

Letter to Editor – Journal of 911 Studies

On how does their focus on 911 deter a nuclear attack on Iran?

Zahir Ebrahim

Three letters dated April 03 to April 21, 2008.

Also see [Letter to Editor Bentham Open](#) and [Letter to Noam Chomsky](#).

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: [PHBFZE20080403](#) URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org>. | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

To: Editors, Kevin Ryan, Frank Legge

Subject: Letter to Editor - [Journal of 911 Studies](#)

Date: April 03, 2008.

Dear 911 Scholars, Engineers, Scientists, and conscionable peoples,

Through this humble letter to editor, I would like to pertinently inquire how does the single-minded focus by some very intelligent peoples on how tall buildings collapsed on 911 prevent another new '911' – the one that President George Bush just warned “*will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison*”? And more importantly for the rest of the world, how does it, in any efficacious measure, prevent or deter another “*Defensive' U.S. military action*” – this one a nuclear 'retaliation' from 30,000 feet upon a non-nuclear defenseless nation?

Obviously, as men and women of conscience, and of vastly uncommon courage, and also at considerable risk to ourselves and to our livelihoods, we do not pursue moral-activism merely for the sake of it, or because the subject matter is intriguing and fascinating, but primarily because it is a moral imperative for most of us. Thus, it is reasonable and rational to ask, how does the pursuit of various 'truth' activities in this regard impact the final overarching outcome that we are all surely striving towards, including this august 911 body, of efficaciously stopping further monumental crimes against humanity?

If one carefully studies the 'system dynamics' of "*imperial mobilization*", a complex confluence of social, political, economic, military, and doctrinal sciences unto itself, one may notice that keeping smart, thoughtful, conscionable peoples engagingly busy pursuing inefficacious pursuits until after fait accompli, is a key principle behind manufacturing both consent and dissent to mobilize a recalcitrant "*populist democracy*". That in turn, is a key principle in constructing devilishly clever red herrings. Not all require red herrings to be deflected however, as self-obsession, consumerism, and immersive myopic fascination with any subject matter, are also sufficient to keep all sorts of peoples busily occupied away from the real '*highest order bits*' on which '*a page faults*', and focussed entirely on the '*lower order bits*' which often play no role in the '*page fault*'.

Thus, to forensically know whether or not one is pursuing the '*right order bits*' for any matter is always useful in producing efficacious results. And one obvious touchstone for sanity-checking this is how does a particular variable (or lines of pursuit) directly impact the desired outcome given complex system dynamics, and under what time-constant. Just to give an example of the deep relevance of time-constant, if it would take 10 years or 50 years, then obviously the variable, even if impacting, cannot impact the response time of milliseconds if that is indeed what is desired.

Thus I would like to inquire, under the afore-touchstone, how does this Journal of the previous 911 studies efficaciously prevent the nuclear decimation of Iran that is likely to be carried out under the pretext of another new 911? While the old 911 is certainly fascinating to unravel as a subject, something Sherlock Holmes would certainly also delight in doing himself, but how does that pertain to the impending gruesome reality about to unfold before us once again? This time likely culminating in Martial Law to engage the much longed for COG? This unfortunate grotesque reality is disclosed below as a humble heads-up warning to the American peoples by Project Humanbeingsfirst. It is certainly not likely to be a surprise to anyone, as well informed as most everyone is in this august forum, and especially since nuclear attack on Iran has been repeatedly prophesied since the NPR was revealed in 2002. Your astute readers may evaluate this warning and the solution-space it proposes on its own merit. And my question to this august forum is, how will continually occupying the brilliant and conscionable minds that are now focussed on this 911 studies, impact and avert such a monumental disaster as outlined in this warning if, heavens forbid, it does come to pass?

Perhaps a close examination by your august scientists of this matter, using a comprehension of system dynamics to analyze the various courses of actions that conscionable peoples might take in this genuinely very dynamic system where the antagonist is indeed super-smart, super-powerful, and diabolically 'ubermensch', may prove to be effective in outlining and triaging the most significant pursuits from red herrings in order to efficaciously affect the desired outcome of averting 'perpetual war'. Incidentally, on the very day of 9/11/2001, around 10 am., I heard Dan Rather on CBS describe one of the falling towers with something to the effect: *it looks awfully like controlled demolition*. To seven years later, still be obsessively analyzing it to prove that it was indeed controlled demolition, bespeaks very much of the society that is the United States.

