees forcing the leader to spend 3.1 trillion dollars next year a majority of it on defense, and the Western peoples to also lose all their democratic freedoms and civil liberties to end up living in police-states, then the 'Bin Laden' mantra is surely more powerful than the USSR! Or at least, it makes a wonderful 'Ali Baba' bedtime story.

So participate in the story telling of 'Ali Baba' all you want dear Pakistanis – because the endgame of Pakistan's ruling elite in their **fictional of “war on terror”** appears to be the same as the hectoring hegemons! As the latter destroys the United States to craft a borderless New World Order laying many a million victims in its path, the new amorphous empire's gratitude await many a servile clients and native informants for services rendered! Or perhaps the hangman's noose!

Indeed, this **“new thinking”**, it turns out, isn't terribly new after all. It was discovered, for those still in possession of memory, to already have been defined as the **“supreme international crime”** at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals by the United States' own Chief Prosecutor, Justice Robert H. Jackson. A crime so stupendous and monumental **“differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole”**, that the Nazi war machine was held responsible for **“all the evil that follows”** in the aftermath of the first primal aggression! The Nazis had invaded Poland on the heels of their own *Operation Canned Goods* too, in the pretext of 'self-defense' against 'terrorists'! And in handing their leadership death sentences, the American Chief Prosecutor had asserted:

“If certain acts of violation of treaties are crimes, they are crimes whether the United States does them or whether Germany does them, and we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us.”

And that, as they say, is all there is to this **“new thinking”**. It isn't exactly clear why the Americans and much of the world appear so confused about something so palpably obvious.

Indeed, this unilateral terror ideology of **“new thinking”** was even aptly summed up by Vladimir Putin, President of Russia, in these laconic but penetrating words in an unusual moment of candor in 2007:

“what is a unipolar world? However one might embellish this term, at the end of the day it refers to one type of situation, namely one centre of authority, one centre of force, one centre of decision-making. It is world in which there is one master, one sovereign. And at the end of the day this is pernicious not only for all those within this system, but also for the sovereign itself because it destroys itself from within.”

Yes sir, give us ordinary peoples – those who are continually made to suffer this **“new thinking”** – the **“sublime irony”** of being **“locked in a careful balance of terror”** any day!

And that, is also the only rational way out from crossing the nuclear Rubicon!

Unless Russia and China get their act together, unless Pakistan wakes up from its suicidal slumber, and they unite to safeguard the Asian portion of Eurasia in a mutual defense treaty similar to NATO, nuclear holocaust awaits the 'lesser' humanity. Perhaps this is how population reduction is ultimately planned amidst orchestrated food shortages as per some secret clauses that haven't yet been declassified in NSSM 200 and NSDM 314 (or in some other undisclosed National Security Strategy calculus derivatives).

If these resource and population rich Asian nations don't band together now like the EU is banding together into a unified federation of Europe with common laws and common security, and like the North American Union that is clandestinely transpiring under the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), after the nuclear genie is unleashed and the United States is under martial law, the barbarians will be knocking at each of the other doors one after another – for total perpetual war is the blatantly obvious global agenda to **“birth-pang”** the New World Order out of the ashes of humanity!

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project Humanbeingsfirst™, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org>.

From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

“One can expect demonic conquerors to burn and maim others' children while they proclaim 'never again' for their own, one cannot accept the apathy of the world idly watching..” -- screamed the canary in the coal mine to no avail