I hope you would also permit me to share this one final lament. I sometimes sense that even well intentioned peoples in the United States often tend to forget that other's dead and destroyed are not mere statistics to their loved ones. Sitting 20,000 miles away from the murderous war zone, it is easy to become engrossed in solving the riddles of 911, or rehearsing interesting histories in conference halls that are already fait accompli. Can the conscionable peoples in this nation at least make it a point to also sometimes imagine, as a shocking thought experiment, that with every falling American bomb upon a 'lesser' peoples, paid for from their own tax dollars, their mom, dad, sister, brother, kids, wife, and the family dog is killed? What courses of action, and with what urgency, would one take then?

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

[“Heads-up warning to the American Peoples - Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!”](http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/03/warningamerica-nuking-iran-imminent.html)

(<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/03/warningamerica-nuking-iran-imminent.html>)

To: Richard Gage

Founding Member of AE911Truth.org

To: Alan Miller (an earlier version of this letter)

PatriotsQuestion911.com

Subject: FYI - Letter to Editor – Journal of 911 Studies On how does their focus on 911 ...

Date: Wed, April 9, 2008

Dear Mr. Richard Gage, (and Mr. Alan Miller,)

Please permit me to humbly share with you a letter to editor that was sent to the Journal of 911 studies by [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org). As I don't believe they have chosen to publish it as yet, you may find a copy at:

Letter to Editor – Journal of 911 Studies On how does their focus on 911 deter a nuclear attack on Iran?

I hope you are able to also address the question that is posed therein. For your kind reference, please also see:

Heads-up warning to the American Peoples – Nuclear attack on Iran appears imminent!

Just as the old '911' was used as the 'new pearl harbor' for "*imperial mobilization*", the new 'new pearl harbor', according to President George Bush, will make "*Sept 11 pale by comparison*". Imagine what that will be used for? Nuclear decimation of Iran!

If you deem it appropriate, please give this letter wider circulation among your respected colleagues. I would very much appreciate seeing from this august body of rational scholars, scientists, and independent thinkers and engineers who have finally come around to seeing the grotesque reality of the 'Grand Chessboard' for what it really is, focus their immediate attention to averting a pending fait accompli - rather than remaining focussed on what is already fait accompli. The original 911 story has little traction today, and makes an ideal red herring to occupy the handful of courageous gadflies while new faits accomplis are constructed. This august coterie, as it is, has taken too long to come around to the reality-space - one that was instantly visible to someone like Dan Rather, who, the moment the towers came down on 9/11/2001, remarked off the cuff on CBS in realtime, something to the effect: *that looks awfully like controlled demolition* (paraphrase is from memory - I heard it around 10 am or thereabouts that very morning). It is a pity that it has taken scientists 7 years to catch up to the observation of their eyes! Imagine what could have been averted if these statements had been made sooner?

Thus statements like this on your [website](#) can create misleading overarching focus:

Steven Jones' call for a "serious investigation" of the hypothesis that the WTC 7 and the Twin Towers were brought down, not just by impact damage and fire, but through the use of pre-positioned cutter-charges" must be the rallying cry for all building design experts to speak out.

Shouldn't preventing crimes against humanity be the rallying cry? The above betrays a mindset that these belated eureka moments of scientists pursuing their own agendas devoid of the grotesquely unfolding political reality on the ground is for its own sake, as is so unsubtly betrayed by the next sentence:

Dr. Jones is following in the footsteps of Dr. Linus Pauling of the California Institute of Technology, who rallied his fellow scientists to oppose the development of the Hydrogen Bomb and the testing of nuclear weapons. He was later awarded the 1962 Nobel Peace Prize for these efforts."

Are you guys in this to win Nobel Prizes? Or are you just following a scientific curiosity devoid of any moral imperatives?

If you are actually interested in doing something to prevent monumental crimes against humanity that will soon become fait accompli unless good peoples like yourselves immediately act based on your new found perspectives of the grotesque reality-space, here is one humble suggestion from Project Humanbeingsfirst:

[How to derail 'imperial mobilization' and preempt the crossing of the Nuclear Rubicon](#)

Perhaps with more minds focussed on preventing new faits accomplis, even better solutions can be had. Please feel free to share this entire email message with anyone.

Kind Regards

Zahir Ebrahim
[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

P.S. An earlier version of this letter was also sent to Mr. Alan Miller.

To: Authors, Steven Jones, Kevin Ryan, Frank Legge et. al.

Subject: Fourteen Points of Agreement with official Government Reports on the WTC Destruction

Date: April 21, 2008.

Dear Authors,

I read through your paper carefully. I had already been familiar with your work on this topic, having read and cited [13] many times (for instance see: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/03/whytalksfail-letters-and-replies.html>), so I did not learn anything new here, except for one thing. I had not read the FEMA and NIST reports. Thus I was only familiar with them superficially from public reporting. The way you have constructed this paper is simply outstanding, for it has brought within its ambit, almost the entire inconsistency-space of these gigantic reports in a mere 6 very readable pages while forcefully making your own points. The overarching way your report is written, it ought to be mostly understandable by America's mainstream as well as its news media. Not to mention the rest of the world. I call this 'pulling a Feynman' on a complex topic. Good work guys! And Thank you. (If one were asked to name the most crucial point among the 14, mine would surely be number 5 - conservation of momentum - for that is the least controversial and the

most 'defining').

As for the matter of how this work can be harvested in a timely manner before the nuclear Rubicon is crossed and millions more lose their tabula rasa – starting right here in the USA with another possible “*catalyzing event*” (PNAC) that will make “*Sept. 11 pale in comparison*” (George Bush) - I strongly encourage you to most urgently convene a strategy-planning think-meet retreat of some sort where next steps can be analyzed rationally among like-minded politically astute thinkers. The disparate group of 'lonely scientists' cannot impact policies, nor mobilize much resources on their own to make any political impact. But impact in preventing another “new pearl harbor” in order to deter and arrest the “*supreme international crime*” (Justice Robert Jackson at Nuremberg) of “*imperial mobilization*” (Brzezinski) must remain the goal of every conscionable peoples.

Conversely, you can easily imagine powerful interference if this paper gains any mainstream traction (such as being picked up by NYT or CNN), for the implication of controlled demolition are more profound than almost anyone is prepared for in America. That in itself will be a shocking "new pearl harbor" event for the mainstream America to get them mobilized against status quo. Indeed, I fear that if anything, controlled demolition gaining any legitimacy will only hasten the tipping point.

Thus, firstly, it is in the interest of status quo to drag it out until fait accompli of a nuclear terrorist event is handed to America, and Iran, Syria, Pakistan, and the Levant have been put on the irreversible path of being reconstituted as per the Bernard Lewis Plan for the New Middle East. Once the NATO and American troops are in, you can imagine a 'Kosovo' transpiring in each of those nations sooner or later (but only after an 'Iraq' has transpired first). Then talk all you want about controlled demolition. Secondly, it may be a moot point if instead of a synthetic terrorist event in America, an orchestrated 'Gulf-of-Tonkin' event becomes the catalyst for war. Thirdly, it may also be a moot point, following a terrorist event in America, if Martial Law is declared and troops are patrolling the mainstreet USA. Thus the window of opportunity is very very narrow, and NOW, if there at all. But one must continually assume it is there, and continually act on that premise of a very narrow window which is rapidly shrinking with each passing day!

Therefore, recognizing all of this preemptively, if you want your work to have any relevance to preventing new crimes against humanity, as opposed to just a glorified science-fair project of hypothesis, experiments, and conclusions (which I am all too familiar with having put my own kids through many science fairs and teaching them that 'mantra of science'), you must start thinking and executing politically immediately. And in order to do so efficaciously, you need to almost constitute a 'Rand'! The “*butterfly effect*” will surely take care of the rest (in the preferred direction).

Kind regards,

Zahir Ebrahim
An ordinary plebeian.

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project Humanbeingsfirst™, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org>.
